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Some thoughts on language

- "But if thought corrupts language, language can also corrupt thought."
- George Orwell, Politics and the English Language, 1946
- "If you cannot be the master of your language, you must be its slave."
- Richard Mitchell
- "A different language is a different vision of life." - Federico Fellini
- "The language we use ... determines the way in which we view and think about the world around us." - The Sapir-Whorf hypothesis


## Why study <br> programming languages?

- Knowing many languages broadens thought
- better ways to organize software
- in both existing and new languages
- better ways to divide responsibilities among tools and humans
- To understand issues underlying language designs, debates, etc.
- Language design impacts software engineering, software quality, compilers \& optimizations
- Some language tools can aid other systems
- E.g., extensible/open but safe systems

Course overview (1/2)

- Part 1: functional languages
- A practical example: ML
- Other exposure: Scheme, Haskell
- Theoretical foundations: lambda calculi, operational semantics, type theory
- Project: a Scheme interpreter \& type inferencer, implemented in ML


## Course overview (2/2)

- Part 2: object-oriented languages
- A practical example: Cecil
- Other exposure: Self, Java/C\#, EML
- Theoretical foundations
- Project: a Self interpreter \& type checker, implemented in Cecil (maybe)


## Course work

- Readings
- Weekly homework
- Some moderate programming
- Some paper exercises
- Midterm
- Final


## Language Design Overview

## Some language design goals

- Be easy to learn
- Support rapid (initial) development
- Support easy maintenance, evolution
- Foster reliable, safe software
- Foster portable software
- Support efficient software


## Some means to those goals

- Simplicity
- But what does "simple" mean?
- Readability
- Writability
- Expressiveness
- Well-defined, platform-independent, safe semantics


## The problem

- Many goals in conflict
$\Rightarrow$ language design is an engineering \& artistic activity
$\Rightarrow$ need to consider target audience's needs


## Some target audiences

- Scientific, numerical computing - Fortran, APL, ZPL
- Systems programming - C, C++, Modula-3
- Applications programming
- Java, C\#, Lisp, Scheme, ML, Smalltalk, Cecil, ...
- Scripting, macro languages
- Sh, Perl, Python, Tcl, Excel macros, ...
- Specialized languages
- SQL, LATEX, PostScript, Unix regular expressions,


## Main PL concepts (1/2)

- Separation of syntax, semantics, and pragmatics
- EBNF to specify syntax precisely
- Semantics is more important than syntax
- Pragmatics: programming style, intended use, performance model
- Control structures
- Iteration, conditionals; exceptions
- Procedures, functions; recursion
- Message passing
- Backtracking
- Parallelism


## Main PL concepts (2/2)

- Data structures, types
- Atomic types: numbers, chars, bools
- Type constructors: records, tuples, lists, arrays, functions, ...
- User-defined abstract data types (ADTs); classes
- Polymorphic/parameterized types
- Explicit memory management vs. garbage collection
- Type checking
- Static vs. dynamic typing
- Strong vs. weak typing
- Type inference
- Lexical vs. dynamic scoping
- Eager vs. lazy evaluation


## Some good <br> language design principles

- Strive for a simple, regular, orthogonal model - In evaluation, data reference, memory management, ... - E.g. be expression-oriented, reference-oriented
- Include sophisticated abstraction mechanisms
- Define and name abstractions once then use many times - For control, data, types, ...
- Include polymorphic static type checking
- Have a complete \& precise language specification - Full run-time error checking for cases not detected statically


ML

## Main features

- Expression-oriented
- List-oriented, garbage-collected heap-based
- Functional
- Functions are first-class values
- Largely side-effect free
- Strongly, statically typed
- Polymorphic type system
- Automatic type inference
- Pattern matching
- Exceptions
- Modules
- Highly regular and expressive


## History

- Designed as a Meta Language for automatic theorem proving system in mid 70's by Milner et al.
- Standard ML: 1986
- SML'97: 1997
- Caml: a French version of ML, mid 80's
- O'Caml: an object-oriented extension of Caml, late 90's


