

# CSE P 501 – Compilers

Code Shape II – Objects & Classes

Hal Perkins

Spring 2018

# Agenda

- Object representation and layout
- Field access
- What is **this**?
- Object creation - **new**
- Method calls
  - Dynamic dispatch
  - Method tables
  - Super
- Runtime type information

(As before, more generality than we actually need for the project)

# What does this program print?

```
class One {  
    int tag;  
    int it;  
    void setTag()      { tag = 1; }  
    int getTag()       { return tag; }  
    void setIt(int it) { this.it = it; }  
    int getIt()        { return it; }  
}
```

```
class Two extends One {  
    int it;  
    void setTag() {  
        tag = 2; it = 3;  
    }  
    int getThat() { return it; }  
    void resetIt() { super.setIt(42); }  
}
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
    Two two = new Two();  
    One one = two;  
  
    one.setTag();  
    System.out.println(one.getTag());  
  
    one.setIt(17);  
    two.setTag();  
    System.out.println(two.getIt());  
    System.out.println(two.getThat());  
    two.resetIt();  
    System.out.println(two.getIt());  
    System.out.println(two.getThat());  
}
```

# Your Answer Here

# Object Representation

- The naïve explanation is that an object contains
  - Fields declared in its class and in all superclasses
    - Redeclaration of a field hides (shadows) superclass instance – but the superclass field is still there
  - Methods declared in its class and all superclasses
    - Redeclaration of a method overrides (replaces) – but overridden methods can still be accessed by super...
- When a method is called, the method “inside” that particular object is called
  - Regardless of the static (compile-time) type of the variable
    - (But we really don’t want to copy all those methods, do we?)

# Actual representation

- Each object contains:
  - An entry (“slot”) for each field (instance variable)
    - Including all inherited fields (public or private or ...)
  - A pointer to a runtime data structure for its class
    - Key component: method dispatch table (next slide)
- Basically a C struct
- Fields hidden (shadowed) by declarations in subclasses are *still* allocated in the object and are accessible from superclass methods

# Method Dispatch Tables

- One of these per class, not per object
- Often called “vtable”, “vtbl”, or “vtab”
  - (virtual function table – term from C++, but standard in all languages with dynamic dispatch)
- One pointer per method – points to beginning of method code
- Dispatch table (vtable) offsets fixed at compile time

# Method Tables and Inheritance

- A really simple implementation
  - Method table for each class has pointers to all methods declared in it (a dictionary)
  - Method table also contains a pointer to parent class method table
  - Method dispatch
    - Look in current table and use if method declared locally
    - Look in parent class table if not local
    - Repeat
    - “Message not understood” if you can’t find it after search
  - Actually used/needed in typical implementations of some dynamic languages (e.g. Ruby, Smalltalk, etc.)



# $O(1)$ Method Dispatch

- Idea: First part of method table for extended class has pointers for the same methods in the same order as the parent class
  - BUT pointers actually refer to overriding methods if these exist
    - ∴ Method dispatch can be done with indirect jump using fixed offsets known at compile time –  $O(1)$ 
      - In C: `*(object->vtbl[offset])(parameters)`
- Pointers to additional methods defined (added) in subclass are included in the table following inherited/overridden ones from superclass(es)

# Method Dispatch Footnotes

- Don't need vtable pointer to parent class vtable for method calls, but often useful for other purposes
  - Casts and instanceof
- Multiple inheritance requires more complex mechanisms
  - Also true for multiple interfaces

# Perverse Example Revisited

```
class One {
    int tag;
    int it;
    void setTag() { tag = 1; }
    int getTag() { return tag; }
    void setIt(int it) {this.it = it;}
    int getIt() { return it; }
}
class Two extends One {
    int it;
    void setTag() {
        tag = 2; it = 3;
    }
    int getThat() { return it; }
    void resetIt() { super.setIt(42); }
}
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
    Two two = new Two();
    One one = two;

    one.setTag();
    System.out.println(one.getTag());

    one.setIt(17);
    two.setTag();
    System.out.println(two.getIt());
    System.out.println(two.getThat());
    two.resetIt();
    System.out.println(two.getIt());
    System.out.println(two.getThat());
}
```

# Implementation

# Now What?

- Need to explore
  - Object layout in memory
  - Compiling field references
    - Implicit and explicit use of “this”
  - Representation of vtables
  - Object creation – new
  - Code for dynamic dispatch
  - Runtime type information – instanceof and casts

# Object Layout

- Typically, allocate fields sequentially
- Follow processor/OS alignment conventions for struct/object when appropriate/available
  - Include padding bytes for alignment as needed
- Use first word of object for pointer to method table/class information
- Objects are allocated on the heap
  - No actual storage bits in the generated code

# Object Field Access

- Source

```
int n = obj.fld;
```

- x86-64

- Assuming that obj is a local variable in the current method's stack frame

```
movq offset_obj(%rbp),%rax    # load obj ptr
movq offset_fld(%rax),%rax    # load fld
movq %rax,offset_n(%rbp)     # store n
```

- Same idea used to reference fields of “this”

- Use implicit “this” parameter passed to method instead of a local variable to get object address

# Local Fields

- A method can refer to fields in the receiving object either explicitly as “this.f” or implicitly as “f”
  - Both compile to the same code – an implicit “this.” is assumed if not present explicitly
  - A pointer to the object (i.e., “this”) is an implicit, hidden parameter to all methods



