CSEP 573: Artificial Intelligence

Hidden Markov Models



slides adapted from Stuart Russel, Dan Klein, Pieter Abbeel from ai.berkeley.edu And Hanna Hajishirzi, Jared Moore, Dan Weld

Uncertainty and Time

- Often, we want to reason about a sequence of observations
 - Speech recognition
 - Robot localization
 - User attention
 - Medical monitoring
- Generalize MDPs by adding sensing noise (and removing actions)

Video of Demo Pacman – Sonar



Markov Models (aka Markov chain/process)

Value of X at a given time is called the *state* (usually discrete, finite)

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$$

 $P(X_0)$ $P(X_t | X_{t-1})$

- The *transition model* $P(X_t | X_{t-1})$ specifies how the state evolves over time
- Stationarity assumption: transition probabilities are the same at all times
- Markov assumption: "future is independent of the past given the present"
 - X_{t+1} is independent of X_0, \dots, X_{t-1} given X_t
 - This is a *first-order* Markov model (a *k*th-order model allows dependencies on *k* earlier steps)
- Joint distribution $P(X_0, \dots, X_T) = P(X_0) \prod_t P(X_t \mid X_{t-1})$

Example: Random walk in one dimension



- State: location on the unbounded integer line
- Initial probability: starts at 0
- Transition model: $P(X_t = k | X_{t-1} = k \pm 1) = 0.5$
- Applications: particle motion in crystals, stock prices, gambling, genetics, etc.
- Questions:
 - How far does it get as a function of t?
 - Expected distance is O(Vt)
 - Does it get back to 0 or can it go off for ever and not come back?
 - In 1D and 2D, returns w.p. 1; in 3D, returns w.p. 0.34053733

Example: Web browsing

- State: URL visited at step t
- Transition model:
 - With probability p, choose an outgoing link at random
 - With probability (1-*p*), choose an arbitrary new page
- Question: What is the *stationary distribution* over pages?
 - I.e., if the process runs forever, what fraction of time does it spend in any given page?
- Application: Google page rank



Example: Weather

- States {rain, sun}
- Initial distribution P(X₀)

P(X ₀)		
sun	rain	
0.5	0.5	



Two new ways of representing the same CPT

- 0.3 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.1 0.9
- Transition model $P(X_t | X_{t-1})$

X _{t-1}	P(X _t X _{t-1})	
	sun	rain
sun	0.9	0.1
rain	0.3	0.7

Weather prediction

Time 0: <0.5,0.5>

X _{t-1}	P(X _t X _{t-1})	
	sun	rain
sun	0.9	0.1
rain	0.3	0.7



- What is the weather like at time 1?
 - $P(X_1) = \sum_{x_0} P(X_1, X_0 = x_0)$
 - $= \sum_{x_0} P(X_0 = x_0) P(X_1 | X_0 = x_0)$

= 0.5<0.9,0.1> + 0.5<0.3,0.7> = <0.6,0.4>

Weather prediction, contd.

Time 1: <0.6,0.4>

X _{t-1}	P(X _t X _{t-1})	
	sun	rain
sun	0.9	0.1
rain	0.3	0.7



- What is the weather like at time 2?
 - $P(X_2) = \sum_{X_1} P(X_2, X_1 = x_1)$
 - $= \sum_{x_1} P(X_1 = x_1) P(X_2 | X_1 = x_1)$

= 0.6<0.9,0.1> + 0.4<0.3,0.7> = <0.66,0.34>

Weather prediction, contd.

Time 2: <0.66,0.34>

X _{t-1}	P(X _t X _{t-1})	
	sun	rain
sun	0.9	0.1
rain	0.3	0.7



- What is the weather like at time 3?
 - $P(X_3) = \sum_{x_2} P(X_3, X_2 = x_2)$
 - $= \sum_{x_2} P(X_2 = x_2) P(X_3 | X_2 = x_2)$

= 0.66<0.9,0.1> + 0.34<0.3,0.7> = <0.696,0.304>

Forward algorithm (simple form)



Iterate this update starting at t=0

And the same thing in linear algebra

What is the weather like at time 2?