## Interpreter interface

- Read-eval-print loop
- Read input expression
- Reading ends with semicolon (not needed in files)
- = prompt indicates continuing expression on next line
- Evaluate expression
- it (re)bound to result, in case you want to use it again
- Print result
- repeat
$-3+4 ;$
val it $=7$ : int
it $+5 ;$
- it + 5;
val it $=12$ : int
- it +5 ;
val it $=17$ : int


## Basic ML data types and operations

- ML is organized around types
- each type defines some set of values of that type
- each type defines a set of operations on values of that type
- in
- real
- $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 }+,-, *, / i<,>,<=,>=(\text { no equality }) ; \\ & \text { fioor, ceil, trunc, round }\end{aligned}$
- bool: different from int
- true, false; =, <>; orelse, andalso
- string
- e.g. "I said \"hi\"\tin dir C:<br>stuff $\backslash \backslash \operatorname{dir} \backslash \mathrm{n} "$
- char $=$,
- e.g. \#"a", \#" $\backslash n$
- =, <>; ord, str


## Variables and binding

- Variables declared and initialized with a val binding
- val $x:$ int $=6$;
val $x=6$
val $x=6$
- val $y:$ int $=x$
val $y=36$ : int
- Variable bindings cannot be changed!
- Variables can be bound again,
but this shadows the previous definition
- val $y: i n t=y+1 i$
val $y=37$ : int ( a new, different $y$ *)
- Variable types can be omitted
- they will be inferred by ML based on the type of the r.h.s.
$-\operatorname{val} z=x * y+5 ;$
val $z=227$;


## Strong, static typing

- ML is statically typed: it will check for type errors statically
- when programs are entered, not when they're run
- ML is strongly typed: it will catch all type errors (a.k.a. it's type-safe)
- But which errors are type errors?
- Can have weakly, statically typed languages, and strongly, dynamically typed languages


## Type errors

- Type errors can look weird, given ML's fancy type system

> Error: unbound variable or constructor: asd $-3+4.5$; Error: operator and operand don't agree operator domain: int * int operand: in expression: int * real $3+4.5$ $-3 / 4$; Error: overloaded variable not defined at type symbol:/ type: int

## Records

- ML records are like C structs
- allow heterogeneous element types, but fixed \# of elements
- A record type: \{name:string, age:int\}
- field order doesn't matter
- A record value: $\{$ name="Bob Smith", age=20\}
- Can construct record values from expressions for field values
- as with any value, can bind record values to variables - val bob = \{name="Bob " ^ "Smith"
$=\quad$ age $=18+$ num_years_in_college $\}$
val bob $=\{$ age $=20$, name $=$ "Bob Smith" $\}$
: \{age:int, name:string\}


## Accessing parts of records

- Can extract record fields using
\#fieldname function
- like C's -> operator, but a regular function

```
- val bob' = {name = #name(bob),
= age = #age (bob) +1};
val bob' = {age=21,name="Bob Smith"}
```

\{...\}

- Cannot assign/change a record's fields $\Rightarrow$ an immutable data structure


## Tuples

- Like records, but fields ordered by position, not label - Useful for pairs, triples, etc.
- A tuple type: string * int
- order does matter
- A tuple value: ("Joe Stevens", 45)
- Can construct tuple values from expressions for elements
- as with any value, can bind tuple values to variables val joe = ("Joe "^"Stevens", 25+num_jobs*10); val joe $=($ "Joe Stevens",45) : string * int


## Accessing parts of tuples

- Can extract tuple fields using \#n function
- val joe' = (\#1(joe), \#2(joe)+1);
val joe' $=($ "Joe Stevens",46)
string * int
- Cannot assign/change a tuple's components
$\Rightarrow$ another immutable data structure


## Lists

- ML lists are built-in, singly-linked lists
- homogeneous element types, but variable \# of elements
- A list type: int list
- in general: $T$ list, for any type $T$
- A list value: [3, 4, 5]
- Empty list: [] or nil
- null (lst): tests if 1 st is nil
- Can create a list value using the [...] notation
- elements are expressions
- val 1 st $=[1+2,8$ div 2, \#age (bob) -15$]$;
val 1 st $=[3,4,5]$; int $]$ ist val lst $=[3,4,5]:$ int list


