## Section 8: Solutions

## Review of Main Concepts

- Multivariate: Discrete to Continuous:

|  | Discrete | Continuous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joint PMF/PDF | $p_{X, Y}(x, y)=\mathbb{P}(X=x, Y=y)$ | $f_{X, Y}(x, y) \neq \mathbb{P}(X=x, Y=y)$ |
| Joint range/support $\Omega_{X, Y}$ | $\left\{(x, y) \in \Omega_{X} \times \Omega_{Y}: p_{X, Y}(x, y)>0\right\}$ | $\left\{(x, y) \in \Omega_{X} \times \Omega_{Y}: f_{X, Y}(x, y)>0\right\}$ |
| Joint CDF | $F_{X, Y}(x, y)=\sum_{t \leq x, s \leq y} p_{X, Y}(t, s)$ | $F_{X, Y}(x, y)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{X, Y}(t, s) d s d t$ |
| Normalization | $\sum_{x, y} p_{X, Y}(x, y)=1$ | $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X, Y}(x, y) d x d y=1$ |
| Marginal PMF/PDF | $p_{X}(x)=\sum_{y} p_{X, Y}(x, y)$ | $f_{X}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X, Y}(x, y) d y$ |
| Expectation | $\mathbb{E}[g(X, Y)]=\sum_{x, y} g(x, y) p_{X, Y}(x, y)$ | $\mathbb{E}[g(X, Y)]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, y) f_{X, Y}(x, y) d x d y$ |
| Independence must have | $\begin{aligned} & \forall x, y, p_{X, Y}(x, y)=p_{X}(x) p_{Y}(y) \\ & \Omega_{X, Y}=\Omega_{X} \times \Omega_{Y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \forall x, y, f_{X, Y}(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y) \\ & \Omega_{X, Y}=\Omega_{X} \times \Omega_{Y} \end{aligned}$ |

- Law of Total Probability (r.v. version): If $X$ is a discrete random variable, then

$$
\mathbb{P}(A)=\sum_{x \in \Omega_{X}} \mathbb{P}(A \mid X=x) p_{X}(x) \quad \text { discrete } X
$$

- Law of Total Expectation (Event Version): Let $X$ be a discrete random variable, and let events $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ partition the sample space. Then,

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[X \mid A_{i}\right] \mathbb{P}\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

- Conditional Expectation: See table. Note that linearity of expectation still applies to conditional expectation: $\mathbb{E}[X+Y \mid A]=\mathbb{E}[X \mid A]+\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A]$
- Law of Total Expectation (RV Version): Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are random variables. Then,

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\sum_{y} \mathbb{E}[X \mid Y=y] p_{Y}(y) \quad \text { discrete version. }
$$

- Conditional distributions

|  | Discrete | Continuous |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conditional PMF/PDF | $p_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=\frac{p_{X, Y}(x, y)}{p_{Y}(y)}$ | $f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=\frac{f_{X, Y}(x, y)}{f_{Y}(y)}$ |
| Conditional Expectation | $\mathbb{E}[X \mid Y=y]=\sum_{x} x p_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)$ | $\mathbb{E}[X \mid Y=y]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y) d x$ |

- Continuous Law of Total Probability:

$$
\mathbb{P}(A)=\int_{x \in \Omega_{X}} \mathbb{P}(A \mid X=x) f_{X}(x) d x
$$

- Continuous Law of Total Expectation:

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\int_{y \in \Omega_{Y}} \mathbb{E}[X \mid Y=y] f_{Y}(y) d y
$$

- Markov's Inequality: Let $X$ be a non-negative random variable, and $\alpha>0$. Then,

$$
\mathbb{P}(X \geq \alpha) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\alpha}
$$

- Chebyshev's Inequality: Suppose $Y$ is a random variable with $\mathbb{E}[Y]=\mu$ and $\operatorname{Var}(Y)=\sigma^{2}$. Then, for any $\alpha>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(|Y-\mu| \geq \alpha) \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}
$$

- (Multiplicative) Chernoff Bound: Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be independent Bernoulli random variables.

Let $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$, and $\mu=\mathbb{E}[X]$. Then, for any $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathbb{P}(X \geq(1+\delta) \mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^{2} \mu}{3}} \\
& -\mathbb{P}(X \leq(1-\delta) \mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^{2} \mu}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Tail bounds

Suppose $X \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(6,0.4)$. We will bound $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 4)$ using the tail bounds we've learned, and compare this to the true result.
(a) Give an upper bound for this probability using Markov's inequality. Why can we use Markov's inequality? Solution:

