# Lecture 8: <br> Query Execution 

Wednesday, November 17, 2010

## Outline

- Relational Algebra: Ch. 4.2
- Overview of query evaluation: Ch. 12
- Evaluating relational operators: Ch. 14


## The WHAT and the HOW

- In SQL we write WHAT we want to get form the data
- The database system needs to figure out HOW to get the data we want
- The passage from WHAT to HOW goes through the Relational Algebra


## Data

Ind2819andonno

## SQL = WHAT

Product(pid, name, price)
Purchase(pid, cid, store)
Customer(cid, name, city)

# SELECT DISTINCT x.name, z.name <br> FROM Product x, Purchase y, Customer z WHERE x.pid $=$ y.pid and y.cid $=y . c i d ~ a n d$ x.price > 100 and z.city = 'Seattle' 

It's clear WHAT we want, unclear HOW to get it 2010

## Relational Algebra $=\mathrm{HOW}$

Product(pid, name, price) $\delta$ Purchase(pid, cid, store) Customer(cid, name, city)

## Final answer

T4(name,name)
T2( . . . .)

```
                                    x.name,z.name
```

                                    T3(. . . )
    | $\mathrm{T} 2(\ldots)$ | price>100 and city='Seattle' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T 1 (pid,name,price,pid,cid,store) |  |

## Relational Algebra $=\mathrm{HOW}$

## The order is now clearly specified:

Iterate over PRODUCT...<br>...join with PURCHASE...<br>...join with CUSTOMER...<br>...select tuples with Price>100 and<br>City='Seattle’...<br>...eliminate duplicates...<br>... and that's the final answer !

## Sets v.s. Bags

- Sets: $\{a, b, c\},\{a, d, e, f\},\{ \}, \ldots$
- Bags: $\{a, a, b, c\},\{b, b, b, b, b\}, \ldots$

Relational Algebra has two semantics:

- Set semantics
- Bag semantics


## Extended Algebra Operators

- Union ^, intersection ${ }^{\text {ㅂ }}$, difference -
- Selection $\sigma$
- Projection $П$
- Join $凶$
- Rename $\rho$
- Duplicate elimination $\delta$
- Grouping and aggregation $\gamma$
- Sorting $\tau$


## Relational Algebra (1/3)

## The Basic Five operators:

- Union: ^
- Difference: -
- Selection: $\sigma$
- Projection: П
- Join: $\bowtie$


## Relational Algebra (2/3)

## Derived or auxiliary operators:

- Renaming: $\rho$
- Intersection, complement
- Variations of joins
- natural, equi-join, theta join, semi-join, cartesian product


## Relational Algebra (3/3)

Extensions for bags:

- Duplicate elimination: $\delta$
- Group by: $\gamma$
- Sorting: $\tau$


## Union and Difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R} 1 \text { ^ R2 } \\
& \mathrm{R} 1 \text { - R2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

What do they mean over bags?

## What about Intersection?

- Derived operator using minus

$$
R 1 \text { ㅂ } R 2=R 1-(R 1-R 2)
$$

- Derived using join $\frac{\text { aid }}{\mathrm{T}}$ will explain later)

$$
R 1 \text { ㅂ R2 = R1 } \bigwedge R 2
$$

## Selection

- Returns all tuples which satisfy a condition


## $\sigma c(\mathrm{R})$

- Examples
- $\sigma$ Salary > 40000 (Employee)
- $\quad$ name = "Smith" (Employee)
- The condition c can be =, <, 게, >, 표, <>


## Employee

| SSN | Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234545 | John | 200000 |
| 5423341 | Smith | 600000 |
| 4352342 | Fred | 500000 |

$\sigma$ Salary $>40000$ (Employee)

| SSN | Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5423341 | Smith | 600000 |
| 4352342 | Fred | 500000 |

## Projection

- Eliminates columns
П A1, ..., An (R)
- Example: project social-security number and names:
- П SSN, Name (Employee)
- Answer(SSN, Name)

Semantics differs over set or over bags

Employee |  | SSN | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary |  |  |
| 1234545 | John | 20000 |
| 5423341 | John | 60000 |
| 4352342 | John | 20000 |

П Name,Salary (Employee)

| Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: |
| John | 20000 |
| John | 60000 |
| John | 20000 |

Bag semantics

| Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: |
| John | 20000 |
| John | 60000 |

Set semantics
Which is more efficient to implement?