## Basic operations on lists

- Add to front of list, non-destructively: : : (an infix operator)
- val lst1 = 3::(4::(5::nil));
val lst1 $=[3,4,5]$ : int list
- val lst2 = 2::lst1;
val lst2 $=[2,3,4,5]$ : int list



## Basic operations on lists

- Adding to the front allocates a new link; the original list is unchanged and still available
- 1st1;
val it $=[3,4,5]$ : int list
- 1st2;
val it $=[2,3,4,5]$ : int list



## More on lists

- Lists can be nested:
- (3 :: nil) :: (4 :: 5 :: nil) :: nil; val it $=[[3],[4,5]]:$ int list list
- Lists must be homogeneous:
- [3, "hi there"];

Error: operator and operand don't agree
operator domain: int * int list
operand: int * string list
in expression:
(3 : int) :: "hi there" :: nil

## Manipulating lists

- Look up the first ("head") element: hd
$-\mathrm{hd}(1$ st1) $+\mathrm{hd}(1$ st2);
val it $=5$ : int
- Extract the rest ("tail") of the list: tl
- val lst3 = tl(lst1)
val 1st3 $=[4,5]$ : int list
val 1st4 = tl(t1(1st3))
val lst4 $=$ [] : int list
- tl(lst4); (* or hd(lst4) *)
uncaught exception Empty
- Cannot assign/change a list's elements - another immutable data structure


## First-class values

- All of ML's data values are first-class
- there are no restrictions on how they can be created, used, passed around, bound to names, stored in other data structures, ....
- One consequence: can nest records, tuples, lists arbitrarily
- an example of orthogonal design
$\{\mathrm{foo}=(3,5.6$, "seattle" $)$
bar $=[[3,4],[5,6,7,8],[],[1,2]]\}$
$\{$ bar:int ist list, foo: int*real*string $\}$
- Another consequence: can create initialized,
anonymous values directly, as expressions
- instead of using a sequence of statements to first declare (allocate named space) and then assign to initialize


## Reference data model

- A variable refers to a value (of whatever type), uniformly
- A record, tuple, or list refers to its element values, uniformly - all values are implicitly referred to by pointer
- A variable binding makes the I.h.s. variable refer to its r.h.s. value
- No implicit copying upon binding, parameter passing
returning from a function, storing in a data structure
- like Java, Scheme, Smalltalk, ... (all high-level languages)
- unlike C, where non-pointer values are copied
- C arrays?
- Reference-oriented values are heap-allocated (logically) - scalar values like ints, reals, chars, bools, nil optimized


## Garbage collection

- ML provides several ways to allocate \& initialize new values
- (...), \{...\}, [...], :
- But it provides no way to deallocate/free values that are no longer being used
- Instead, it provides automatic garbage collection
- when there are no more references to a value (either from variables or from other objects), it is deemed garbage, and the
+ dangling pointers impossible
(could not guarantee type safety without this!)
+ storage leaks impossible
+ simpler programming
+ canpler programming
- less ability to carefully manage memory use \& reuse
- GCs exist even for C \& C++, as free libraries


## Functions

- Some function definitions:

val square $=f n$ : int $\rightarrow$ int
fun swap (a:int, b:string): string*int $=(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a})$;
- Functions are values with types of the form
$T_{\text {arg }}{ }^{->} T_{\text {result }}$
- use tuple type for multiple arguments
- use tuple type for multiple results (orthogonality!)
-     * binds tighter than ->
- Some function calls:

```
- square(3); (* parens not needed! *)
val it = 9 : int ( - *wap(3 4, "billy" ^ "bob"); (*parens needed*)
    val it = ("billybob",12) : string * int
```


