CSE P 501 – Compilers

Code Shape II – Objects & Classes Hal Perkins Autumn 2009

Agenda

- Object representation and layout
- Field access
- What is this?
- Object creation new
- Method calls
 - Dynamic dispatch
 - Method tables
 - Super
- Runtime type information

What does this program print?

```
class One {
  int tag;
  int it;
  void setTag()
                   \{ tag = 1; \}
  int getTag() { return tag; }
  void setIt(int it) {this.it = it;}
  int getIt()
             { return it; }
}
class Two extends One {
  int it;
  void setTag() {
    tag = 2; it = 3;
  }
  int getThat() { return it; }
                    { super.setIt(42); }
  void resetIt()
}
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
     Two two = new Two();
     One one = two;
     one.setTag();
     System.out.println(one.getTag());
     one.setIt(17);
     two.setTag();
     System.out.println(two.getIt());
     System.out.println(two.getThat());
     two.resetIt();
     System.out.println(two.getIt());
     System.out.println(two.getThat());
```

}

Your Answer Here

Object Representation

- The naïve explanation is that an object contains
 - Fields declared in its class and in all superclasses
 - Redeclaration of a field hides superclass instance
 - Methods declared in its class and in all superclasses
 - Redeclaration of a method overrides (replaces)
 - But overridden methods can still be accessed by super....
- When a method is called, the method "inside" that particular object is called
 - But we don't want to really implement it this way
 we only want one copy of each method's code

Actual representation

- Each object contains
 - An entry for each field (variable)
 - A pointer to a runtime data structure describing the class
 - Key component: method dispatch table
- Basically a C struct
- Fields hidden by declarations in extended classes are *still* allocated in the object and are accessible from superclass methods

Method Dispatch Tables

- Often known as "vtables"
- One pointer per method points to beginning of method code
- Dispatch table offsets fixed at compile time
- One instance of this per class, not per object

Method Tables and Inheritance

- Simple implementation
 - Method table for extended class has pointers to methods declared in it
 - Method table also contains a pointer to parent class method table
 - Method dispatch
 - Look in current table and use it if method declared locally
 - Look in parent class table if not local
 - Repeat
 - Actually used in some dynamic systems (e.g. SmallTalk, Ruby, etc.)

O(1) Method Dispatch

- Idea: First part of method table for extended class has pointers for same methods in same order as parent class
 - BUT pointers actually refer to overriding methods if these exist
 - ∴ Method dispatch is indirect using fixed offsets known at compile time – O(1)
 - In C: *(object->vtbl[offset])(parameters)
- Pointers to additional methods in extended class are included in the table following inherited/overridden ones

Method Dispatch Footnotes

- Still want pointer to parent class method table for other purposes
 - Casts and instanceof
- Multiple inheritance requires more complex mechanisms
 - Also true for multiple interfaces

Perverse Example Revisited

```
class One {
  int tag;
  int it;
  void setTag() { tag = 1; }
  int getTag() { return tag; }
  void setIt(int it) {this.it = it;}
  int getIt() { return it; }
}
class Two extends One {
  int it;
  void setTag() {
    tag = 2; it = 3;
  }
  int getThat() { return it; }
  void resetIt() { super.setIt(42); }
}
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
     Two two = new Two();
     One one = two;
     one.setTag();
     System.out.println(one.getTag());
     one.setIt(17);
     two.setTag();
     System.out.println(two.getIt());
     System.out.println(two.getThat());
     two.resetIt();
     System.out.println(two.getIt());
     System.out.println(two.getThat());
```

}

Implementation

Now What?

- Need to explore
 - Object layout in memory
 - Compiling field references
 - Implicit and explicit use of "this"
 - Representation of vtables
 - Object creation new
 - Code for dynamic dispatch
 - Including implementing "super.f"
 - Runtime type information instanceof and casts

Object Layout

- Typically, allocate fields sequentially
- Follow processor/OS alignment conventions when appropriate / available
- Use first word of object for pointer to method table/class information
- Objects are allocated on the heap
 No actual bits in the generated code

Local Variable Field Access

Source

int n = obj.fld;

- **X86**
 - Assuming that obj is a local variable in the current method
 - mov eax,[ebp+offset_{obj}]
 - mov eax,[eax+offset_{fld}]
 - mov [ebp+offset_n],eax

- ; load obj ptr
- ; load fld
- ; store n

Local Fields

- A method can refer to fields in the receiving object either explicitly as "this.f" or implicitly as "f"
 - Both compile to the same code an implicit "this." is assumed if not present explicitly
- Mechanism: a reference to the current object is an implicit parameter to every method
 - Can be in a register or on the stack

Source Level View You really get When you write void setIt(ObjType this, void setIt(int it) { int it) { this.it = it; this.it = it; } } setIt(obj,42); . . . obj.setIt(42);

x86 Conventions (C++)