 $P(X_2) = 0.6 < 0.9, 0.1 > + 0.4 < 0.3, 0.7 > = < 0.66, 0.34 >$

In matrix-vector form:

$$P(X_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 & 0.3 \\ 0.1 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 \\ 0.4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.66 \\ 0.34 \end{pmatrix}$$

X _{t-1}	P(X _t X _{t-1})	
	sun	rain
sun	0.9	0.1
rain	0.3	0.7

I.e., multiply by T^T, transpose of transition matrix

Stationary Distributions

- The limiting distribution is called the stationary distribution P_{∞} of the chain
- It satisfies $P_{\infty} = P_{\infty+1} = T^T P_{\infty}$
- Solving for P_{∞} in the example:

```
\begin{pmatrix} 0.9 \ 0.3 \\ 0.1 \ 0.7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1-p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1-p \end{pmatrix}0.9p + 0.3(1-p) = p
```

p = 0.75

Stationary distribution is <0.75,0.25> *regardless of starting distribution*



Stationary Distributions

Question: What's P(X) at time t = infinity?

$$X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow X_4 - - - +$$

 $P_{\infty}(sun) = P(sun|sun)P_{\infty}(sun) + P(sun|rain)P_{\infty}(rain)$ $P_{\infty}(rain) = P(rain|sun)P_{\infty}(sun) + P(rain|rain)P_{\infty}(rain)$

 $P_{\infty}(sun) = 0.9P_{\infty}(sun) + 0.3P_{\infty}(rain)$ $P_{\infty}(rain) = 0.1P_{\infty}(sun) + 0.7P_{\infty}(rain)$

 $P_{\infty}(sun) = 3P_{\infty}(rain)$ $P_{\infty}(rain) = 1/3P_{\infty}(sun)$

Also:
$$P_{\infty}(sun) + P_{\infty}(rain) = 1$$

$$P_{\infty}(sun) = 3/4$$
$$P_{\infty}(rain) = 1/4$$



X _{t-1}	X _t	P(X _t X _{t-1})
sun	sun	0.9
sun	rain	0.1
rain	sun	0.3
rain	rain	0.7

Hidden Markov Models





Hidden Markov Models

- Usually the true state is not observed directly
- Hidden Markov models (HMMs)
 - Underlying Markov chain over states X
 - You observe evidence *E* at each time step
 - X_t is a single discrete variable; E_t may be continuous and may consist of several variables





Example: Weather HMM



HMM as probability model

Joint distribution for Markov model:

 $P(X_0,...,X_T) = P(X_0) \prod_{t=1:T} P(X_t \mid X_{t-1})$

Joint distribution for hidden Markov model:

 $P(X_0, X_1, E_1, ..., X_T, E_T) = P(X_0) \prod_{t=1:T} P(X_t \mid X_{t-1}) P(E_t \mid X_t)$

- Future states are independent of the past given the present
- Current evidence is independent of everything else given the current state
- Question: Are evidence variables independent of each other?



Useful notation:

$$X_{a:b} = X_a, X_{a+1}, ..., X_b$$

Real HMM Examples

- Speech recognition HMMs:
 - Observations are acoustic signals (continuous valued)
 - States are specific positions in specific words (so, tens of thousands)
- Machine translation HMMs:
 - Observations are words (tens of thousands)
 - States are translation options
- Robot tracking:
 - Observations are range readings (continuous)
 - States are positions on a map (continuous)
- Molecular biology:
 - Observations are nucleotides ACGT
 - States are coding/non-coding/start/stop/splice-site etc.

Inference tasks

- Filtering: $P(X_t | e_{1:t})$
 - belief state—input to the decision process of a rational agent
- **Prediction**: **P**(X_{t+k} | e_{1:t}) for k > 0
 - evaluation of possible action sequences; like filtering without the evidence
- **Smoothing**: $P(X_k | e_{1:t})$ for $0 \le k < t$
 - better estimate of past states, essential for learning
- Most likely explanation: $\arg \max_{x_{1:t}} P(x_{1:t} | e_{1:t})$
 - speech recognition, decoding with a noisy channel

Filtering / Monitoring

- Filtering, or monitoring, or state estimation, is the task of maintaining the distribution f_{1:t} = P(X_t | e_{1:t}) over time
- We start with f_0 in an initial setting, usually uniform
- Filtering is a fundamental task in engineering and science
- The Kalman filter (continuous variables, linear dynamics, Gaussian noise) was invented in 1960 and used for trajectory estimation in the Apollo program; core ideas used by Gauss for planetary observations



Sensor model: four bits for wall/no-wall in each direction, never more than 1 mistake Transition model: action may fail with small prob.



