## Expression-orientation

- Function body is a single expression
fun square ( $x$ :int) : int $=x$ * $x$
- not a statement list
- no return keyword
- Like equality in math
- a call to a function is equivalent to its body, after substituting the actuals in the call for its formals square (3) $\Leftrightarrow(x * x)[x \rightarrow 3] \Leftrightarrow 3 * 3$
- There are no statements in ML, only expressions - simplicity, regularity, and orthogonality in action
- What would be statements in other languages are recast as expressions in ML


## If expression

- General form: if test then e1 else e2
- return value of either e1 or e2, based on whether test is true or false
- cannot omit else part
- fun max(x:int, $y:$ int $):$ int $=$
if $\mathrm{x}>=\mathrm{y}$ then x else y ;
- Like ? : operator in C
- don't need a distinct if statement


## Static typechecking of if expression

- What are the rules for typechecking an if expression?
- Some basic principles of typechecking:
- values are members of types
- the type of an expression must include all the values that might possibly result from evaluating that expression at run-time
- Requirements on each if expression:
- the type of the test expression must be bool
- the type of the result of the if must include whatever values might be . the if might return
- A solution: e1 and e2 must have the same type, and that type is the type of the result of the if expression


## Let expression

- let: an expression that introduces a new nested scope with local variable declarations
- unlike \{ ... \} statements in C , which don't compute results
. like a gcc extension?
- General form:
let val $i d_{1}:$ type $_{1}=e_{1}$
val $i d_{n}:$ type $_{n}=e_{n}$
type are batio
- type $e_{i}$ are optional; they'll be inferred from the
- Evaluates each $e_{i}$ and binds it to $i d_{i}$, in turn
- each $e_{i}$ can refer to the previous $i d_{i}$. .id $d_{i-1}$ bindings
- Evaluates $e_{\text {bady }}$ and returns it as the result of the let expression
- $e_{\text {pody }}$ can refer to all the $i d_{1} . . i d_{n}$ bindings
- The id bindings disappear after $e_{\text {bady }}$ is evaluated
- they're in a nested, local scope


## Example scopes

```
-val x = 3;
    val x = 3 : int
    fun f(y:int):int =
        let val z = x + y
        val x = 4
            in (let val y = z + x
                in x + y + z end)
                x + y + z
    end;
    val f = fn : int -> int
    - val x = 5;
    val x = 5 : int
    - f(x);
    ???
```


## "Statements"

- For expressions that have no useful result, return empty tuple, of type unit:

> - print("hi\n");
> hi
> val it = () : unit

- Expression sequence operator: ; (an infix operator, like C's comma operator)
- evaluates both "arguments", returns second one
- val z = (print("hi "); print("there\n"); 3);
hi there
val $z=3$ : int


## Type inference for functions

- Declaration of function result type can be omitted - infer function result type from body expression result type fun max (x:int, $y$ int )
$=\quad$ if $x>=y$ then $x$ else $y ;$
val $\max =$ fn : int * int $->$ int
- Can even omit formal argument type declarations
- infer all types based on how arguments are used in body
- constraint-based algorithm to do type inference
fun $\max (x, y)=$
val $\max =f n$ : int * int $\rightarrow$ int


## Functions with many possible types

- Some functions could be used on arguments of different types
- Some examples:
- null: can test an int list, or a string list, or ...; general, work on a list of any type $T$
- hd: similarly works on a list of any type $T$, and returns an element of that type:
- swap: takes a pair of an $A$ and a $B$, returns a pair of a $B$ and an $A$ :

How swap: $A * B \rightarrow B * A$

- How to define such functions in a statically-typed language? - in C: can't (or have to use casts)
in C++: can use templates (but can't check separately)
- in ML: allow functions to have polymorphic types


## Polymorphic types

- A polymorphic type contains one or more type variables
- an identifier starting with a quote
'a list
\{x:'a, y:'b\} list * 'a -> 'b
- A polymorphic type describes a set of possible types, where each type variable is replaced with some type
- each occurrence of a type variable must be replaced with the same type
$[' a \rightarrow$ int, ' $b \rightarrow$ string, $\quad c \rightarrow$ real->real]
$\Leftrightarrow$ (int * string * int * (real->real))


