# Tales of the Tail Hardware, OS, and Application-level Sources of Tail Latency

Jialin Li, Naveen Kr. Sharma, Dan R. K. Ports and Steven D. Gribble

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

February 2, 2015



and the state of t

#### What is Tail Latency?

#### What is Tail Latency?



Texas

moundle software

-

What is Tail Latency?

in burstered

#### What is Tail Latency?





main Alexand Mar

-

in burstered

#### What is Tail Latency?





BIDS.

## What is Tail Latency?



- In Facebook's Memcached deployment,
  - Median latency is  $100 \mu s$ , but  $95^{th}$  percentile latency  $\geq 1 ms$ .



#### What is Tail Latency?

## What is Tail Latency?



Request Processing Time

- In Facebook's Memcached deployment,
  - Median latency is  $100 \mu s$ , but  $95^{th}$  percentile latency  $\geq 1 ms$ .

In this talk, we will explore

- Why some requests take longer than expected?
- What causes them to get delayed?



2

# Why is the Tail important?

• Low latency is crucial for interactive services.

- 500ms delay can cause 20% drop in user traffic. [Google Study]
- Latency is directly tied to traffic, hence revenue.



# Why is the Tail important?

- Low latency is crucial for interactive services.
  - 500ms delay can cause 20% drop in user traffic. [Google Study]
  - Latency is directly tied to traffic, hence revenue.
- What makes it challenging is today's datacenter workloads.
- Interactive services are highly parallel.
- Single client request spawns thousands of sub-tasks.
  - Overall latency depends on slowest sub-task latency.
  - Bad Tail  $\Rightarrow$  Probability of any one sub-task getting delayed is high.



#### A real-life example



Nishtala et. al. Scaling memcache at Facebook, NSDI 2013.

GURGES.



#### A real-life example



Nishtala et. al. Scaling memcache at Facebook, NSDI 2013.

GUDAL.



#### What can we do?

- People in industry have worked hard on solutions.
- Hedged Requests [Jeff Dean et. al.]
  - Effective sometimes, but adds application specific complexity.
- Intelligently avoid *slow* machines
  - Keep track of server status; route requests around slow nodes.



#### What can we do?

- People in industry have worked hard on solutions.
- Hedged Requests [Jeff Dean et. al.]
  - Effective sometimes, but adds application specific complexity.
- Intelligently avoid *slow* machines
  - Keep track of server status; route requests around slow nodes.

- Attempts to build predictable response out of less predictable parts.
- We still don't know *what* is causing requests to get delayed.



## Our Approach

- Pick some real life applications: RPC Server, Memcached, Nginx.
- **2** Generate the ideal latency distribution.
- Solution Measure the actual distribution on a standard Linux server.
- Identify a factor causing deviation from ideal distribution.
- Explain and mitigate it.
- Iterate over this till we reach the ideal distribution.



and ....

#### Rest of the Talk



- Predicted Latency from Queuing Models
- 3 Measurements: Sources of Tail Latencies

#### 4 Summary





ALL BUILDER - di licerte Field

• Ideal baseline for comparing measured performance.



- Ideal baseline for comparing measured performance.
- Assume a simple model, and apply queuing theory.



- Ideal baseline for comparing measured performance.
- Assume a simple model, and apply queuing theory.





- Ideal baseline for comparing measured performance.
- Assume a simple model, and apply queuing theory.





- Ideal baseline for comparing measured performance.
- Assume a simple model, and apply queuing theory.





- Ideal baseline for comparing measured performance.
- Assume a simple model, and apply queuing theory.





- Ideal baseline for comparing measured performance.
- Assume a simple model, and apply queuing theory.



- Given the arrival distribution and request processing time,
- We can predict the time spent by a request in the server.



Ours base



-







9



and the state

- Carlo

99th percentile  $\Rightarrow$  60  $\mu s$ 

Quelous





and my different

- Carlo

99.9th percentile  $\Rightarrow$  200  $\mu s$ 

Guralson







9

• Assume a server with single worker with 50  $\mu s$  fixed processing time.

GUDS. Sam



• Assume a server with single worker with 50  $\mu s$  fixed processing time.





• Assume a server with single worker with 50  $\mu s$  fixed processing time.



Inherent tail latency due to request burstiness.



• Assume a server with single worker with 50  $\mu s$  fixed processing time.



Tail latency depends on the average server utilization.



• Assume a server with single worker with 50  $\mu s$  fixed processing time.



Additional workers can reduce tail latency, even at constant utilization.



#### Introduction

- 2 Predicted Latency from Queuing Models
- 3 Measurements: Sources of Tail Latencies

#### 4 Summary



Cural

#### Testbed

- Cluster of standard datacenter machines.
  - $2 \times Intel L5640 6$  core CPU
  - 24 GB of DRAM
  - Mellanox 10Gbps NIC
  - Ubuntu 12.04, Linux Kernel 3.2.0
- All servers connected to a single 10 Gbps ToR switch.
- One server runs Memcached, others run workload generating clients.
- Other application results are in the paper.



# Timestamping Methodology

- Append a blank buffer  $\approx$  32 bytes to each request.
- Overwrite buffer with timestamps as it goes through the server.



• Very low overhead and no server side logging.