## Cartesian Product

- Each tuple in R1 with each tuple in R2

$$
R 1 \div R 2
$$

- Very rare in practice; mainly used to express joins


## Employee

| Name | SSN |
| :--- | :--- |
| John | 999999999 |
| Tony | 777777777 |


| EmpSSN | DepName |
| :--- | :--- |
| 999999999 | Emily |
| 777777777 | Joe |

Employee $\times$ Dependent

| Name | SSN | EmpSSN | DepName |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| John | 999999999 | 999999999 | Emily |
| John | 999999999 | 777777777 | Joe |
| Tony | 777777777 | 999999999 | Emily |
| Tony | 777777777 | 777777777 | Joe |
| Dan Suciu -- CSEP544 Fall <br> 2010 |  |  |  |

## Renaming

- Changes the schema, not the instance

$$
\rho \mathrm{B} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{Bn}(\mathrm{R})
$$

- Example:
- $\rho \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ (Employee) 』 $\mathrm{Answer}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S})$


## Natural Join

## R1 $凶$ R2

- Meaning: R1 $₫$ R2 = ПА( $\sigma($ R1 $\times$ R2 $))$
- Where:
- The selection $\sigma$ checks equality of all common attributes
- The projection eliminates the duplicate common attributes


## Natural Join

R | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | $Y$ |
| $X$ | $Z$ |
| $Y$ | $Z$ |
| $Z$ | $V$ |

$\boldsymbol{s}$| $\mathbf{B}$ | C |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Z$ | $U$ |
| $V$ | $W$ |
| $Z$ | $V$ |

$\mathbf{R} \bowtie \mathbf{S}=$
$\Pi A B C(\sigma R . B=S . B(R \times S))$

| A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | $Z$ | $U$ |
| $X$ | $Z$ | $V$ |
| $Y$ | $Z$ | $U$ |
| $Y$ | $Z$ | $V$ |
| $Z$ | $V$ | $W$ |
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## Natural Join

Given the schemas $R(A, B, C, D), S(A, C$, $\mathrm{E})$, what is the schema of $\mathrm{R} \bowtie \mathrm{S}$ ?

- Given $R(A, B, C), S(D, E)$, what is $R \nsubseteq S$ ?
- Given $R(A, B), S(A, B)$, what is $R 凶 S$ ?


## Theta Join

- A join that involves a predicate

$$
\mathrm{R} 1 \bowtie \theta \mathrm{R} 2=\sigma \theta(\mathrm{R} 1 \div \mathrm{R} 2)
$$

- Here $\theta$ can be any condition
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## Eq-join

- A theta join where $\theta$ is an equality


## $R 1 \bowtie A=B R 2=\sigma A=B(R 1 \bar{\circ} 2)$

- This is by far the most used variant of join in practice


## So Which Join Is It?

- When we write $R \bowtie S$ we usually mean an eq-join, but we often omit the equality predicate when it is clear from the context


## Semijoin

## $R \ltimes C S=\Pi A 1, \ldots, A n(R \bowtie C S)$

- Where A1, ..., An are the attributes in R

Formally, $R \ltimes C$ S means this: retain from $R$ only those tuples that have some matching tuple in $S$

- Duplicates in R are preserved
- Duplicates in S don't matter


# Semijoins in Distributed Databases 



## Employee $\backslash$ SSN=EmpSSN ( $\sigma$ age>71 (Depender

Assumptions: Very few Employees have dependents.
Very few dependents have age > 71.
"Stuff" is big.

