# **Assignment #4 – Solutions**

- Scenario: two companies, A & B, each running Kerberosbased systems. Key Distribution Centers KDC<sub>A</sub> and KDC<sub>B</sub>
- A and B want to link their Kerberos networks together
  - Have shared secret key K<sub>AB</sub>
- What modifications do we need to make to the standard Kerberos protocol?
- The interesting case is when a client in one company wants to access a server in another company.
  - No change needed for intra-company communications.

- Let's assume a client C<sub>A</sub> in A wants to communicate with a service S<sub>B</sub> in B. So we want C<sub>A</sub> to end up with a ticket to S<sub>B</sub>.
- In order for  $C_A$  to get a ticket to  $S_B$ ,  $C_A$  needs to talk to  $TGS_B$  (because  $TGS_B$  issues tickets to  $S_B$ ).
- In order for  $C_A$  to get a TGT to talk to  $TGS_B$ ,  $C_A$  needs to talk to  $KDC_B$ .
- But  $KDC_B$  can't authenticate  $C_A$  directly, so we need to modify the protocol so that  $C_A$  can
  - 1. Authenticate to  $KDC_A$ , and
  - 2. Ask  $KDC_A$  to request a TGT for  $TGS_B$  from  $KDC_B$  on  $C_A$ 's behalf

Following the notation used in class:

C<sub>A</sub> authenticates to KDC<sub>A</sub>:

 $C_A \longrightarrow KDC_A: C_A, TGS_B, N_{C_A}$ 

 KDC<sub>A</sub> forwards the request for a TGT for TGS<sub>B</sub> to KDC<sub>B</sub> using their shared secret K<sub>AB</sub>

 $KDC_A \longrightarrow KDC_B$ : { $C_A$ ,  $TGS_B$ ,  $N_{KDC_A}$ } $K_{AB}$ 

•  $KDC_B$  decrypts the request from  $KDC_A$  and returns a ticket for  $C_A$  to talk to  $TGS_B$ .

 $KDC_B \longrightarrow KDC_A : T_{C_A, TGS_B}, \{K_{C_A, TGS_B}\} K_{AB}$ 

where  $T_{C_A,TGS_B} = TGS_B$ , { $C_A$ , C-addr, lifetime,  $K_{C_A,TGS_B}$ }  $K_{TGS_B}$ 

- $KDC_A$  can then decrypt and re-encrypt the session key:  $KDC_A \longrightarrow C_A: T_{C_A,TGS_B}, \{K_{C_A,TGS_B}\}K_{C_A}$
- C<sub>A</sub> now knows K<sub>C<sub>A</sub>,T<sub>GSB</sub> and can use this session key along with T<sub>C<sub>A</sub>,T<sub>GSB</sub> to continue Phase 2 of Kerberos with TGS<sub>B</sub> directly.
  </sub></sub>

Relative costs of RSA and AES, given

- AES-128 encrypt/decrypt 1 block in time t
- AES-256 encrypt/decrypt 1 block in time 1.4*t*.
- A single RSA encryption takes time  $an^2$ .
- A single RSA decryption takes time  $bn^3$ .
- A single RSA key generation step takes time  $cn^4$ .

## Problem 2a

- How many AES-128 encryption operations can you perform in the time it takes to do a single RSA-1024 encryption?
- Time for a single RSA-1024 encryption:  $a(1024^2) = a 2^{20}$
- Time for a single AES-128 encryption: *t*

$$2^{20}\frac{a}{t}$$

## Problem 2b

- How many AES-128 decryption operations can you perform in the time it takes to do a single RSA-1024 decryption?
- Time for a single RSA-1024 decryption:  $b(1024^3) = b 2^{30}$
- Time for a single AES-128 decryption: *t*

$$2^{30}\frac{b}{t}$$

#### Problem 2c

- Moving from AES-128 to AES-256
- AES-256 encryptions per RSA-1024 encryption

$$2^{20} \frac{a}{1.4t} = 748982.8571428... \frac{a}{t}$$

### Problem 2d

- Moving from AES-128 to AES-256, RSA-1024 to RSA-2048
- AES-256 decryptions per RSA-2048 decryption
- One RSA-2048 decryption:  $bn^3 = (2^{11})^3 b = 2^{33} b$
- One AES-256 decryption: 1.4*t*

$$2^{33} \frac{b}{1.4t} = 6135667565.7142857...\frac{b}{t}$$

## Problem 2e (for AES-128/RSA-1024)

- 2<sup>20</sup>AES-128 encryptions = 1 RSA-1024 decryption.
- Using AES-128 and RSA-1024, sending 16MB of data requires:
  - 1 RSA keygen =  $c (1024)^4 = c 2^{40}$
  - 2 RSA encryptions =  $2a(1024)^2 = 2a2^{20} = a2^{21}$
  - 2 RSA decryptions =  $2b(1024)^3 = 2b2^{30} = b2^{31}$
  - Total time on RSA operations:  $2^{21}(a + 2^{10}b + 2^{19}c)$
- 16MB of data = 1M (2^20) data blocks
  - Need two\* AES operations per block (1 encrypt, 1 decrypt)
  - $2 2^{20}$ AES operations = 2 \* (one RSA decryption)

 $= 2 * b 2^{30} = 2^{31} b$ 

\*NOTE: Some students may have interpreted "If you <u>send</u> 16MB..." as meaning "only count 1 AES encryption/block." We had intended for both the AES encrypt and decrypt to count, but we will accept answers that only count 1 AES encryption/block so long as they are internally consistent.