## Polymorphic functions

- Functions can have polymorphic types:
null : 'a list -> bool
hd : 'a list -> 'a
tl : 'a list -> 'a list
(op ::): 'a * 'a list -> 'a list swap : 'a * 'b -> 'b * 'a


## Calling polymorphic functions

- When calling a polymorphic function, need to find the instantiation of the polymorphic type into a regular type that's appropriate for the actual arguments
- caller knows types of actual arguments
- can compute how to replace type variables so that the replaced function type matches the argument types
- derive type of result of call
- Example: hd ([3, 4, 5])
- type of argument: int list
- type of function: 'a list ->
- replace 'a with int to make a match
- instantiated type of hd for this call: int list -> int
- type of result of this call: int


## Polymorphic values

- Regular values can polymorphic, too

```
nil: 'a list
```

- Each reference to nil finds the right instantiation for that use, separately from other references

```
(3 :: 4 :: nil) :: (5 :: nil) :: nil
```


## Polymorphism versus overloading

- Polymorphic function: same function usable for many different types

```
val swap = fn : 'a * 'b b 'b * 'a
```

- Overloaded function: several different functions, but with same name
- the name + is overloaded
- a function of type int*int->int
- a function of type real*real->real
- Resolve overloading to particular function based on:
- static argument types (in ML)
- dynamic argument classes (in object-oriented languages)

Example of overload resolution

## $-3+4 ;$

val it $=7$ : int
$-3.0+4.5$;
val it $=7.5$ : real

- (op +); (* which? default to int *)
val it $=$ fn : int*int -> int
- (op +):real*real->real;
val it $=f n$ : real*real -> real


## Equality types

- Built-in = is polymorphic over all types that "admit equality" - i.e., any type except those containing reals or functions
- Use ' 'a, ' 'b, etc. to stand for these equality types
fun is_same ( $x, y$ ) $=$ if $x=y$ then "yes" else "no"
val 1s_same $=f$ n : ' 'a * ''a -> string
is_same (3, 4);
val it = "no" : string

val it $=$ "yes" : string
- is same $(3.4,3.4)$;
Error: operator and operand don't agree lequality type operator domain: ' $/ z$ * '/ $z$
operand: real * real
in expression:
is_same (3.4, 3.4)


## Loops, using recursion

- ML has no looping statement or expression
- Instead, use recursion to compute a result
fun append(11, l2) =
if null(11)
then 12
else hd(l1) :: append(tl(l1), l2)
val lst1 $=[3,4]$
val lst2 $=[5,6,7]$
val lst3 $=$ append(lst1, lst2)


## Tail recursion

- Tail recursion: recursive call is last operation before returning
- can be implemented just as efficiently as iteration, in both time and space, since tail-caller isnt needed after callee returns
- Some tail-recursive functions:
$\begin{gathered}\text { fun last (1st) } \\ \text { let val tail }\end{gathered}=$
let val tail $=\mathrm{tl}(1 \mathrm{st})$
in if null(tail) then hd(lst) else last(tail) end
fun includes (1st, $x$ ) $=$
if null (1st) then false
else if hd (1st) $=x$ then true
else includes(t1(lst), x)

Converting to tail-recursive form

- Can often rewrite a recursive function into a tail-recursive one - introduce a helper function (usually nested)
- the helper function has an extra accumulator argument
- the accumulator holds the partial result computed so far
- accumulator returned as full result when base case reached
- This isn't tail-recursive:
fun fact $(\mathrm{n})=$
else fact ( $n-1$ ) *
- This is:
fun fact (no) $=$
let fun fact_helper(n, res) $=$
if $\mathrm{n}<=1$ then res
else fact, helper ( $\mathrm{n}-1$, res $\star_{\mathrm{n}}$ )
in fact helper ( $\mathrm{nO}, 1$ 1) end


## Pattern matching

- Pattern-matching: a convenient syntax for extracting

Components of compound values (tuple, record, or list)

- A pattern looks like an expression to build a compound value,

A pattern looks like an expression with variable names to be bound in some places