Task: compute the query with minimum amount of data transfer

# Semijoins in Distributed Databases 



Employee $\bowtie$ SSN=EmpSSN ( $\sigma$ age>71 (Depender
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{SSN})=\Pi$ SSN $\sigma$ age>71 (Dependents)

# Semijoins in Distributed Databases 



## Employee $\backslash$ SSN=EmpSSN ( $\sigma$ age>71 (Depender



# Semijoins in Distributed Databases 



## Employee $\backslash$ SSN=EmpSSN ( $\sigma$ age>71 (Depender



## Joins R US

- The join operation in all its variants (eqjoin, natural join, semi-join, outer-join) is at the heart of relational database systems
- WHY?


## Operators on Bags

- Duplicate elimination $\delta$
$\delta(R)=$ select distinct * from $R$
- Grouping $\gamma$
$\gamma A$, sum $(B)(R)=$ select $A$, sum $(B)$ from $R$ group by $A$
- Sorting $\tau$


## Complex RA Expressions



## RA = Dataflow Program

- Several operations, plus strictly specified order
- In RDBMS the dataflow graph is always a tree
- Novel applications (s.a. PIG), dataflow graph may be a DAG


## Limitations of RA

- Cannot compute "transitive closure"

| Name1 | Name2 | Relationship |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fred | Mary | Father |
| Mary | Joe | Cousin |
| Mary | Bill | Spouse |
| Nancy | Lou | Sister |

- Find all direct and indirect relatives of Fred
- Cannot express in RA !!! Need to write Java program
- Remember the Bacon number? Needs TC too!

```
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\section*{Steps of the Query Processor}


Disk

\section*{Example Database Schema}

Supplier(sno,sname,scity,sstate)
Part(pno,pname,psize,pcolor)
Supply(sno,pno,price)

\section*{View: Suppliers in Seattle}

CREATE VIEW NearbySupp AS
SELECT sno, sname
FROM Supplier
WHERE scity='Seattle' AND sstate='WA'

\section*{Example Query}

\section*{Find the names of all suppliers in Seattle who supply part number 2}

\author{
SELECT sname FROM NearbySupp \\ WHERE sno IN ( SELECT sno \\ FROM Supplies \\ WHERE pno = 2 )
}

\section*{Steps in Query Evaluation}
- Step 0: Admission control
- User connects to the db with username, password
- User sends query in text format
- Step 1: Query parsing
- Parses query into an internal format
- Performs various checks using catalog
- Correctness, authorization, integrity constraints
- Step 2: Query rewrite
- View rewriting, flattening, etc.

\title{
Rewritten Version of Our Query
}

\author{
Original query:
}

\author{
SELECT sname \\ FROM NearbySupp \\ WHERE sno IN ( SELECT sno FROM Supplies WHERE pno = 2 )
}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SELECT S.sname } \\
& \text { FROM Supplier S, Supplies U } \\
& \text { WHERE S.scity='Seattle' AND S.sstate='WA' } \\
& \text { AND S.sno }=\text { U.sno } \\
& \text { AND U.pno }=2 ;
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Continue with Query Evaluation}
- Step 3: Query optimization
- Find an efficient query plan for executing the query
- A query plan is
- Logical query plan: an extended relational algebra tree
- Physical query plan: with additional annotations at each node
- Access method to use for each relation
- Implementation to use for each relational operator

\section*{Extended Algebra Operators}
- Union ^, intersection \({ }^{\text {ㅂ }}\), difference -
- Selection \(\sigma\)
- Projection \({ }^{\square}\)
- Join \(凶\)
- Duplicate elimination \(\delta\)
- Grouping and aggregation \(\gamma\)
- Sorting \(\tau\)
- Rename \(\rho\)

\section*{Logical Query Plan}

Isname


\section*{Query Block}
- Most optimizers operate on individual query blocks
- A query block is an SQL query with no nesting
- Exactly one
- SELECT clause
- FROM clause
- At most one
- WHERE clause
- GROUP BY clause
- HAVING clause

\section*{Typical Plan for Block (1/2)}


\section*{Typical Plan For Block (2/2)}


\section*{How about Subqueries?}
```

SELECT Q.sno
FROM Supplier Q
WHERE Q.sstate = 'WA'
and not exists
SELECT *
FROM Supply P
WHERE P.sno = Q.sno
and P.price > 100

```

\section*{How about Subqueries?}


\section*{How about Subqueries?}
```