- ecx is traditionally used as "this"
- Add to method call

mov ecx, receivingObject ; ptr to object

- Do this after arguments are evaluated and pushed, right before dynamic dispatch code that actually calls the method
- Need to save ecx in a temporary or on the stack in methods that call other non-static methods
 - One possibility: add to prologue
 - Following examples aren't careful about this

x86 Local Field Access

Source int n = fld; or int n = this.fld; X86 mov eax,[ecx+offset_{fld}] ; load fld mov [ebp+offset_n],eax ; store n

x86 Method Tables (vtbls)

- We'll generate these in the assembly language source program
- Need to pick a naming convention for method labels; suggestion:
 - For methods, classname\$methodname
 - Would need something more sophisticated for overloading
 - For the vtables themselves, classname\$\$
- First method table entry points to superclass table
- Also useful: second entry points to default (0argument) constructor (if you have constructors)
 - Makes implementation of super() particularly simple

Method Tables For Perverse Example

```
class One {
    void setTag() { ... }
    int getTag() { ... }
    void setIt(int it) {...}
    int getIt() { ... }
}
```

```
class Two extends One {
   void setTag() { ... }
   int getThat() { ... }
   void resetIt() { ... }
}
```

	.da	ta
One\$\$	dd	0 ; no superclass
	dd	One\$One
	dd	One\$setTag
	dd	One\$getTag
	dd	One\$setIt
	dd	One\$getIt
Two\$\$	dd	One\$\$; parent
	dd	Two\$Two
	dd	Two\$setTag
	dd	One\$getTag
	dd	One\$setIt
	dd	One\$getIt
	dd	Two\$getThat
	dd	Two\$resetIt

Method Table Footnotes

Key point: First four non-constructor method entries in Two's method table are pointers to methods declared in One in *exactly the same order*

∴ Compiler knows correct offset for a particular method *regardless of whether that method is overridden*

Object Creation – new

Steps needed

- Call storage manager (malloc or similar) to get the raw bits
- Store pointer to method table in the first 4 bytes of the object
- Call a constructor (with pointer to the new object, this, in ecx)
- Result of new is pointer to the constructed object

Object Creation

Source

One one = new One(...);

X86

- push nBytesNeeded
- call mallocEquiv
- add esp,4
- lea edx,One\$\$
- mov [eax],edx
- mov ecx,eax
- push ecx
- <push constructor arguments>
- call One\$One
- <pop constructor arguments>
- pop eax
- mov [ebp+offset_{one}],eax

- ; obj size + 4
- ; addr of bits returned in eax
- ; pop nBytesNeeded
- ; get method table address
- ; store vtab ptr at beginning of object
- ; set up "this" for constructor
- ; save ecx (constructor might clobber it)
- ; arguments (if needed)
- ; call constructor (no vtab lookup needed)
- ; (if needed)
- ; recover ptr to object
- ; store object reference in variable one

Constructor

 Only special issue here is generating call to superclass constructor

 Same issues as super.method(...) calls – we'll defer for now

Method Calls

- Steps needed
 - Push arguments as usual
 - Put pointer to object in ecx (new this)
 - Get pointer to method table from first 4 bytes of object
 - Jump indirectly through method table
 - Restore ecx to point to current object (if needed)
 - Useful hack: push it in the function prologue so it is always in the stack frame at a known location

Method Call

Source

obj.meth(...);

X86

<push arguments from right to left> ; (as needed)

- mov ecx,[ebp+offset_{obj}] ; get pointer to object
- mov eax,[ecx] ; get pointer to method table
- call dword ptr [eax+offset_{meth}] ; call indirect via method tbl <pop arguments> ; (if needed)
- mov ecx,[ebp+offset_{ecxtemp}]; (if needed)

Handling super

- Almost the same as a regular method call with one extra level of indirection
- Source

super.meth(...);

X86

<push arguments from right to left> ; (if needed)

- mov ecx,[ebp+offset_{obj}] ; get pointer to object
- mov eax,[ecx] ; get method tbl pointer
- mov eax,[eax] ; get parent's method tbl pointer
- call dword ptr [eax+offset_{meth}] ; indirect call
 <pop arguments> ; (if needed)

Runtime Type Checking

- Use the method table for the class as a "runtime representation" of the class
- The test for "o instanceof C" is
 - Is o's method table pointer == &C\$\$?
 - If so, result is "true"
 - Recursively, get the superclass's method table pointer from the method table and check that
 - Stop when you reach Object (or a null pointer, depending on how you represent things)
 - If no match when you reach the top of the chain, result is "false"
- Same test as part of check for legal downcast

Coming Attractions

Code generation: register allocation, instruction selection & scheduling

- Industrial-strength versions plus a simpler "get it to work" scheme for our project
- Code optimization