- cannot use the same variable name more than once
- Use pattern in place of variable on I.h.s. of val binding
- anywhere val can appear: either at top-level or in let
anywhere val can appear:
(orthogonality \& regularity)
val $x=($ false, 17$) ;$
val $x=($ (false, 17$):$ boo1*int
val $(a, b)=x_{i}$
val $a=$ false
val $a=$ false: $b 001$
val $b=17$ : int
- val (root1, root 2 ) $=$
-val (root1, root $)=$ quad_roots (3.0, 4.0, 5.0)
val root1 $=0.786299647847-1$
val root $2=\sim 2.11963298118$ : real


## More patterns

- List patterns

```
val [x,y] = 3::4::nil;
lol}\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ val }x=3: int}\\{\mathrm{ val }y=4: int}
val (x::y::zs)=[3,4,5,6,7],
val }x=3:\mathrm{ int 
val zs=[5,6,7] : int list
```

- Constants (ints, bools, strings, chars, nil) can be patterns:
val ( $x$, true, $3, " x ", z$ ) $=(5.5$, true, $3, " x ",[3,4]$ )
val $x=5.5$ : real
val $z=[3,4]$ : int list
- If don't care about some component, can use a wildcard:
$\operatorname{val}(:::-: z s)=[3,4,5,6,7] ;$
val $z s=[5 ; 6,7]:$ int list
- Patterns can be nested, too
    - orthogonality


## Function argument patterns

- Formal parameter of a fun declaration can be a pattern
- fun swap (i, j) $=(j, i)$;
val swap $=f n:(a * i b->$
- fun swap2 $\mathrm{p}=(\# 2 \mathrm{p}, \# 1$


- fun best_friend $\{$ student $=\{$ name $=\mathrm{n}$, age $=$ _ $\}$
grades=
$\mathrm{n}^{\wedge}{ }^{n}$ 's best best friends $=\{$ name f , age $\left.=\}::\right\}$
val best_friend $=f$ f
\{best friends:\{age:'a, name:string\} list, grades: 'b,
student:
student: \{age:'c, name:string\}\}
- In general, patterns allowed wherever binding occurs


## Multiple cases

- Often a function's implementation can be broken down into several different cases, based on the argument value
- ML allows a single function to be declared via several cases
- Each case identified using pattern-matching
- cases checked in order, until first matching case
fun $f i b \quad 0$
$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { fib } \\ & \text { fib }\end{aligned}=1\right.$
fib $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{n}-2)$;
val $f i b=f n$ : int $\rightarrow$ int
$\begin{aligned} & \text { fun null nil } \\ & \text { | null (: }=\text { true } \\ & \text { false }\end{aligned}$

| append $(\mathrm{x}:: \mathrm{xs}$, lst $)=\mathrm{x}::$ append $(\mathrm{xs}, 1$ st) $)$
- The function has a single type
$\Rightarrow$ all cases must have same argument and result types


## Missing cases

- What if we don't provide enough cases?
- ML gives a warning message "match nonexhaustive"
- ML gives a warning message "match
- ML raises an exception "nonexhaustive match failure"
if invoked and no existing case applies (dynamically)
- fun firstalelem ( $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{xs}$ ) $=\mathrm{x}_{i}$
Warning: match nonexhaustive
val first_elem = fn : 'a list ->
- first_elem [3,4,5];
val it $=3$ : int
uncaught exception nonexhaustive match failure
- How would you provide an implementation of this missing case for nil?

```
            - fun first_elem (x::xs) = x 
```


## Exceptions

- If get in a situation where you can't produce a normal value of he right type, then can raise an exception
- aborts out of normal execution
- can be handled by some caller
- Step 1: declare an exception that can be raised exception EmptyList
- Step 2: use the raise expression where desired
fun first_elem (x::xs) =
al first_elem $=f n$ : 'a list $\rightarrow$ 'a 'a (* no warning! *) val first_elem $=$ fn ;
- first elem $[3,4,5]$;
val it $=3$ : int
uncaught exception EmptyLis


