CSE P 501 – Compilers

Instruction Scheduling Hal Perkins Autumn 2009

Agenda

- Instruction scheduling issues latencies
- List scheduling

Issues (1)

- Many operations have non-zero latencies
- Modern machines can issue several operations per cycle
 - Want to take advantage of multiple function units on chip
- Loads & Stores may or may not block
 - may be slots after load/store for other useful work



Issues (2)

- Branch costs vary
- Branches on some processors have delay slots
- Modern processors have heuristics to predict whether branches are taken and try to keep pipelines full
- GOAL: Scheduler should reorder instructions to hide latencies, take advantage of multiple function units and delay slots, and help the processor effectively pipeline execution

Latencies for a Simple Example Machine

Operation	Cycles
LOAD	3
STORE	3
ADD	1
MULT	2
SHIFT	1
BRANCH	0 TO 8

Example: w = w*2*x*y*z;

Simple schedule

```
1 LOAD r1 <- w
```

5 LOAD
$$r2 <- x$$

9 LOAD
$$r2 <- y$$

$$13 LOAD r2 <- z$$

2 registers, 20 cycles

Loads early

```
1 LOAD r1 < -w
```

$$2 LOAD r2 <- x$$

$$3 \text{ LOAD}$$
 $r3 <- y$

6 LOAD
$$r2 < -z$$

3 registers, 13 cycles



Instruction Scheduling

Problem

 Given a code fragment for some machine and latencies for each operation, reorder to minimize execution time

Constraints

- Produce correct code
- Minimize wasted cycles
- Avoid spilling registers
- Do this efficiently



Precedence Graph

- Nodes n are operations
- Attributes of each node
 - type kind of operation
 - delay latency
- If node n2 uses the result of node n1, there is an edge e = (n1,n2) in the graph

Example Graph

Code

```
a LOAD r1 <- w
b ADD r1 <- r1,r1
c LOAD r2 <- x
d MULT r1 <- r1,r2
e LOAD r2 <- y
f MULT r1 <- r1,r2
g LOAD r2 <- z
h MULT r1 <- r1,r2
i STORE w <- r1
```

Schedules (1)

- A correct schedule S maps each node n into a non-negative integer representing its cycle number, and
 - S(n) >= 0 for all nodes n (obvious)
 - If (n1,n2) is an edge, then S(n1)+delay(n1) <= S(n2)</p>
 - For each type t there are no more operations of type t in any cycle than the target machine can issue

4

Schedules (2)

 The *length* of a schedule S, denoted L(S) is

```
L(S) = \max_{n} (S(\underline{n}) + delay(n))
```

- The goal is to find the shortest possible correct schedule
 - Other possible goals: minimize use of registers, power, space, ...

Constraints

- Main points
 - All operands must be available
 - Multiple operations can be ready at any given point
 - Moving operations can lengthen register lifetimes
 - Moving uses near definitions can shorten register lifetimes
 - Operations can have multiple predecessors
- Collectively this makes scheduling NP-complete
- Local scheduling is the simpler case
 - Straight-line code
 - Consistent, predictable latencies

Algorithm Overview

- Build a precedence graph P
- Compute a priority function over the nodes in P (typical: longest latency-weighted path)
- Use list scheduling to construct a schedule, one cycle at a time
 - Use queue of operations that are ready
 - At each cycle
 - Chose a ready operation and schedule it
 - Update ready queue
- Rename registers to avoid false dependencies and conflicts

List Scheduling Algorithm

```
Cycle = 1; Ready = leaves of P; Active = empty;
while (Ready and/or Active are not empty)
   if (Ready is not empty)
        remove an op from Ready;
        S(op) = Cycle;
        Active = Active \cup op;
   Cycle++;
   for each op in Active
        if (S(op) + delay(op) <= Cycle)
                remove op from Active;
                for each successor s of op in P
                        if (s is ready – i.e., all operands available)
                                add s to Ready
```

Example

Code

```
a LOAD r1 <- w
b ADD r1 <- r1,r1
c LOAD r2 <- x
d MULT r1 <- r1,r2
e LOAD r2 <- y
f MULT r1 <- r1,r2
g LOAD r2 <- z
h MULT r1 <- r1,r2
i STORE w <- r1
```



Forward vs Backwards

- Backward list scheduling
 - Work from the root to the leaves
 - Schedules instructions from end to beginning of the block
- In practice, compilers try both and pick the result that minimizes costs
 - Little extra expense since the precedence graph and other information can be reused
 - Different directions win in different cases



Beyond Basic Blocks

- List scheduling dominates, but moving beyond basic blocks can improve quality of the code. Some possibilities:
 - Schedule extended basic blocks
 - Watch for exit points limits reordering or requires compensating
 - Trace scheduling
 - Use profiling information to select regions for scheduling using traces (paths) through code