

## Encrypted Key Exchange

We know how Alice and Bob can communicate securely if they share a strong (128-bit) private key or if one has a public key known to the vother.

- Suppose that Alice and Bob share only a short (potentially searchable) password.
- Rather than using just this weak password, Alice and Bob can use this weak password to otstrap a strong key.


## Encrypted Key Exchange

lice and Bob can then demonstrate to each other their knowledge of K as an authentication step.
Alice generates a random nonce A and sends (A) to Bob.

- Bob generates a random nonce $B$ and sends $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ to Alice.
- Alice sends $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{B})$ to Bob.


## The Digital Signature Algorithm

991, the National Institute of Standards and Technology published a Digital Signature Standard that was intended as an option free of intellectual property constraints.

The Digital Signature Algorithm
DSA uses the following parameters
Prime $p$ - anywhere from 512 to 1024 bits
Prime $q-160$ bits such that $q$ divides $p-1$
Integer $h$ in the range $1<h<p-1$

- Integer $g=h^{(p-1) / q} \bmod p$
- Secret integer $x$ in the range $1<x<q$
- Integer $y=g^{x} \bmod p$


## The Digital Signature Algorithm

signature $(r, s)$ on $M$ is verified as follows: Compute $w=1 / s \bmod q$,
Compute $a=w \mathrm{M} \bmod q$,

- Compute $b=w r \bmod q$,
- Compute $v=\left(g^{a} y^{b} \bmod p\right) \bmod q$.

Accept the signature only if $v=r$.

## Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems

An elliptic curve

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B
$$
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Elliptic Curves



| Elliptic Curves Intersecting Lines <br> Non-vertical Lines |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| - 1 intersection point | (typical case) |
| - 2 intersection points | (tangent case) |
| - 3 intersection points | (typical case) |
| Paxial |  |

\(\left\{\begin{array}{l}Elliptic Curves Intersecting Lines <br>
\frac{Vertical Lines}{y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B} <br>

x=c\end{array}\right\}\)| $\mathrm{y}^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{3}+\mathrm{Ac}+\mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{y}^{2}=\mathrm{C}$ |




## Elliptic Groups

$y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B$


## Elliptic Groups

- Add an "artificial" point I to handle the vertical line case.
- This point I also serves as the group identity value.


## Elliptic Groups

$y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B$


## Elliptic Groups

$$
\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{3}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{x}_{3}=\left(\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{y}_{1}\right) /\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)\right)^{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{x}_{2}$
$y_{3}=-y_{1}+\left(\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)$
when $\mathrm{x}_{1} \neq \mathrm{x}_{2}$
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## Elliptic Groups

$$
\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{3}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{x}_{3}=\left(\left(3 \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{A}\right) /\left(2 \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)\right)^{2}-2 \mathrm{x}_{1}$
$y_{3}=-y_{1}+\left(\left(3 x_{1}^{2}+A\right) /\left(2 y_{1}\right)\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)$
when $x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $y_{1}=y_{2} \neq 0$

## Elliptic Groups

$$
\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{I}
$$

when $x_{1}=x_{2}$ but $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$ or $y_{1}=y_{2}=0$
$\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \times \mathrm{I}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{I} \times\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)$
$\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}$


## The Fundamental Equation

## $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{X}}$ in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$



The Fundamental Equation

## $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{X}}$ in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$

When Z is unknown, it can be efficiently computed by repeated squaring.

The Fundamental Equation

## $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{X}}$ in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$

When X is unknown, this version of the discrete logarithm is believed to be quite hard to solve.

## The Fundamental Equation

## $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{X}}$ in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$

When $Y$ is unknown, it can be efficiently computed by "sophisticated" means.
$\qquad$

A and send
Compute the key Compute the key
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{A}^{b}$ in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$.


## Why use Elliptic Curves?

- The best currently known algorithm for EC discrete logarithms would take about as long to find a 160-bit EC discrete $\log$ as the best currently known algorithm for integer discrete logarithms would take to find a 1024-bit discrete log.
- 160-bit EC algorithms are somewhat faster and use shorter keys than 1024-bit "traditional" algorithms.


## Why not use Elliptic Curves?

- EC discrete logarithms have been studied far less than integer discrete logarithms.
- Results have shown that a fundamental break in integer discrete logs would also yield a fundamental break in EC discrete logs, although the reverse may not be true.
- Basic EC operations are more cumbersome than integer operations, so EC is only faster if the keys are much smaller.


## Finding Primes

uclid's proof of the infinity of primes uppose that the set of all primes were finite. et N be the product of all of the primes. - Consider N+1.

- The prime factors of $\mathrm{N}+1$ are not among the finite set of primes multiplied to form N .
- This contradicts the assumption that the set of primes is finite.
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## The Prime Number Theorem

he number of primes less than N is approximately $\mathrm{N} /(\ln \mathrm{N})$.

Thus, approximately 1 out of every $n$ randomly selected $n$-bit integers will e prime.
Recall Fermat's Little Theorem
If $p$ is prime, then $a^{(p-1)} \bmod p=1$ for
all $a$ in the range $0<a<p$.
Frimality
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| The Miller-Rabin Primality Test <br> o test an integer N for primality, write $\mathrm{N}-1$ as N $1=m 2^{k}$ where $m$ is odd. <br> GRepeat several (many) times <br> - Select a random $a$ in $1<a<\mathrm{N}-1$ <br> - Compute $a^{m}, a^{2 m}, a^{4 m}, \ldots, a^{(\mathbb{N}-1) / 2}$ all $\bmod \mathrm{N}$. <br> - If $a^{m}= \pm 1$ or if some $a^{2^{i} m}=-1$, then N is probably prime - continue. <br> Otherwise, N is composite - stop. |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



