







































Learning Manipulation Preferences

- Input: Human demonstrations of preferred behavior (e.g., moving a cup of water upright without spilling)
- **Output:** Learned cost function that results in trajectories satisfying user preferences

Setup

• *Binary* state-dependent features (~95)

- Histograms of distances to objects
- Histograms of end-effector orientation
- Object specific features (electronic vs non-electronic)
- Approach direction w.r.t goal

• Comparison:

- Human demonstrations
- Obstacle avoidance planner (CHOMP)
- Locally optimal IOC approach (similar to Max-Margin planning, Ratliff et. al., 2007)

Statistics for Laptop task				
	% Points in	End Effector	% Points	
Method	collision	normal deviation (deg.)	above laptop	
luman Demonstration	2.7	7.4	2.1	
Dbstacle avoidance planner	12.9	18.2	17.3	
Coarse, discrete graph sample	12.8	9.9	11.1	
ocal Trajectory Optimizer + Graph samples	4.0	5.3	1.2	
ocal Trajectory Optimizer + Random path	4.5	5.5	3.1	