CS-XXX: Graduate Programming Languages

Lecture 21 — Synchronous Message-Passing and Concurrent ML

Dan Grossman 2012

## Message Passing

- Threads communicate via send and receive along channels instead of read and write of references
- Not so different? (can implement references on top of channels and channels on top of references)
- Synchronous message-passing
  - ▶ Block until communication takes place
  - ► Encode asynchronous by "spawn someone who blocks"

Dan Grossman

CS-XXX 2012, Lecture 21

### Concurrent ML

- CML is synchronous message-passing with first-class synchronization events
  - ► Can wrap synchronization abstractions to make new ones
  - ► At run-time
- Originally done for ML and fits well with lambdas, type-system, and implementation techniques, but more widely applicable
  - Available in Racket, OCaml, Haskell, ...
- Very elegant and under-appreciated
- ► Think of threads as very lightweight
  - ► Creation/space cost about like a function call

### The Basics

```
type 'a channel (* messages passed on channels *)
val new_channel : unit -> 'a channel
```

```
type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
```

val sync : 'a event -> 'a

- ► Send and receive return "events" immediately
- ► Sync blocks until "the event happens"
- ▶ Separating these is key in a few slides

C3-AAA 2012, Lecture 21

Dan Grossman

CS-XXX 2012, Lecture

## Simple version

Can define helper functions by trival composition:

```
let sendNow ch a = sync (send ch a) (* block *)
let recvNow ch = sync (receive ch) (* block *)
```

"Who communicates" is up to the CML implementation

- ► Can be nondeterministic when there are multiple senders/receivers on the same channel
- Implementation needs collection of waiting senders xor receivers

### Terminology note:

- ► Function names are those in OCaml's Event library.
- ▶ In SML, the CML book, etc.:

Bank Account Example

See lec21code.ml

- First version: In/out channels are only access to private reference
  - ▶ In channel of type action channel
  - Out channel of type float channel
- ► Second version: Makes functional programmers smile
  - ► State can be argument to a recursive function
  - ▶ "Loop-carried"
  - ► Hints at deep connection between references and channels
    - ▶ Can implement the reference abstraction in CML

Dan Grossman CS-XXX 2012, Lecture 21

Grossman CS-XXX 2012, Lecture 2

6

### The Interface

The real point of the example is that you can abstract all the threading and communication away from clients:

type acct val mkAcct : unit -> acct val get : acct -> float -> float val put : acct -> float -> float

Hidden thread communcation:

- mkAcct makes a thread (the "this account server")
- get and put make the server go around the loop once

Races naturally avoided: the server handles one request at a time

▶ CML implementation has queues for waiting communications

# Streams

Another pattern/concept easy to code up in CML is a stream

► An infinite sequence of values, produced lazily ("on demand")

Example in lec21code.ml: square numbers

Standard more complicated example: A network of streams for producing prime numbers. One approach:

- First stream generates 2, 3, 4, ...
- $\triangleright$  When the last stream generates a number p, return it and dynamically add a stream as the new last stream
  - ▶ Draws input from old last stream but outputs only those that are not divisible by  $oldsymbol{p}$

#### Streams also:

- Have deep connections to circuits
- ► Are easy to code up in lazy languages like Haskell
- Are a key abstraction in real-time data processing

# Wanting choice

- ► So far just used sendNow and recvNow, hidden behind simple interfaces
- ▶ But these *block* until the *rendezvous*, which is insufficient for many important communication patterns
- ▶ Example: add : int channel -> int channel -> int
  - ▶ Must choose which to receive first; hurting performance if other provider ready earlier
- ▶ Example: or : bool channel -> bool channel -> bool
  - Cannot short-circuit

This is why we split out sync and have other primitives

## Choose and Wrap

```
type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
val sync : 'a event -> 'a
val choose : 'a event list -> 'a event
val wrap : 'a event -> ('a -> 'b) -> 'b event
```

- ▶ choose: when synchronized on, block until one of the events happen (cf. UNIX select, but more useful to have sync
- wrap: an event with the function as post-processing
  - Can wrap as many times as you want

Note: Skipping a couple other key primitives (e.g., withNack for timeouts)

#### Circuits

To an electrical engineer:

- send and receive are ends of a gate
- wrap is combinational logic connected to a gate
- choose is a multiplexer
- sync is getting a result out

To a programming-language person:

- Build up a data structure describing a communication protocol
- ▶ Make it a first-class value that can be by passed to sync
- Provide events in interfaces so other libraries can compose larger abstractions

# What can't you do

CML is by-design for point-to-point communication

- Provably impossible to do things like 3-way swap (without busy-waiting or higher-level protocols)
- ▶ Related to issues of common-knowledge, especially in a distributed setting
- ▶ Metamoral: Being a broad computer scientist is really useful

Dan Grossman

# A note on implementation and paradigms

CML encourages using lots (100,000s) of threads

► Example: X Window library with one thread per widget

Threads should be cheap to support this paradigm

- ▶ SML N/J: about as expensive as making a closure!
  - ► Think "current stack" plus a few words
  - ► Cost no time when blocked on a channel (dormant)
- ► OCaml: Not cheap, unfortunately

A thread responding to channels is a lot like an *asynchronous object* (cf. *actors*)

Dan Grossman CS-XXX 2012, Lecture 21