## Handling exceptions

- Add handler clause to expressions to handle (some) exceptions raised in that expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { expr handle exn_name } & =>\text { expr }_{1} \\
\mid \text { exn_name } & =>\text { expr }_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { | exn_name }{ }_{n}=>\text { expr }_{n}
$$

- if expr raises exn_name ${ }_{i}$, then evaluate and return expr $r_{i}$ instead
- fun second_elem 1 = first_elem ( $t 1$ l);
val second_elem = fn : 'a list -> 'a - (second_elem [3] handle EmptyList => ~1) + 5 val it = 4 : int

Exceptions with arguments

- Can have exceptions with arguments
- exception IOError of int; exception IOError of int;
- (... raise IOError (-3) ...)
handle IOError (code) => ... code ..


## Type synonyms

- Can give a name to a type, for convenience
- name and type are equivalent, interchangeable
- type person = \{name:string, age:int\};
type person = \{age:int, name:string\}
- val p:person = \{name="Bob", age=18\};
val $p=\{$ age $=18$, name="Bob" $\}$ : person
- val p2 $=p_{i}$
val $p 2=\{$ age=18, name="Bob" $\}$ : person
- val p3:\{name:string, age:int\} = p;
val $p 3=\{$ age $=18$, name="Bob" $\}$
: \{age:int, name:string\}


## Polymorphic type synonyms

- Can define polymorphic synonyms
- type 'a stack = 'a list;
type 'a stack = 'a list
val emptyStack:'a stack = nil
val emptyStack = [] : 'a stack
- Synonyms can have multiple type parameters
- type (''key, 'value) assoc_list =
$=\quad$ (''key * 'value) list
type ('a,'b) assoc_list = ('a * 'b) list
- val grades:(string,int) assoc list =
= [("Joe", 84), ("Sue", 98), ("Dude", 44)];
val grades=[("Joe", 84), ("Sue", 98), ("Dude",44)] :(string,int) assoc_list


## Datatypes

- Users can define their own (polymorphic) data structures
- a new type, unlike type synonyms
- Simple example: ML's version of enumerated types
- datatype sign = Positive | Zero | Negative
- declares a type (sign) and a set of alternative constructor values of that type (Positive etc.)
- order of constructors doesn't matter
- Another example: bool
datatype bool = true | false
datatype bool $=$ false $\mid$ true


## Using datatypes

- Can use constructor values as regular values
- Their type is a regular type
- fun signum (x) =
$=$ if $x>0$ then Positive
$=$ else if $x=0$ then Zero
$=$ else Negative;
val signum $=$ fn : int $->$ sign


## Datatypes and pattern-matching

- Constructor values can be used in patterns, too
- fun signum(Positive) = 1
$=\mid$ signum(Zero) $=0$
$=\mid$ signum (Negative) $=\sim 1$;
val signum $=$ fn : sign $->$ int


## Datatypes with data

- Each constructor can have data of particular type stored with it
- constructors with data are functions that allocate \& initialize new values with that "tag"
datatype LiteralExpr
$=$ Nil
$=$ Integer of int $\mid$
datatype LiteralExpr
Integer of int | Nil | String of string
- Nil;
val it = Nil : LiteralExpr
Integer (3);
val it = Integer 3 : LiteralExpr
val it = String
val it = String "xyz" : LiteralExpr


## Recursive datatypes

- Many datatypes are recursive: one or more constructors are defined in terms of the datatype itself
datatype Expr =
Integer of int
$=\quad$ String of string
$=$ Tuple of Expr list
$=$ BinOpExpr of \{arg1:Expr, operator:string, arg2:Expr\}
$=$ FnCall of $\{$ function:string, arg:Expr\};
datatype Expr $=\ldots$
- val e1 $=$ Tuple [Integer (3), String("hi")];
val e1 $=$ Tuple $[$ Integer 3, String "hi"] : Expr
- (Nil, Integer, and String of Literal Expr are shadowed)


## Another example Expr value

## Recursive functions over recursive datatypes

```
(* f(3+x, "hi") *)
- val e2 =
= FnCall {
        function="f"
        arg=Tuple [
            BinOpExpr {arg1=Integer(3),
                    operator="+",
                    arg2=Variable("x")},
            String("hi")]};
val e2 = ... : Expr
```

