Features and Matching

CSE P576

Dr. Matthew Brown

Correspondence Problem

- A basic problem in Computer Vision is to establish matches (correspondences) between images
- This has **many** applications: rigid/non-rigid tracking, object recognition, image registration, structure from motion, stereo...



Feature Detectors



Corners/Blobs

Regions

Edges

Straight Lines

Feature Descriptors



Image Patch



Shape Context



SIFT



Learned Descriptors

Features and Matching

- Feature detectors
  - Canny edges, Harris corners, DoG, MSERs
- Feature descriptors
  - Image patches, invariance, SIFT, learned features

[Szeliski Chapter 4]

Edge Detection

- One of the first algorithms in Computer Vision



Edge Detection

- Consider edge detection for a 1D signal $I(x)$



- Naive approach: look for maxima/minima in $I'(x)$



What's the problem?

[Slide credits: R. Cipolla]

Edge Detection

- Solution: start by smoothing the image to remove noise



$I(x)$ = image

$k(x)$ = kernel

$s(x) = I(x) * k(x)$

$s'(x)$ = smoothed derivative

Edges are found by thresholding the smoothed derivative

2D Edge Detection

- Smooth image and convolve with [-1 1]



g_x



g_y

2D gradient: $\nabla I = \begin{bmatrix} g_x \\ g_y \end{bmatrix}$

2D Edge Detection

- Look at the magnitude of the smoothed gradient $|\nabla I|$



$$|\nabla I| = \sqrt{g_x^2 + g_y^2}$$

- Non-maximal suppression (keep only points where $|\nabla I|$ is a maximum in directions $\pm\nabla I$)

[Canny 1986]



2D Edge Detection

- Threshold the gradient magnitude with two thresholds: T_{high} and T_{low}
- Edges start at edge locations with gradient magnitude > T_{high}
- Continue tracing edge until gradient magnitude falls below T_{low}



Non-MS Thresholded

[Canny 1986]

Edges + Segmentation

- Segmentation is subjective [Martin, Fowlkes, Tal, Malik 2001]



Image Structure

- What kind of structures are present in the image locally?

0D Structure: not useful for matching



1D Structure: edge, can be localised in one direction, subject to the "aperture problem"



2D Structure: corner, or interest point, can be localised in both directions, good for matching

Edge detectors find contours (1D structure), **Corner** or **Interest point** detectors find points with 2D structure.

Local SSD Function

- Consider the sum squared difference (SSD) of a patch with its local neighbourhood

Δx_2



Δx_1

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{SSD} = \sum_{\mathcal{R}} |I(\mathbf{x}) - I(\mathbf{x} + \Delta\mathbf{x})|^2$$

Local SSD Function

- Consider the local SSD function for different patches



High similarity locally



High similarity along the edge





Clear peak in similarity function

Harris Corners

- Harris corners are peaks of a local similarity function




Harris Corners

- We will use a first order approximation to the local SSD function

Δx_2



Δx_1

$$\text{SSD} = \sum_{\mathcal{R}} |I(\mathbf{x}) - I(\mathbf{x} + \Delta\mathbf{x})|^2$$

2.5

Harris Corners

- Corners matched using correlation



99 inliers

89 outliers

[Zhang, Deriche, Faugeras, Luong 1995, Beardsley, Torr, Zisserman 1996]

Difference of Gaussian

- DoG = centre-surround filter



- Find local-maxima of the centre surround response

Non-maximal suppression:
These points are maxima
in a 10 pixel radius



Difference of Gaussian

- DoG detects blobs at scale that depends on the Gaussian standard deviation(s)



Note: DOG ≈ Laplacian of Gaussian

$$\text{red} = [1\ \ -2\ 1] * g(x;\ 5.0)$$

$$\text{black} = g(x;\ 5.0) - g(x;\ 4.0)$$



Detection Scale

- Smoothing standard deviations determine scale of detected features, e.g., edge detection in cloth



$\sigma = 1$



$\sigma = 5$



- Many algorithms use multi-scale architectures to get around this problem
- e.g., Scale-Invariant Feature Transform "SIFT"

MSERS

- Maximally Stable Extremal Regions

- Find regions of high contrast using a watershed approach

MSERS are stable (small change) over a large range of thresholds

[Matas et al 2002]

Project 1

P1

- Try the **Interest Point Extractor** section in Project 1
- `corner_function` : Devise a corner strength function
- `find_local_maxima` : Find interest points as maxima of the corner strength function

