





Role of Transformations

- Data-flow analysis discovers opportunities for code improvement
- Compiler must rewrite the code (IR) to realize these improvements
 - A transformation may reveal additional opportunities for further analysis & transformation
 - May also block opportunities by obscuring information

8/17/2004 © 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Organizing Transformations in a Compiler

- Typically middle end consists of many individual transformations that filter the IR and produce rewritten IR
- No systematic theory for the order to apply them
 - Sometimes want to apply a single transformation repeatedly, particularly if other transformations might expose additional opportunities

8/17/2004 © 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



A Taxonomy

- Machine Independent Transformations
 - Realized profitability may actually depend on machine architecture, but are typically implemented without considering this
- Machine Dependent Transformations
 - Most of the machine dependent code is in instruction selection & scheduling and register allocation
 - Some machine dependent code belongs in the optimizer

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Machine Independent Transformations

- Dead code elimination
- Code motion
- Specialization
- Strength reduction
- Enable other transformations
- Eliminate redundant computations
 - Value numbering, GCSE

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

CSE P 501 Su04 S-1



Machine Dependent Transformations

- Take advantage of special hardware
 - Expose instruction-level parallelism, for example
- Manage or hide latencies
 - Improve cache behavior
- Deal with finite resources

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSF



Dead Code Elimination

- If a compiler can prove that a computation has no external effect, it can be removed
 - Useless operations
 - Unreachable operations
- Dead code often results from other transformations
 - Often want to do DCE several times

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Dead Code Elimination

- Classic algorithm is similar to garbage collection
 - Pass I Mark all useful operations
 - Start with critical operations output, entry/exit blocks, calls to other procedures, etc.
 - Mark all operations that are needed for critical operations; repeat until convergence
 - Pass II delete all unmarked operations
 - Note: need to treat jumps carefully

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Code Motion

- Idea: move an operation to a location where it is executed less frequently
 - Classic situation: move loop-invariant code out of a loop and execute it once, not once per iteration
- Lazy code motion: code motion plus elimination of redundant and partially redundant computations

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

S-10



Specialization

- Idea: Analysis phase may reveal information that allows a general operation in the IR to be replaced by a more specific one
 - Constant folding
 - Replacing multiplications and division by constants with shifts
 - Peephole optimizations
 - Tail recursion elimination

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Strength Reduction

 Classic example: Array references in a loop

for
$$(k = 0; k < n; k++) a[k] = 0;$$

 Simple code generation would usually produce address arithmetic including a multiplication (k* elementsize) and addition

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

CSE P 501 Su04 S-2



Implementing Strength Reduction

- Idea: look for operations in a loop involving:
 - A value that does not change in the loop, the region constant, and
 - A value that varies systematically from iteration to iteration, the *induction variable*
- Create a new induction variable that directly computes the sequence of values produced by the original one; use an addition in each iteration to update the value

3/17/2004 © 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Enabling Transformations

- Already discussed
 - Inline substitution (procedure bodies)
 - Block cloning
- Some others
 - Loop Unrolling
 - Loop Unswitching

7/2004 © 2002-0

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Loop Unrolling

- Idea: Replicate the loop body to expose inter-iteration optimization possibilities
 - Increases chances for good schedules and instruction level parallelism
 - Reduces loop overhead
- Catch need to handle dependencies between iterations carefully

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Loop Unrolling Example

Original for (i=1, i<=n, i++) a[i] = b[i]; Unrolled by 4 i=1; while (i+3 <= n) { a[i] = a[i] + b[i]; a[i+1] = a[i+1] + b[i+1] a[i+2] = a[i+2] + b[i+2] a[i+3] = a[i+3] + b[i+3] a=-4

}
while (i <= n) {
 a[i] = a[i]+b[i];
 i++;</pre>

8/17/2004 © 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



Loop Unswitching

- Idea: if the condition in an if-then-else is loop invariant, rewrite the loop by pulling the if-then-else out of the loop and generating a tailored copy of the loop for each half of the new if
 - After this transformation, both loops have simpler control flow – more chances for rest of compiler to do better

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE



S-15

Summary

- This is just a sampler
 - Hundreds of transformations in the literature
- Big part of engineering a compiler is to decide which transformations to use, in what order, and when to repeat them
 - Mostly based on tradition and best guess
 - Current research: using adaptive methods based on performance of specific programs to automate selection and sequencing of transformationos

8/17/2004

© 2002-04 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

CSE P 501 Su04 S-3