Synchronization

Coherency protocols guarantee that a reading processor (thread) sees the most current update to shared data.

Coherency protocols do not:

- make sure that only one thread accesses shared data or a shared hardware or software resource at a time
 - Critical sections order thread access to shared data
- force threads to start executing particular sections of code together
 Barriers force threads to start executing particular sections of code together

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

- 1

Critical Sections

A critical section

- a sequence of code that only one thread can execute at a time
- provides mutual exclusion
 - a thread has exclusive access to the code & the data that it accesses
 - guarantees that only one thread can update the data at a time
- · to execute a critical section, a thread
 - acquires a lock that guards it
 - · executes its code
 - · releases the lock

The effect is to synchronize or order & sequentialize the access of threads wrt their accessing shared data

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

Barriers

Barrier synchronization

- a barrier: point in a program which all threads must reach before any thread can cross
 - threads reach the barrier & then wait until all other threads arrive
 - all threads are released at once & begin executing code beyond the barrier
- example implementation of a barrier:
 - set a lock-protected counter to the number of processors
 - each thread (assuming 1/processor) decrements it
 - when the counter value becomes 0, all threads have crossed the barrier
- · code that implements a barrier is a critical section
- useful for:
 - · programs that execute in phases
 - · synchronizing after a parallel loop

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

3

Locking

Locking facilitates access to a critical section.

Locking protocol:

- · synchronization variable or lock
 - 0: lock is available
 - 1: lock is unavailable because another thread holds it
- a thread obtains the lock before it can enter a critical section
 - sets the lock to 1
- · thread releases the lock before it leaves the critical section
 - · clears the lock

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

Acquiring a Lock

Atomic exchange instruction: swap a value in a register & a value in memory in one operation

- set the register to 1
- swap the register value & the lock value in memory
- new register value determines whether got the lock

```
AcquireLock:
```

```
li R3, #1  /* create lock value
swap R3, 0(R4)  /* exchange register & lock
bnez R3, AcquireLock /* have to try again */
```

• also known as atomic read-modify-write a location in memory

Other examples

- test & set: tests the value in a memory location & sets it to 1
- fetch & increment/decrement: returns the value of a memory location + 1

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

5

Releasing a Lock

Store a 0 in the lock

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

Load-linked & Store Conditional

Performance problem with atomic read-modify-write:

- · 2 memory operations in one
- must hold the bus until both operations complete

Pair of instructions appears atomic

- avoids need for uninterruptible memory read & write
- · load-locked & store-conditional
 - · load-locked returns the original (lock) value in memory
 - if the contents of lock memory has not changed when the storeconditional is executed, the processor still has the lock
 - store-conditional returns a 1 if successful

```
GetLk: li R3, #1 /* create lock value

11 R2, 0(R1) /* read lock variable

...

sc R3, 0(R1) /* try to lock it
beqz R3, GetLk /* cleared if sc failed
... (critical section)
```

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

7

Load-linked & Store Conditional

Implemented with special processor registers: lock-flag register & lock-address register

- load-locked sets lock-address register to lock's memory address & lock-flag register to 1
- · store-conditional returns lock-flag register value in the store register
- lock-flag register is cleared if the lock is written by another processor
- · lock-flag register cleared if context switch or interrupt

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization

Synchronization APIs

User-level software synchronization library routines constructed with atomic hardware primitives

- spin locks
 - · busywaiting until obtain the lock
 - contention with atomic exchange causes invalidations (for the write) & coherency misses (for the rereads)
 - · avoid if separate reading the lock & testing it from setting it
 - spinning done in the cache rather than over the bus

```
getLk: li R2, #1
spinLoop: ll R1, lockVariable
bnez R1, spinLoop
sc R2, lockVariable
beqz R2, getLk
....(critical section)
st R0, lockVariable
```

- blocking locks
 - block the thread after a certain number of spins

Autumn 2006 CSE P548 - Synchronization

Synchronization Performance

An example overall synchronization/coherence strategy:

- design cache coherency protocol for little interprocessor contention for locks (the common case)
- add techniques to avoid performance loss if there is contention for a lock & still provide low latency if no contention

Have a race condition for acquiring a lock when it is unlocked

- O(p²) bus transactions for p contending processors (write-invalidate)
- exponential back-off software solution
 - · each processor retries at a different time
 - · successive retries done an exponentially increasing time later
- queuing locks hardware solution
 - · lock is passed from unlocking processor to waiting processor
 - · also addresses fairness

Autumn 2006 CSE P548 - Synchronization

10

Atomic Exchange in Practice

Alpha

· load-locked, store-conditional

UltraSPARCs (V9 architecture)

• several primitives compare & swap, test & set, etc.

Pentium Pro

• compare & swap

Autumn 2006

CSE P548 - Synchronization