We know that the expected value of a binomial distribution is $n p$, so: $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 4) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{4}=\frac{2.4}{4}=0.6$. We can use it since $X$ is nonnegative.
(b) Give an upper bound for this probability using Chebyshev's inequality. You may have to rearrange algebraically and it may result in a weaker bound. Solution:
$\mathbb{P}(X \geq 4)=\mathbb{P}(X-2.4 \geq 1.6) \leq \mathbb{P}(|X-2.4| \geq 1.6)$ we can add those absolute value signs because that only adds more possible values, so it is an upper bound on the probability of $X-2.4 \geq 1.6$. Then, using Chebyshev's inequality we get:
$\mathbb{P}(|X-2.4| \geq 1.6) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{1.6^{2}}=\frac{1.44}{1.6^{2}}=0.5625$
(c) Give an upper bound for this probability using the Chernoff bound. Solution:

$$
\mathbb{P}(X \geq 4)=\mathbb{P}\left(X \geq\left(1+\frac{2}{3}\right) 2.4\right) \leq e^{-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2} \mathbb{E}[X] / 3}=e^{-4 \times 2.4 / 27} \approx 0.7
$$

(d) Give the exact probability. Solution:

Since $X$ is a binomial, we know it has a range from 0 to $n$ (or in this case 0 to 6 ). Thus, the possible values to satisfy $X \geq 4$ are 4,5 , or 6 . We plug in the PMF for each to get: $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 4)=\mathbb{P}(X=4)+\mathbb{P}(X=$ $5)+\mathbb{P}(X=6)=\binom{6}{4}(0.4)^{4}(0.6)^{2}+\binom{6}{5}(0.4)^{5}(0.6)+\binom{6}{6} 0.4^{6} \approx 0.1792$

## 2. Exponential Tail Bounds

Let $X \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ and $k>1 / \lambda$.
(a) Use Markov's inequality to bound $\mathrm{P}(X \geq k)$.

## Solution:

$$
\mathbb{P}(X \geq k) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda k}
$$

(b) Use Chebyshev's inequality to bound $\mathrm{P}(X \geq k)$.

## Solution:

$$
\mathbb{P}(X \geq k)=\mathbb{P}\left(X-\frac{1}{\lambda} \geq k-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right| \geq k-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}(k-1 / \lambda)^{2}}=\frac{1}{(\lambda k-1)^{2}}
$$

(c) What is the exact formula for $\mathrm{P}(X \geq k)$ ?

## Solution:

$$
\mathbb{P}(X \geq k)=e^{-\lambda k}
$$

(d) For $\lambda k \geq 3$, how do the bounds given in parts (a), (b), and (c) compare?

## Solution:

$$
e^{-\lambda k}<\frac{1}{(\lambda k-1)^{2}}<\frac{1}{\lambda k}
$$

so Markov's inequality gives the worst bound.

## 3. Joint PMF's

Suppose $X$ and $Y$ have the following joint PMF:

| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 |

(a) Identify the range of $X\left(\Omega_{X}\right)$, the range of $Y\left(\Omega_{Y}\right)$, and their joint range $\left(\Omega_{X, Y}\right)$. Solution:

$$
\Omega_{X}=\{0,1\}, \Omega_{Y}=\{1,2,3\}, \text { and } \Omega_{X, Y}=\{(0,2),(0,3),(1,1),(1,3)\}
$$

(b) Find the marginal PMF for $X, p_{X}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{X}$. Solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{X}(0)=\sum_{y} p_{X, Y}(0, y)=0+0.2+0.1=0.3 \\
p_{X}(1)=1-p_{X}(0)=0.7
\end{gathered}
$$

(c) Find the marginal PMF for $Y, p_{Y}(y)$ for $y \in \Omega_{Y}$. Solution:

$$
p_{Y}(1)=\sum_{x} p_{X, Y}(x, 1)=0+0.3=0.3
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{Y}(2)=\sum_{x} p_{X, Y}(x, 2)=0.2+0=0.2 \\
p_{Y}(3)=\sum_{x} p_{X, Y}(x, 3)=0.1+0.4=0.5
\end{gathered}
$$

(d) Are $X$ and $Y$ independent? Why or why not? Solution:

No, since a necessary condition is that $\Omega_{X, Y}=\Omega_{X} \times \Omega_{Y}$.
(e) Find $\mathbb{E}\left[X^{3} Y\right]$. Solution:

Note that $X^{3}=X$ since $X$ takes values in $\{0,1\}$.

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{3} Y\right]=\mathbb{E}[X Y]=\sum_{(x, y) \in \Omega_{X, Y}} x y p_{X, Y}(x, y)=1 \cdot 1 \cdot 0.3+1 \cdot 3 \cdot 0.4=1.5
$$

## 4. Trinomial Distribution

A generalization of the Binomial model is when there is a sequence of $n$ independent trials, but with three outcomes, where $\mathbb{P}$ (outcome $i)=p_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$ and of course $p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=1$. Let $X_{i}$ be the number of times outcome $i$ occurred for $i=1,2,3$, where $X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}=n$. Find the joint PMF $p_{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and specify its value for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$. Solution:

Same argument as for the binomial PMF:

$$
p_{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\binom{n}{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} p_{i}^{x_{i}}=\frac{n!}{x_{1}!x_{2}!x_{3}!} p_{1}^{x_{1}} p_{2}^{x_{2}} p_{3}^{x_{3}}
$$

where $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=n$ and are nonnegative integers.