# Source Level View

What you write:

```
int getIt() {  
    return it;  
}  
void setIt(int it) {  
    this.it = it;  
}  
...  
obj.setIt(42);  
k = obj.getIt();
```

What you really get:

```
int getIt(Objtype this) {  
    return this.it;  
}  
void setIt(ObjType this, int it) {  
    this.it = it;  
}  
...  
setIt(obj, 42);  
k = getIt(obj);
```

# x86-64 “this” Convention (C++)

- “this” is an implicit first parameter to every non-static method
- Address of object placed in %rdi for every non-static method call
- Remaining parameters (if any) in %rsi, etc.
- We’ll use this convention in our project

# MiniJava Method Tables (vtbls)

- Generate these as initialized data in the assembly language source program
- Need to pick a naming convention for assembly language labels; suggest:
  - For methods, classname\$methodname
    - Would need something more sophisticated for overloading
  - For the vtables themselves, classname\$\$
- First method table entry points to superclass table (we might not use this in our project, but is helpful if you add instanceof or type cast checks)

# Method Tables For Perverse Example (gcc/as syntax)

```
class One {  
    void setTag() { ... }  
    int getTag() { ... }  
    void setIt(int it) {...}  
    int getIt() { ... }  
}
```

```
class Two extends One {  
    void setTag() { ... }  
    int getThat() { ... }  
    void resetIt() { ... }  
}
```

```
.data  
  
One$$: .quad 0      # no superclass  
       .quad One$setTag  
       .quad One$getTag  
       .quad One$setIt  
       .quad One$getIt  
  
Two$$: .quad One$$  # superclass  
       .quad Two$setTag  
       .quad One$getTag  
       .quad One$setIt  
       .quad One$getIt  
       .quad Two$getThat  
       .quad Two$resetIt
```

# Method Table Layout

Key point: First entries in Two's method table are pointers to methods in *exactly the same order* as in One's method table

- Actual pointers reference code appropriate for objects of each class (inherited or overridden)

∴ Compiler knows correct offset for a particular method pointer *regardless of whether that method is overridden* and regardless of the actual (dynamic) type of the object

# Object Creation – new

## Steps needed

- Call storage manager (malloc or equivalent) to get the raw bits
- Initialize bytes to 0 (for Java, not in e.g., C++)
- Store pointer to method table in the first 8 bytes of the object
- Call a constructor with “this” pointer to the new object in %rdi and other parameters as needed
  - (Not in MiniJava since we don’t have constructors)
- Result of new is a pointer to the new object

# Object Creation

- Source

```
One one = new One(...);
```

- x86-64

```
movq    $nBytesNeeded,%rdi    # obj size + 8 (include space for vtbl ptr)
call    mallocEquiv           # addr of allocated bits returned in %rax
<zero out allocated object, or use calloc instead of malloc to get bytes>
leaq    One$$,%rdx            # get method table address
movq    %rdx,0(%rax)          # store vtbl ptr at beginning of object
movq    %rax,%rdi             # set up "this" for constructor
movq    %rax,offset_temp(%rbp) # save "this" for later
<load constructor arguments>  # arguments (if needed)
call    One$One               # call ctr if we have one (no vtbl lookup)
movq    offset_temp(%rbp),%rax # recover ptr to object
movq    %rax,offset_one(%rbp) # store object reference in variable
```

# Constructor

- Why don't we need a vtable lookup to find the right constructor to call?
- Because at compile time we know the actual class (it says so right after "new"), so we can generate a call instruction to a known label
  - Same with super.method(...) or superclass constructor calls – at compile time we know all of the superclasses (need this to compile subclass and construct method tables), so we know statically what class "super.method" belongs to



# Method Calls

- Steps needed
  - Parameter passing: just like an ordinary C function, except load a pointer to the object in `%rdi` as the first (“this”) argument
  - Get a pointer to the object’s method table from the first 8 bytes of the object
  - Jump indirectly through the method table

# Method Call

- Source

`obj.method(...);`

- x86-64

<load arguments in registers as usual> # as needed

```
movq  offset_obj(%rbp),%rdi  # first argument is obj ptr ("this")
```

```
movq  0(%rdi),%rax          # load vtable address into %rax
```

```
call  *offset_method(%rax)  # call function whose address is at  
#      known offset in the vtable *
```

\*Or can use: `addq $offset_method,%rax`  
`call *(%rax)`

or : `movq $offset_method(%rax),%rax`  
`call *%rax`

# Runtime Type Checking

- We can use the method table for the class as a “runtime representation” of the class
  - Each class has one vtable at a unique address
- The test for “o instanceof C” is
  - Is o’s method table pointer == &C\$\$ ?
    - If so, result is “true”
  - Recursively, get pointer to superclass method table from the method table and check that
  - Stop when you reach Object (or a null pointer, depending on whether there is a ultimate superclass of everything)
    - If no match by the top of the chain, result is “false”
- Same test as part of check for legal downcast (e.g., how to test for ClassCastException in (type)obj cast)

# Coming (& past) Attractions

- Other IRs besides ASTs
- Code analysis and optimization
- Industrial-strength back end (register allocation, instruction selection & scheduling)
- Other topics as time allows
  - GC? Dynamic languages? JVM? What else?
- And simple code generation for the project