SELECT Q.sno
FROM Supplier Q
WHERE Q.sstate = 'WA'
and not exists
SELECT *
FROM Supply P
WHERE P.sno = Q.sno
and P.price > 100

```

```

SELECT Q.sno
FROM Supplier Q
WHERE Q.sstate = 'WA'
and Q.sno not in
SELECT P.sno
FROM Supply P
WHERE P.price > 100

```

\section*{How about Subqueries?}

\author{
(SELECT Q.sno \\ FROM Supplier Q \\ WHERE Q.sstate = 'WA') EXCEPT \\ (SELECT P.sno FROM Supply P WHERE P.price > 100)
}

\section*{Un- \\ nesting}

\author{
SELECT Q.sno FROM Supplier Q \\ WHERE Q.sstate = 'WA' \\ and Q.sno not in SELECT P.sno FROM Supply P WHERE P.price > 100
}

\section*{How about Subqueries?}

\author{
(SELECT Q.sno \\ FROM Supplier Q \\ WHERE Q.sstate = 'WA') EXCEPT \\ (SELECT P.sno FROM Supply P WHERE P.price > 100)
}


\section*{Physical Query Plan}
- Logical query plan with extra annotations
- Access path selection for each relation
- Use a file scan or use an index
- Implementation choice for each operator
- Scheduling decisions for operators

\section*{Dhysicer Guery}
(On the fly)
\(\pi\) sname
(On the fly) \(\quad \sigma\) sscity=‘Seattle’ - lsstate='WA' -1 pno=2
(Nested loop)

(File scan)

Supplies
(File scan)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dan Suciu -- CSEP544 Fall } \\
& 2010
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Final Step in Query \\ - Step 4: Query Procectionsing}
- How to synchronize operators?
- How to pass data between operators?
- What techniques are possible?
- One thread per query
- Iterator interface
- Pipelined execution
- Intermediate result materialization

\section*{Iterator Interface}
- Each operator implements this interface
- Interface has only three methods
- open()
- Initializes operator state
- Sets parameters such as selection condition
- get_next()
- Operator invokes get_next() recursively on its inputs
- Performs processing and produces an output tuple
- close(): cleans-up state

\section*{Pipelined Execution}
(On the fly)
\(\pi\) sname
(On the fly) \(\quad \sigma\) sscity=‘Seattle’ - lsstate='WA' -l pno=2
(Nested loop)


Suppliers
(File scan)

Supplies
(File scan)

\section*{Pipelined Execution}
- Applies parent operator to tuples directly as they are produced by child operators
- Benefits
- No operator synchronization issues
- Saves cost of writing intermediate data to disk
- Saves cost of reading intermediate data from disk
- Good resource utilizations on single processor
- This approach is used whenever possible

\section*{Intermediate Tuple Materriálization}
(On the fly) \(\pi\) sname
(Sort-merge join)
(Scan: write to T1) \(\sigma\) sscity='Seattle’ -Isstate='WA’

Suppliers
(File scan)


Supplies
(File scan)

\section*{Intermediate Tuple Materialization}
- Writes the results of an operator to an intermediate table on disk
- No direct benefit but
- Necessary data is larger than main memory
- Necessary when operator needs to examine the same tuples multiple times

\section*{Physical Operators}

Each of the logical operators may have one or more implementations = physical operators

Will discuss several basic physical operators, with a focus on join

\section*{Question in Class}

Logical operator:
Supply(sno,pno,price) pno=pno Part(pno,pname,psize,pcolor)

Propose three physical operators for the join, assuming the tables are in main memory:

\section*{Question in Class}

Logical operator:
Supply(sno,pno,price) pno=pno Part(pno,pname,psize,pcolor)

Propose three physical operators for the join, assuming the tables are in main memory:
Nested Loop Join
Merge join
Hash join

\section*{1. Nested Loop Join}

\section*{for S in Supply do \{ for P in Part do \{ if (S.pno == P.pno) output(S,P);}
\}
\}

Supply = outer relation
Part = inner relation
Note: sometimes
terminology is switched
Would it be more efficient to choose Part=inner, Supply=outer? What if we had an index on Part.pno?

\section*{It's more complicated...}
- Each operator implements this interface
- open()
get_next()
close()

\section*{Main Memory Nested Loop} Join Revisited
en () \{
Supply.open( );
Part.open( );
S = Supply.get_next( );
close () \{
Supply.close ();
Part.close ( );
get_next() \{
repeat \{
\(\mathrm{P}=\) Part.get_next( );
if ( \(\mathrm{P}==\mathrm{NULL}\) )
\{Part.close();
S= Supply.get_next( ); if ( \(\mathrm{S}==\mathrm{NULL}\) ) return NULL;
Part.open();
\(\mathrm{P}=\) Part.get_next( );
\}
until (S.pno == P.pno);
return (S, P)

ALL operators need to be implemented this way!

\section*{BRIEF Review of Hash Tables}

Separate chaining:
A (naïve) hash function:
\[
h(x)=x \bmod 10
\]

Operations:
find \((103)=\) ?? insert(488) \(=\) ??

\section*{BRIEF Review of Hash Tables}
- insert(k, v) = inserts a key k with value v
- Many values for one key
- Hence, duplicate k's are OK
- find \((\mathrm{k})=\) returns the list of all values v associated to the key k

\section*{2. Hash Join (main memory)}

\section*{Buil}
for S in Supply do insert(S.pno, S); pha se

\author{
for \(P\) in Part do \{
}

LS = find(P.pno);
Prob
ing for \(S\) in LS do \(\{\) output(S, P); \} \}

Supply=
outer
Part=inn
er
Recall: need to rewrite as open, get_next, close

\section*{3. Merge Join (main memory)}
```