Filtering algorithm

Aim: devise a *recursive filtering* algorithm of the form • $P(X_{t+1} | e_{1 \cdot t+1}) = g(e_{t+1}, P(X_t | e_{1 \cdot t}))$ **Marginal Probability** • $P(X_{t+1} | e_{1 \cdot t+1}) = P(X_{t+1} | e_{1 \cdot t}, e_{t+1})$ Normalization Trick / **Bayes Rule** $= \sum_{X_{t}} P(X_{t}, X_{t+1} | e_{1:t}, e_{t+1})$ Definition of HMM $= \sum_{x_{t}} \alpha P(x_{t}, X_{t+1}, e_{t+1} | e_{1:t})$ $= \sum_{X_t} \alpha \, P(e_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) \, P(x_t | e_{1:t}) \, P(X_{t+1} | x_t, e_{1:t})$ $= \alpha \, P(e_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) \sum_{x_t} P(x_t | e_{1:t}) \, P(X_{t+1} | x_t)$ Simple factoring of a constant

Filtering algorithm



- $f_{1:t+1} = FORWARD(f_{1:t}, e_{t+1})$
- Cost per time step: $O(|X|^2)$ where |X| is the number of states
- Time and space costs are *constant*, independent of *t*
- O(|X|²) is infeasible for models with many state variables
 - Will introduce approximate filtering algorithms soon

Summary: Filtering

- Filtering is the inference process of finding a distribution over X_T given e₁ through e_T : P(X_T | e_{1:t})
- We first compute P(X₁ | e_1): $P(x_1|e_1) \propto P(x_1) \cdot P(e_1|x_1)$
- For each t from 2 to T, we have P($X_{t-1} | e_{1:t-1}$)
- Elapse time: compute P(X_t | e_{1:t-1})

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(x_t|x_{t-1})$$

• Observe: compute $P(X_t | e_{1:t-1}, e_t) = P(X_t | e_{1:t})$

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t}) \propto P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(e_t|x_t)$$

Example: Weather HMM







 $P(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t+1}) = \alpha P(e_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) \sum_{x_t} P(x_t | e_{1:t}) P(X_{t+1} | x_t)$

Video of Demo Pacman – Sonar



Most Likely Explanation



Inference tasks

- Filtering: $P(X_t | e_{1:t})$
 - **belief state**—input to the decision process of a rational agent
- **Prediction**: $P(X_{t+k} | e_{1:t})$ for k > 0
 - evaluation of possible action sequences; like filtering without the evidence
- **Smoothing**: $P(X_k | e_{1:t})$ for $0 \le k < t$
 - better estimate of past states, essential for learning
- Most likely explanation: arg max_{x1·t} P(x_{1:t} | e_{1:t})
 - speech recognition, decoding with a noisy channel

Most likely explanation = most probable path*



 $\begin{array}{l} \arg \max_{x_{1:t}} P(x_{1:t} \mid e_{1:t}) \\ = \arg \max_{x_{1:t}} \alpha P(x_{1:t}, e_{1:t}) \\ = \arg \max_{x_{1:t}} P(x_{1:t}, e_{1:t}) \\ = \arg \max_{x_{1:t}} P(x_{0}) \prod_{t} P(x_{t} \mid x_{t-1}) P(e_{t} \mid x_{t}) \end{array}$

- Each arc represents some transition $x_{t-1} \rightarrow x_t$
- Each arc has weight $P(x_t | x_{t-1}) P(e_t | x_t)$ (arcs to initial states have weight $P(x_0)$)
- The *product* of weights on a path is proportional to that state sequence's probability
- Forward algorithm computes sums of paths, *Viterbi algorithm* computes best paths

Forward / Viterbi algorithms*



Forward Algorithm (sum) For each state at time *t*, keep track of the *total probability of all paths* to it

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1:t+1} &= FORWARD(f_{1:t}, e_{t+1}) \\ &= \alpha P(e_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) \sum_{X_t} P(X_{t+1} | x_t) f_{1:t} \end{aligned}$$

Viterbi Algorithm (max)

For each state at time *t*, keep track of the *maximum probability of any path* to it

$$m_{1:t+1} = VITERBI(m_{1:t}, e_{t+1})$$

= $P(e_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) \max_{X_t} P(X_{t+1} | x_t) m_{1:t}$

Particle Filtering



We need a new algorithm!

- When |X| is grows, exact inference becomes infeasible
 - O(|X|²) cost per time step
 - (e.g., 3 ghosts in a 10x20 world, continuous domains)



Particle Filtering

- Represent belief state by a set of samples
 - Samples are called *particles*
 - Time per step is linear in the number of samples
 - But: number needed may be large
- This is how robot localization works in practice



Representation: Particles

- Our representation of P(X) is now a list of N << |X| particles
- P(x) approximated by number of particles with value x
 - So, many x may have P(x) = 0 !
 - More particles => more accuracy (cf. frequency histograms)
 - Usually we want a *low-dimensional* marginal
 - E.g., "Where is ghost 1?" rather than "Are ghosts 1,2,3 in [2,6], [5,6], and [8,11]?"