Corner Matching

- A simple approach to correspondence is to match corners between images using normalised correlation or SSD





2.6

Breaking Correlation

- Correlation/SSD works well when the images are quite similar (e.g., tracking in frames of a video)
- However, it is easily broken by simple image transforms, e.g.,



Original Rotation Scale

- These transformations are very common in imaging, so we would like feature matching to be **invariant** to them

Local Coordinate Frame

- One way to achieve invariance is to use local coordinate frames that follow the surface transformation



Detecting Scale/Orientation

- A common approach is to detect a local scale and orientation for each feature point



e.g., extract Harris at multiple scales and align to the local gradient

Detecting Scale/Orientation

- Patch matching can be improved by using scale/orientation and brightness normalisation





Sampling at a coarser scale than detection further improves robustness

Panorama Alignment



Wide Baseline Matching

- Patch-based matching works well for short baselines, but fails for large changes in scale, rotation or 3D viewpoint








What factors cause differences between these images?

Wide Baseline Matching

- We would like to match patches despite these changes

What features of the local patch are **invariant**?

Scale Invariant Feature Transform

- A detector and descriptor designed for object recognition



- SIFT features are invariant to translation, rotation and scale and slowly varying under perspective and 3D distortion
- Variants widely used in object recognition, image search etc.

[Lowe 1999]

Scale Invariant Feature Transform



[vlfeat.org]

- Scale invariant detection and local orientation estimation
- **Edge based** representation that is robust to local shifting of edges (parallax and/or stretch)

SIFT Detection

- Convolve with centre-surround Laplacian/DoG filter



- Find all maxima at all scales in a Laplacian Pyramid



Scale Selection

- A DOG (Laplacian) Pyramid is formed with multiple scales per ocatve





Detections are local maxima in a 3x3x3 scale-space window

Scale Selection

- Maximising the DOG function in scale as well as space performs scale selection





[T. Lindeberg]

Orientation Selection

- To select a local orientation, build a histogram over orientation



Selected orientation
is peak in this histogram

SIFT Descriptor



- We selected a scale and orientation at each detection,
- Now need **descriptor** to represent the local region in a way robust to parallax, illumination change etc.

Simple + Complex Cells in V1

- Neuroscientists have investigated the response of cells in the primary visual cortex





- "Complex Cells" in V1 respond over a range of positions but are highly sensitive to orientation

[Hubel and Wiesel]

SIFT Descriptor

- Describe local region by distribution (over angle) of gradients





Each descriptor: 4 x 4 grid x 8 orientations = 128 dimensions

SIFT Recap

- **Detector**: find points that are maxima in a DOG pyramid
- Compute local orientation from gradient histogram
- This establishes a local coordinate frame with scale/ orientation
- **Descriptor**: Build histograms over gradient orientations (8 orientations, 4x4 grid)
- Normalise the final descriptor



SIFT Matching

- Extract SIFT features from an image





Each image might generate 100's or 1000's of SIFT descriptors

SIFT Matching

- Goal: Find all correspondences between a pair of images

- Extract and match all SIFT descriptors from both images



SIFT Matching

- Each SIFT feature is represented by 128 numbers
- Feature matching becomes task of finding a nearby 128-d vector
- Nearest-neighbour matching:

$$NN(j) = \arg\min_i |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|, \ i \neq j$$

- Linear time, but good approximation algorithms exist
- e.g., Best Bin First K-d Tree [Beis Lowe 1997], FLANN (Fast Library for Approximate Nearest Neighbours) [Muja Lowe 2009]

SIFT Matching

- Feature matching returns a set of noisy correspondences
- To get further, we will have to know something about the **geometry** of the images



Shape Context

- Useful for matching with contours



Descriptor is
log polar
histogram



[Belongie Malik 2000]

Choosing Features

- The best choice of features is usually application dependent



Shape context?

SIFT?



Something else?

Learning Descriptors

- Descriptor design as a learning (embedding) problem

[Winder Brown 2007]

Learning Descriptors

- Deep networks for descriptor learning

Patch labels



[MatchNet
Han et al 2015]

Image labels, also learns interest function



[DELF
Noh et al 2017]

Project 1

P1

- You can now complete Project 1 — **Descriptors and Matching** and **Testing and Improving Feature Matching** sections.

Next Lecture

- Planar Geometry, Camera Models, RANSAC