Part1 = sort(Part, pno);
Supply1 = sort(Supply,pno);
P=Part1.get_next(); S=Supply1.get_next();
While (P!=NULL and S!=NULL) {
case:
P.pno > S.pno: P = Part1.get_next( );
P.pno < S.pno: S = Supply1.get_next();
P.pno == S.pno { output(P,S);
S = Supply1.get_next();
}
}

```

\section*{Main Memory Group By}

Grouping:
Product(name, department, quantity) \(\gamma\) department, sum(quantity) (Product) ■ Answer(department, sum)

Main memory hash table
Question: How ?

\section*{Duplicate Elimination IS Group By}

Duplicate elimination \(\delta(R)\) is the same as group by \(\gamma(\mathrm{R})\) WHY ???
- Hash table in main memory
- Cost: B(R)
- Assumption: \(\mathrm{B}(\delta(\mathrm{R}))<=\mathrm{M}\)

\section*{Selections, Projections}
- Selection = easy, check condition on each tuple at a time
- Projection = easy (assuming no duplicate elimination), remove extraneous attributes from each tuple

\section*{Review (1/2)}

\section*{Each operator implements this interface}
- open()
- Initializes operator state
- Sets parameters such as selection condition get_next()
- Operator invokes get_next() recursively on its inputs
- Performs processing and produces an output tuple
- close()
- Cleans-up state

\section*{Review (2/2)}
- Three algorithms for main memory join:
- Nested loop join
- Hash join
- Merge join

If \(|R|=m\) and \(|S|=n\), what is the asymptotic complexity for computing \(R \bowtie S\) ?
- Algorithms for selection, projection, group-by

\section*{External Memory Algorithms}
- Data is too large to fit in main memory
- Issue: disk access is 3-4 orders of magnitude slower than memory access
- Assumption: runtime dominated by \# of disk I/O's; will ignore the main memory part of the runtime

\section*{Cost Parameters}

The cost of an operation = total number of I/Os
Cost parameters:
- \(B(R)=\) number of blocks for relation \(R\)
- \(T(R)=\) number of tuples in relation \(R\)
- \(V(R, a)=\) number of distinct values of attribute a
- \(M=\) size of main memory buffer pool, in blocks
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Facts: (1) } B(R) \ll T(R) \text { : } \\
& \text { (2) When a is a key, } V(R, a)=T(R) \\
& \text { When a is not a key, } V(R, a) \ll T(R)
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Ad-hoc Convention}
- We assume that the operator reads the data from disk
- We assume that the operator does not write the data back to disk (e.g.: pipelining)
- Thus:

Any main memory join algorithms for \(R \bowtie S\) : Cost \(=B(R)+B(S)\)

Any main memory grouping \(\gamma(\mathrm{R})\) : Cost \(=\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{R})\)
Dan Suciu -- CSEP544 Fall \(\quad 18\)
2010

\section*{Sequential Scan of a Table R}
- When R is clustered
- Blocks consists only of records from this table
- \(B(R) \ll T(R)\)
- Cost \(=B(R)\)
- When R is unclustered
- Its records are placed on blocks with other tables
- \(B(R)\) еп \(T(R)\)
- Cost \(=T(R)\)

\section*{Nested Loop Joins}
- Tuple-based nested loop \(R \bowtie S\)
for each tuple \(r\) in R do for each tuple s in S do if \(r\) and \(s\) join then output \((r, s)\)

R=outer relation
\(S=\) inner relation
- Cost: \(T(R) B(S)\) when \(S\) is clustered