- Often manipulate recursive datatypes with recursive functions
- pattern of recursion in function matches pattern of recursion in datatype
fun tostring (Nil) $=$ "nil"
$=\quad \mid$ toString(Integer(i)) $=$ Int.toString(i)
toString (String(s)) $=" \backslash " " \wedge^{\text {s }}$ "
| toString(Tuple (elems)) =
"(" ^ 1istToString(elems) ^ ")"
toString (BinOpExpr\{arg1,operator, arg2\}) $=$ toString (arg1)
toString (arg2)
| tostring(FnCall\{function, arg\}) $=$
function $\wedge$ " (" $\wedge$ tostring (arg) $\wedge$ " $) "$
$=\ldots$
val toString $=$ fn : Expr $->$ string


## Mutually recursive functions and datatypes

- If two or more functions are defined in terms of each other, recursively, then must be declared together, and linked with and

```
fun toString(...) = ... listToString .
and listToString([]) = 
listToString([elem]) = toString(elem)
| listToString(e::es) =
```

toString(e) ^ "," ^ listToString(es);

- If two or more mutually recursive datatypes, then declare them together, linked by and datatype stmt $=\ldots$ Expr and Expr $=\ldots$ Stmt . .


## A convenience: <br> record pattern syntactic sugar

- Instead of writing $\{\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}\}$ as a pattern, can write $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$
- E.g.

BinOpExpr\{arg1,operator, arg2\} ..

- is short-hand for
.. BinOpExpr\{arg1=arg1,
operator=operator, arg2=arg2 \} ..



## Modules for name-space management

- A file full of types and functions can be cumbersome to manage - Would like some hierarchical organization to names
- Modules allow grouping declarations to achieve a hierarchical

Modules all
. ML structure declarations create module

```
                ructure Assoc_List = struct
            type (''k,'v) assoc_list = ( (k*'v) lis
                val empty = nil
            fun store(alist, key, value) =
                = end
                *)
            cype (a,'b) assocllist = ('a*'b) list
            val empty:''a list list * ''a* 'b -> (''a*'b) list
                end
```


## Using structures

- To access declarations in a structure, can use dot notation
- val league $=$ Assoc List.empty;
val $1=1]:$ a 1 ist
- val league $=$ Assoc_List.store (league, "Mariners", \{...);
val league $=[($ "Mariñers", $\{.\})$.$] : (string * \{..\}) list$
- Assoc_List.fetch ("Mariners");
- Assoc_List.fetch("Mariners");
val it $=\{$ wins $=78$, losses $=4\}:\{.$.
- Other definitions of empty, store, fetch, etc. don't clash - Common names can be reused by different structures


## The open declaration

- To avoid typing a lot of structure names, can use the open struct_name declaration to introduce local synonyms for all the declarations in a structure - usually in a let, local, or within some other structure
fun add_first_team (name) =
let
open Assoc_List
(* imports assoc_list, empty, store, fetch *)
val init $=\{$ wins $=0,10 s s e s=0\}$
in
store (empty, name, init)
(* Assoc_List.store (Assoc_List.empty
end name, init) *)



## Specifying the signatures of structures

- Specify desired signature of structure when declaring it:

```
structure AssOC_List :> ASSOC_LIST = struct
type (''k,'v) assoc_list = (''k*'v) list
= val empty = nil
= fun store(alist, key, value) = ...
fun fetch(alist, key) =
= fun helper(...) =
end;
```

- The structure's interface is the given one, not the default interface that exposes everything


## Hidden implementation

```
- Now clients can't see implementation, nor guess it
    val teams = Assoc_List.empty;
    * val teams'= "Mariners":" Yankes": :teams,
```




```
        Assoc_List.helper
    type Records = (string...) Assoc_List.assoc_1ist;
    Eype Records =(string,..)}\mathrm{ Assoc_List.assoc_Iist
    fun sortstandings (ni1:Recoras):Records = nil
    #
        colm,
        in cattern: nil:Records
```