Particles: (1,2) (2,3) (2,3) (3,2) (3,2) (3,2) (3,3) (3,3) (3,3) (3,3) (3,3) (3,3)

Particle Filtering: Prediction step

- Particle *j* in state *x_t^(j)* samples a new state directly from the transition model:
 - $x_{t+1}^{(j)} \sim P(X_{t+1} \mid x_t^{(j)})$
 - Here, most samples move clockwise, but some move in another direction or stay in place
- For example:

$$\begin{split} x_{t+1}^{(j)} &\sim P(X_{t+1} \mid x_t^{(green)}) = < P((3,3) \mid (3,3)), \, P((2,3) \mid (3,3)), \, P((3,2) \mid (3,3)) > \\ &= < 1/3, \, 1/3, \, 1/3 > \end{split}$$

(What if the transition model is almost deterministic?)



Particle Filtering: Update step

After observing e_{t+1}:

 As in likelihood weighting, weight each sample based on the evidence

• $w^{(j)} = P(e_{t+1} | x_{t+1}^{(j)})$

- Normalize the weights: particles that fit the data better get higher weights, others get lower weights
- For example, say $e_{t+1} = (3,2)$
 - $W^{(green)} = P((3,2) | (3,2)) = .9$
 - $w^{(blue)} = P((3,2) | (2,3)) = .2$

Particles:

(1,2) (2,3) (2,3)

(3,2) (3,2)

- (3,3) (3,3)
- (3,3)



Particles:



(1,3) w=.1 (2,2) w=.4

(2,3) w=.2

(2,3) w=.2 (3,1) w=.4

(3,2) w=.9

(3,2) w=.9

(3,2) w=.9 (3,3) w=.4 (3,3) w=.4





Particle Filtering: Resample

- Rather than tracking weighted samples, we resample
- N times, we choose from our weighted sample distribution
 - $x_{t+1}^{(j)} \sim N(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t}) / N = \alpha W(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t})$
 - (i.e., draw with replacement)
- Now the update is complete for this time step, continue with the next one (with weights reset to 1)

.02	.08	.17
0	.08	.56
0	0	.08

routine weighted-sample: return random() in $\alpha W(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t})$



(3,2) (3,2) (3,2) (3,3)



Summary: Particle Filtering

Particles: track samples of states rather than an explicit distribution



Robot Localization

In robot localization:

- We know the map, but not the robot's position
- Observations may be vectors of range finder readings
- State space and readings are typically continuous so we cannot usually represent or compute an exact posterior
- Particle filtering is a main technique





Particle Filter Localization (Sonar)



[Video: global-sonar-uw-annotated.avi]

Robot Mapping

- SLAM: Simultaneous Localization And Mapping
 - Robot does not know map or location
 - State $x_t^{(j)}$ consists of position+orientation, map!
 - (Each map usually inferred exactly given sampled position+orientation sequence)





[Demo: PARTICLES-SLAM-mapping1-new.avi]

Particle Filter SLAM – Video



[Demo: PARTICLES-SLAM-fastslam.avi]

Dynamic Bayes' Nets



Dynamic Bayes Nets (DBNs)

- We want to track multiple variables over time, using multiple sources of evidence
- Idea: Repeat a fixed Bayes net structure at each time
- Variables at time t can have parents at time t-1







DBNs and HMMs

- Every HMM is a single-variable DBN
- Every discrete DBN is an HMM
 - HMM state is Cartesian product of DBN state variables



- Sparse dependencies => exponentially fewer parameters in DBN
 - E.g., 20 state variables, 3 parents each;
 DBN has 20 x 2³ = 160 parameters, HMM has 2²⁰ x 2²⁰ =~ 10¹² parameters

Exact Inference in DBNs

- Variable elimination applies to dynamic Bayes nets
- Offline: "unroll" the network for T time steps, then eliminate variables to find $P(X_T | e_{1:T})$



- Online: eliminate all variables from the previous time step; store factors for current time only
- Problem: largest factor contains all variables for current time (plus a few more)



Application: ICU monitoring

- *State*: variables describing physiological state of patient
- *Evidence*: values obtained from monitoring devices
- Transition model: physiological dynamics, sensor dynamics
- Query variables: pathophysiological conditions (a.k.a. bad things)

Toy DBN: heart rate monitoring



The enhanced heart-rate DBN's inferences on data from a healthy 40-year-old man



ICU data: 22 variables, 1min avg.