- Cost: \(T(R) T(S)\) when \(S\) is unclustered

\section*{Examples}
\(M=4 ; \quad R, S\) are clustered
- Example 1:
- \(B(R)=1000, T(R)=10000\)
- \(B(S)=2, T(S)=20\)
- Cost \(=\) ?

Can you do better?
- Example 2:
- \(B(R)=1000, T(R)=10000\)
- \(B(S)=4, T(S)=40\)
- Cost \(=\) ?

\section*{Block-Based Nested-loop Join}

Why not
M ?
for each (M-2) blocks bs of \(\mathbf{S}\) do
for each block br of \(\mathbf{R}\) do for each tuple \(\mathbf{s}\) in bs

\section*{for each tuple \(\mathbf{r}\) in \(\mathbf{b r}\) do} if " \(\mathbf{r}\) and \(\mathbf{s}\) join" then output( \(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}\) )

Terminology alert: book calls S the inner relation
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dan Suciu -- CSEP544 Fall } \\
& 2010
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Block Nested-loop Join}


\section*{Examples}
\(M=4 ; \quad R, S\) are clustered
- Example 1:
- \(B(R)=1000, T(R)=10000\)
- \(B(S)=2, T(S)=20\)
- Cost \(=B(S)+B(R)=1002\)
- Example 2:
- \(B(R)=1000, T(R)=10000\)
- \(B(S)=4, T(S)=40\)
- Cost \(=B(S)+2 B(R)=2004\)

Note: \(T(R)\) and \(T(S)\) are irrelevant here.

\section*{Cost of Block Nested-loop Join}
- Read S once: cost B(S)
- Outer loop runs \(B(S) /(M-2)\) times, and each time need to read \(R\) : costs \(B(S) B(R) /(M-2)\)
\[
\text { Cost }=B(S)+B(S) B(R) /(M-2)
\]

\section*{Index Based Selection}

Recall IMDB; assume indexes on Movie.id, Movie.year

\section*{SELET * \\ FROM Movie \\ WHERE id = '12345'}

SELET *
FROM Movie
WHERE year = '1995'

\section*{\(B(\) Movie \()=10 k\) \(\mathrm{T}(\) Movie \()=1 \mathrm{M}\)}

What is your estimate of the I/O cost?

\section*{Index Based Selection}

Selection on equality: \(\sigma a=v(R)\)
- Clustered index on \(a\) : cost \(B(R) / V(R, a)\)
- Unclustered index : cost \(T(R) / V(R, a)\)

\section*{Index Based Selection}

Example:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& B(R)=10 k \\
& T(R)=1 M \\
& V(R, a)=100
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{cost of \(\sigma a=v(R)=\) ?}
- Table scan (assuming \(R\) is clustered):
- \(B(R)=10 k\) I/Os
- Index based selection:
- If index is clustered: \(B(R) / V(R, a)=1001 / O s\)
- If index is unclustered: \(T(R) / V(R, a)=10000\) I/Os

Rule of thumb:
don't build unclustered indexes when \(V(R, a)\) is small!
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\section*{Index Based Join}
- R \(\bowtie\) S
- Assume \(S\) has an index on the join attribute
for each tuple \(r\) in \(R\) do lookup the tuple(s) s in S using the index output (r,s)

\section*{Index Based Join}

Cost (Assuming R is clustered):
- If index is clustered: \(B(R)+T(R) B(S) / V(S, a)\)
- If unclustered: \(\quad B(R)+T(R) T(S) / V(S, a)\)

\title{
Operations on Very Large Tables
}
- Compute \(R \bowtie S\) when each is larger than main memory
- Two methods:
- Partitioned hash join (many variants)
- Merge-join
- Similar for grouping

\section*{Partitioned Hash-based Algorithms}

Idea:
- If \(B(R)>M\), then partition it into smaller files: R1, R2, R3, ..., Rk
- Assuming \(B(R 1)=B(R 2)=\ldots=B(R k)\), we have \(B(R i)=B(R) / k\)
- Goal: each Ri should fit in main memory: \(B(R i) \leq M\)
```

