## CSEP 590 A Spring 2013

Phylogenies: Parsimony Plus a Tantalizing Taste of Likelihood

## Phylogenies (aka Evolutionary Trees)

"Nothing in biology makes sense, except in the light of evolution"
-- Theodosius Dobzhansky, I973

## Comb Jellies: Evolutionary enigma


http://www.sciencenews.org/view/feature/id/350l20/description/Evolutionary_enigmas


## TREE OF LIFE

Diagrams depict the history of animal lineages as they evolved over time. Each branch represents a lineage that shares an ancestor with all of the animals that branch after the point where it splits from the tree. Biologists traditionally build trees by comparing species' anatomies; now they also compare DNA sequences.

|  | Comb jelly | Sponge | Cnidarian | Bilaterians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DNA polymerase important for cell replication | X | X | X | X |
| Wht hairpin 3 involved in embryonic development and cell division |  |  | X | X |
| HOX proteins pattern bodies during development and help form nerve cells |  |  | X | X |
| microRNA helps to regulate gene activity |  | X | X | X |
| Drosha cooperates with Pasha to make microRNA |  | X | X | X |
| Pasha cooperates with Drosha to make microRNA |  | X | X | X |
| Voltage gated channels (types L, N/P/Q and T) for nerve cell communication |  |  | X | X |
| PAX Homeobox proteins help embryos develop features such as eyes |  | X | X | X |

## A Complex Question:

Given data (sequences, anatomy, ...) infer the phylogeny

A Simpler Question:
Given data and a phylogeny, evaluate "how much change" is needed to fit data to tree
(The former question is usually tackled by sampling tree topologies \& comparing them by the later metric...)

## Parsimony

General idea ~ Occam's Razor:
Given data where change is rare, prefer an explanation that requires few events

Human A T G A T ...
Chimp A T G A T ...
Gorilla A T G A G ...
Rat A T G C G ...
Mouse A T G C T ...
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## Counting Events Parsimoniously

Lesson of example - no unique reconstruction
But there is a unique minimum number, of course How to find it?

Early solutions 1965-75

## Sankoff \& Rousseau, ‘75

$P_{u}(s)=$ best parsimony score of subtree rooted at node $u$, assuming $u$ is labeled by character $s$


## Sankoff-Rousseau Recurrence

## $P_{u}(s)=$ best parsimony score of subtree rooted at

 node $u$, assuming $u$ is labeled by character $s$For Leaf $u$ :

$$
P_{u}(s)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } u \text { is a leaf labeled } s \\ \infty & \text { if } u \text { is a leaf not labeled } s\end{cases}
$$

For Internal node $u$ :

$$
P_{u}(s)=\sum_{v \in \operatorname{child}(u)} \min _{t \in\{A, C, G, T\}} \operatorname{cost}(s, t)+P_{v}(t)
$$

Time: $O$ (alphabet ${ }^{2} \times$ tree size)
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## Sankoff \& Rousseau,‘75

$P_{u}(s)=$ best parsimony score of subtree rooted at node $u$, assuming $u$ is labeled by character $s$

| $P_{u}(s)=\sum_{v \in \operatorname{child}(u)}$ | $\operatorname{cost}(s, t)+P_{v}(t)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $s$ | $v$ | $t$ | $\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{t})$ | min |
|  | A | $v_{1}$ | A | $0+\infty$ | I |
|  |  |  | C | $1+\infty$ |  |
|  |  |  | G | $1+\infty$ |  |
| U A C G T |  |  | T | $1+0$ |  |
| 2 2 2 0 |  | $v_{2}$ | A | $0+\infty$ | 1 |
|  |  |  | C | $1+\infty$ |  |
| $A \subset C$ T A C G T |  |  | G | $1+\infty$ |  |
| $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ 0 $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ 0 |  |  | T | $1+0$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & v_{2}\end{array}$ |  |  |  | sum: $P_{u}(s)=$ | 2 |

## Sankoff \& Rousseau,‘75

$P_{u}(s)=$ best parsimony score of subtree rooted at node $u$, assuming $u$ is labeled by character $s$


## Which tree is better?



Which has smaller parsimony score?
Which is more likely, assuming edge length proportional to evolutionary rate?

## Parsimony - Generalities

Parsimony is not the best way to evaluate a phylogeny (maximum likelihood generally preferred - as previous slide suggests)

But it is a natural approach, works well in many cases, and is fast.

Finding the best tree: a much harder problem
Much is known about these problems; Inferring Phylogenies by Joe Felsenstein is a great resource.

## Phylogenetic Footprinting

A lovely extension of the above ideas. E.g., suppose promoters of orthologous genes in multiple species all contain (variants of) a common k-base transcription factor binding site. Roughly as above, but $4^{k}$ table entries per node...
I. M Blanchette, B Schwikowski, M Tompa, Algorithms for

Phylogenetic Footprinting.J Comp Biol, vol. 9, no. 2, 2002, 2 II-223
2. M Blanchette and M Tompa, FootPrinter: a Program Designed for Phylogenetic Footprinting. Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 3I, no. 13, July 2003, 3840-3842

