

# How Do You Run an ISP?

(a.k.a. Intra-AS Routing)

Paul Gauthier      Jed Liu

14 October 2002

## What does an ISP's customer care about?

- Cost
- Global connectivity
- Reliability/availability
- Quality of connection
- Privacy
- Added value (e.g., e-mail accounts, web hosting space)

## Global connectivity

- ISP must either be a Tier 1 or buy transit from a Tier 1 provider
- Peering can improve connectivity to other non-Tier-1 ISPs

## Forwarding options (within a single ISP)

- Using global addresses (IP)
  - Each router manages a table of (IP address prefix  $\rightarrow$  next hop) mappings
  - CIDR – Classless Inter-Domain Routing
    - \* ability to use variable-length IP address prefixes for routing
- Virtual circuits
  - MPLS is a technique for establishing VCs through a network of routers
  - an MPLS header is added to each packet, identifying the virtual circuit being traversed
  - swizzling lets routers avoid maintaining a table of  $O(N^2)$  possible virtual circuits (and each packet having a large MPLS header)
    - \* each router only knows how to route the VCs that pass through it
    - \* router maintains a table mapping (incoming tag  $\rightarrow$  (next hop, outgoing tag)). This is used to rewrite the incoming MPLS header with a new outgoing header, specific to the table in the next-hop router.

## Maintaining routing tables

- Static tables
  - maintain routing tables by hand
  - handling failures is hard
- Routing protocols
  - Distance vector
    - \* routers exchange their (IP prefix  $\rightarrow$  (next hop, distance)) routing tables with their neighbours
    - \* No way to determine the origin of these routing entries.

- \* If links change rapidly, can create a persistent routing loop.
- \* Count-to-infinity problem:
  1. Routers  $A \leftrightarrow B \leftrightarrow C$ .
  2.  $B$  announces " $(C \rightarrow (C, 1))$ "
  3.  $A$  announces " $(C \rightarrow (B, 2))$ "
  4. The  $B \leftrightarrow C$  link fails:  $A \leftrightarrow B - \times - C$
  5.  $B$  receives  $A$ 's announcement and announces " $(C \rightarrow (A, 3))$ ", which is clearly wrong
  6.  $A$  receives  $B$ 's announcement and concludes " $(C \rightarrow (B, 4))$ "
  7. count to infinity...
- \* Solution to count to infinity: exchange paths instead of links
- Link state
  - \* Every router broadcasts its neighbour info to every other router  
Everyone has a complete topology
  - \* All announcements have "authority" since the actual owner of the link spreads the information.
  - \* Broadcast latency can still cause problems

### Traffic engineering

- If using a shortest-path routing protocol, can vary link cost
  - Usually, operators define hop costs using some magic formula to engineer traffic
  - Cisco defaults to:  $\frac{1}{\text{capacity}} + \text{transmission latency}$ .
- MPLS provides more flexibility
  - By setting up virtual circuits, can control traffic more easily
  - Failure tolerance not as automatic – one router failure can take down many VCs