### Problem 2e (AES-128/RSA-1024)

- Total time on RSA operations:  $2^{21}(a + 2^{10}b + 2^{19}c)$
- Total time for AES operations:  $2^{31}b$
- Total time for all operations:  $2^{21}(a + 2^{10}b + 2^{19}c) + 2^{31}b$ =  $2^{21}(a + 2^{10}b + 2^{19}c)$
- Fraction of overall time spent in RSA:

$$\frac{2^{21}(a+2^{10}b+2^{19}c)}{2^{21}(a+2^{11}b+2^{19}c)} = \frac{(a+2^{10}b+2^{19}c)}{(a+2^{11}b+2^{19}c)}$$

#### Problem 2e (for AES-256/RSA-2048)

- 2<sup>20</sup>AES-128 encryptions = 1 RSA-1024 decryption.
- For RSA-2048:
  - 1 RSA keygen =  $c (2048)^4 = c 2^{44}$
  - 2 RSA encryptions =  $2a(2048)^2 = 2a2^{22} = a2^{23}$
  - 2 RSA decryptions =  $2b(2048)^3 = 2b2^{33} = b2^{34}$
  - Total time on RSA operations:  $2^{23}(a + 2^{11}b + 2^{19}c)$
- 16MB of data = 1M (2^20) data blocks
  - Need two AES-256 operations per block (1 encrypt, 1 decrypt)
  - 2 2<sup>20</sup>AES-256 operations = 2 \* 1.4 \* (one RSA-1024 decryption)

$$= 2 * 1.4 * b2^{30}$$

## Problem 2e (AES-256/RSA-2048)

- Total time on RSA operations:  $2^{23}(a + 2^{11}b + 2^{19}c)$
- Total time for AES operations: 1.4 b 2<sup>31</sup>
- Total time for all operations:

 $2^{23}(a + 2^{11}b + 2^{19}c) + 1.4 b 2^{31}$  $= 2^{23}(a + 1.4b2^8 + 2^{11}b + 2^{19}c)$ 

• Fraction of overall time spent in RSA:

$$\frac{2^{23}(a+2^{11}b+2^{19}c)}{2^{23}(a+1.4b2^8+2^{11}b+2^{19}c)} = \frac{(a+2^{11}b+2^{19}c)}{(a+1.4b2^8+2^{11}b+2^{19}c)}$$

- First, let's look at MD5 vs. SHA-1
- MD5 has a 128-bit output, so with a birthday attack we would expect to find a collision in 2<sup>64</sup> hash operations.
- SHA-1 has a 160-bit output, so 2<sup>80</sup> hash operations for a collision via birthday attack.

$$\frac{2^{80}}{2^{64}} = 2^{16}$$
, so we need 16 Moore's Law doublings

= 24 years

Now, RSA-768 vs RSA-1024. Let's compute the formula for n = 768 and n = 1024.

*n* = 768:

$$e^{2*768^{\frac{1}{3}}*((\log_2 768))^{\frac{2}{3}}} = 7.794344... \times 10^{35}$$

*n* = 1024:

$$e^{2*1024^{\frac{1}{3}}*((\log_2 1024))^{\frac{2}{3}}} = 4.328252... \times 10^{40}$$
  
Ratio: approx 55530.67904...

Ratio: approx 55530.67904... Now, log<sub>2</sub> 55530.67904... = 15.76 So we need 15.76 Moore's Law doublings

= 23.64 years

Bottom line: move from RSA-1024 to RSA-2048 first

- Alice and Bob live in different countries, exchange key K face-to-face, want to exchange a sequence of messages in the future.
  - At any point in time, Alice's computer can be seized, giving an attacker all the information stored on her computer at the time of seizure.
- Let m<sub>1</sub>, m<sub>2</sub>, m<sub>3</sub>, ... be the sequence of messages Alice and Bob exchange.
- How can we use K to secure each m<sub>i</sub>, such that if Alice's computer is seized at time t, none of the m<sub>1</sub>, m<sub>2</sub>, ... m<sub>t-1</sub> are compromised?

- At any point in time, we want Alice's machine to contain only information necessary for encrypting future messages, and not anything that could be used to decrypt past messages.
- So, some things that don't work:
  - Encrypt each m<sub>i</sub> with K directly (would have to keep K around, and when the computer is seized it exposes all prior m<sub>i</sub>).
  - Encrypt each  $m_i$  with  $K_i = H(i \parallel K)$  where H is a hash function (would still have to keep K around, and once seized would reveal past messages.

- One possible approach:
  - Let  $K_0 = K$ .
  - Let  $K_i = H(K_{i-1})$
  - Store only the  $K_i$  for the next message to send.
  - Encrypt  $m_i$  with  $K_i$ .
  - Once  $m_i$  is sent, compute  $K_{i+1}$  and destroy  $K_i$ .
- Other solutions are possible...

#### Modifying SSL/TLS to support session restart

- Proposal: Whenever a session is established, the premaster secret is used to derive a session identifier that can be retained by the client and server.
- This session identifier is then cached along w/ the original pre-master secret, and the client can request restart by sending the identifier along with the rest of the session details (including the ciphersuite).

• How can an attacker exploit this protocol modification?

- An attacker can play man-in-the-middle between a client requesting restart and the server
- The attacker can't change the pre-master secret, but because the client sends the session details to the server, the adversary can change any of those details.
  - In particular, the adversary can change the ciphersuite, making it something easier
- This is called a *downgrade attack* it causes the client and server to use a ciphersuite that neither would negotiate to absent interference from the adversary