#### How far are we from the ideal?


#### How far are we from the ideal?



and model and the provided

Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

al base



#### How far are we from the ideal?



Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

al base

and model and the second se



#### How far are we from the ideal?



Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

al base

and model and the second se



# Rest of the talk

Source of Tail Latency	Potential way to fix
Background Processes	
Multicore Concurrency	
Interrupt Processing	

and and a second state and based of the



Free

# Rest of the talk

Source of Tail Latency	Potential way to fix
Background Processes	
Multicore Concurrency	
Interrupt Processing	

and and a second state and based of the



Free

# How can background processes affect tail latency?

- Memcached threads time-share a CPU core with other processes.
- We need to wait for other processes to relinquish CPU.
- Scheduling time-slices are usually couple of milliseconds.



# How can background processes affect tail latency?

- Memcached threads time-share a CPU core with other processes.
- We need to wait for other processes to relinquish CPU.
- Scheduling time-slices are usually couple of milliseconds.

#### How can we mitigate it?

- Raise priority (decrease niceness)  $\Rightarrow$  More CPU time.
- Upgrade scheduling class to real-time  $\Rightarrow$  Pre-emptive power.
- Run on a dedicated core  $\Rightarrow$  No interference what-so-ever.



Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

al base

and the state of the second second





Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

and Barreland

and model and the second s





Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

1) Burshare

and in the second



Do Martin Ballinester

# Impact of Background Processes



Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

in Burna la con





Single CPU, single core, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

n bural



Source of Tail Latency	Potential way to fix
Background Processes	Isolate by running on a dedicated core.
Multicore Concurrency	
Interrupt Processing	

Ser 181 Marsh

Hill burstere



Feel

100

Source of Tail Latency	Potential way to fix
Background Processes	Isolate by running on a dedicated core.
Multicore Concurrency	
Interrupt Processing	

Ser 181 Marsh

Hill burstere



Feel

100



Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

Mar burstere

and model and the Prince of the





Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

1) Durales

and maile said





Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

AND IN THE REAL PROPERTY AND

1) Cursilaria





Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

and in the second

al burster





Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

and meddle sender

ala bureles



• Yes it does! Provided we maintain a single queue abstraction.

GIPS Land



• Yes it does! Provided we maintain a single queue abstraction.

IN S. LA CO.





- Yes it does! Provided we maintain a single queue abstraction.
- Memcached partitions requests statically among threads.





Memcached Architecture



- Yes it does! Provided we maintain a single queue abstraction.
- Memcached partitions requests statically among threads.





#### Memcached Architecture

#### How can we mitigate it?

• Modify Memcached concurrency model to use a single queue.



Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

an bushes

and the state of the second second





Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

alin bursterie

and a different of the office





Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

alin bursterie

and marked and the state of the second states of th





Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

ali bural

and model and the Prince of the



Source of Tail Latency	Potential way to fix
Background Processes	Isolate by running on a dedicated core.
Concurrency Model	Ensure a single queue abstraction.
Interrupt Processing	

to and the second second

hill bushere



Texas

100

Source of Tail Latency	Potential way to fix
Background Processes	Isolate by running on a dedicated core.
Concurrency Model	Ensure a single queue abstraction.
Interrupt Processing	

to and the second second

hill bushere



Texas

100

# How can interrupts affect tail latency?

- By default, Linux irqbalance spreads interrupts across all cores.
- OS pre-empts Memcached threads frequently.
- Introduces extra context switching overheads and cache pollution.



# How can interrupts affect tail latency?

- By default, Linux irqbalance spreads interrupts across all cores.
- OS pre-empts Memcached threads frequently.
- Introduces extra context switching overheads and cache pollution.

#### How can we mitigate it?

- Separate cores for interrupt processing and application threads.
- 3 cores run Memcached threads, and 1 core processes interrupts.



ATT IN A BURNER

# Impact of Interrupt Processing



Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

Cursbasi



# Impact of Interrupt Processing



Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

n burales

and model to an a state of the second state of the



# Impact of Interrupt Processing



Single CPU, 4 cores, Memcached running at 80% utilization.

n bural

and mandal bergen Sil



# Other sources of tail latency

Source of Tail Latency	Underlying Cause
Thread Scheduling Policy	Non-FIFO ordering of requests.
NUMA Effects	Increased latency across NUMA nodes.
Hyper-threading	Contending hyper-threads can increase latency.
Power Saving Features	Extra time required to wake CPU from idle state.

Sel marth 1

hala kunstere



# Summary and Future Works

- We explored hardware, OS and application-level sources of tail latency.
- Pin-point sources using finegrained timestaming, and an ideal model.
- We obtain substantial improvements, close to ideal distributions.
- 99.9th percentile latency of Memcached from 5 ms to 32  $\mu$ s.


## Summary and Future Works

- We explored hardware, OS and application-level sources of tail latency.
- Pin-point sources using finegrained timestaming, and an ideal model.
- We obtain substantial improvements, close to ideal distributions.
- 99.9th percentile latency of Memcached from 5 ms to 32  $\mu$ s.
- Sources of tail latency in multi-process environment.
- How does virtualization effect tail latency?
- Overhead of virtualization, interference from other VMs.
- New effects when moving to a distributed setting, network effects.