Da How big can k be? ll

## Partitioned Hash Algorithms

- Idea: partition a relation $R$ into $\mathrm{M}-1$ buckets, on disk
- Each bucket has size approx. $B(R) /(M-1) \approx B(R) / M$ Relation $\mathbf{R}$


$$
\text { Assumption: } \quad B(R) / M<=M \text {, i.e. } B(R)<=M 2
$$

## Grouping

- $\gamma(\mathrm{R})=$ grouping and aggregation
- Step 1. Partition R into buckets
- Step 2. Apply $\gamma$ to each bucket (may read in main memory)
- Cost: 3B(R)
- Assumption: $B(R)<=$ M2


## Partitioned Hash Join

$R 凶 S$

- Step 1:
- Hash S into M buckets
- send all buckets to disk
- Step 2
- Hash R into M buckets
- Send all buckets to disk
- Step 3
- Join every pair of buckets


## Hash-Join

Partition both relations using hash fn h : R tuples in partition i will only match S tuples in partition i.

Original
Relation


Disk B main memory buffers Disk

## Partitions

of R\&S
Join Result
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## Partitioned Hash Join

- Cost: 3B(R) $+3 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~S})$
- Assumption: $\min (B(R), B(S))<=M 2$


## External Sorting

- Problem:
- Sort a file of size B with memory M
- Where we need this:
- ORDER BY in SQL queries
- Several physical operators
- Bulk loading of B+-tree indexes.
- Will discuss only 2-pass sorting, when $B<M 2$


## External Merge-Sort: Step 1

- Phase one: load M bytes in memory, sort



## External Merge-Sort: Step 2

- Merge M-1 runs into a new run
- Result: runs of length $M(M-1)_{\text {д口 }} M 2$


If $B<=\frac{M 2}{2010}$ then we are done

## Cost of External Merge Sort

- Read+write+read $=3 B(R)$
- Assumption: $B(R)<=M 2$


## Grouping

Grouping: $\gamma \mathrm{a}$, sum(b) (R)

- Idea: do a two step merge sort, but change one of the steps
- Question in class: which step needs to be changed and how?

```
Cost = 3B(R)
Assumption: }B(\delta(R)) <= M
        Dan Suciu -- CSEP544 Fall
    2010
```


## Merge-Join

Join R $₫$ S

- Step 1a: initial runs for R
- Step 1b: initial runs for $S$
- Step 2: merge and join


## Merge-Join



## Two-Pass Algorithms Based on Sorting

Join $R \bowtie S$

- If the number of tuples in $R$ matching those in $S$ is small (or vice versa) we can compute the join during the merge phase
- Total cost: 3B(R)+3B(S)
- Assumption: $B(R)+B(S)<=M 2$


## Summary of External Join Algorithms

- Block Nested Loop: B(S) + B(R)*B(S)/M

Index Join: $B(R)+T(R) B(S) / V(S, a)$

- Partitioned Hash: 3B(R)+3B(S);
- $\min (B(R), B(S))<=M 2$
- Merge Join: 3B(R)+3B(S)
- $B(R)+B(S)<=M 2$