## 5. Do You "Urn" to Learn More About Probability?

Suppose that 3 balls are chosen without replacement from an urn consisting of 5 white and 8 red balls. Let $X_{i}=1$ if the $i$-th ball selected is white and let it be equal to 0 otherwise. Give the joint probability mass function of

## (a) $X_{1}, X_{2}$ Solution:

Here is one way of defining the joint pmf of $X_{1}, X_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=1, X_{2}=1\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=1\right) \mathbb{P}\left(X_{2}=1 \mid X_{1}=1\right)=\frac{5}{13} \cdot \frac{4}{12}=\frac{20}{156} \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=1, X_{2}=0\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=1\right) \mathbb{P}\left(X_{2}=0 \mid X_{1}=1\right)=\frac{5}{13} \cdot \frac{8}{12}=\frac{40}{156} \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=0, X_{2}=1\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=0\right) \mathbb{P}\left(X_{2}=1 \mid X_{1}=0\right)=\frac{8}{13} \cdot \frac{5}{12}=\frac{40}{156} \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=0\right) \mathbb{P}\left(X_{2}=0 \mid X_{1}=0\right)=\frac{8}{13} \cdot \frac{7}{12}=\frac{56}{156}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ Solution:

Instead of listing out all the individual probabilities, we could write a more compact formula for the pmf. In this problem, the denominator is always $P(13, k)$, where $k$ is the number of random variables in the joint pmf. And the numerator is $P(5, i)$ times $P(8, j)$ where $i$ and $j$ are the number of 1 s and 0 s , respectively.

If we wish to compute $p_{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, then the number of 1 s (i.e., white balls) is $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$, and the number of 0 s (i.e., red balls) is $\left(1-x_{1}\right)+\left(1-x_{2}\right)+\left(1-x_{3}\right)$. Then, we can write the pmf as follows:

$$
p_{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{10!}{13!} \cdot \frac{5!}{\left(5-x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}\right)!} \cdot \frac{8!}{\left(5+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)!}
$$

## 6. Successes

Consider a sequence of independent Bernoulli trials, each of which is a success with probability $p$. Let $X_{1}$ be the number of failures preceding the first success, and let $X_{2}$ be the number of failures between the first 2 successes. Find the joint pmf of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Write an expression for $E\left[\sqrt{X_{1} X_{2}}\right]$. You can leave your answer in the form of a sum. Solution:
$X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ take on two particular values $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, when there are $x_{1}$ failures followed by one success, and then $x_{2}$ failures followed by one success. Since the Bernoulli trials are independent the joint pmf is

$$
p_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(1-p)^{x_{1}} p \cdot(1-p)^{x_{2}} p=(1-p)^{x_{1}+x_{2}} p^{2}
$$

for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{X_{1}, X_{2}}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\} \times\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. By the definition of expectation

$$
E\left[\sqrt{X_{1} X_{2}}\right]=\sum_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{X_{1}, X_{2}}} \sqrt{x_{1} x_{2}} \cdot(1-p)^{x_{1}+x_{2}} p^{2} .
$$

## 7. Continuous joint density

The joint density of $X$ and $Y$ is given by

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)= \begin{cases}x e^{-(x+y)} & x>0, y>0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and the joint density of $W$ and $V$ is given by

$$
f_{W, V}(w, v)= \begin{cases}2 & 0<w<v, 0<v<1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Are $X$ and $Y$ independent? Are $W$ and $V$ independent?

## Solution:

For two random variables $X, Y$ to be independent, we must have $f_{X, Y}(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y)$ for all $x \in \Omega_{X}, y \in$ $\Omega_{Y}$. Let's start with $X$ and $Y$ by finding their marginal PDFs. By definition, and using the fact that the joint PDF is 0 outside of $y>0$, we get:

$$
f_{X}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{-(x+y)} d y=e^{-x} x
$$

We do the same to get the PDF of $Y$, again over the range $x>0$ :

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{-(x+y)} d x=e^{-y}
$$

Since $e^{-x} x \cdot e^{-y}=x e^{-x-y}=x e^{-(x+y)}$ for all $x, y>0, X$ and $Y$ are independent.

We can see that $W$ and $V$ are not independent simply by observing that $\Omega_{W}=(0,1)$ and $\Omega_{V}=(0,1)$, but $\Omega_{W, V}$ is not equal to their Cartesian product. Specifically, looking at their range of $f_{W, V}(w, v)$. Graphing it with w as the "x-axis" and v as the "y-axis", we see that :


The shaded area is where the joint pdf is strictly positive. Looking at it, we can see that it is not rectangular, and therefore it is not the case that $\Omega_{W, V}=\Omega_{W} \times \Omega_{V}$. Remember, the joint range being the Cartesian product of the marginal ranges is not sufficient for independence, but it is necessary. Therefore, this is enough to show that they are not independent.

## 8. Trapped Miner

A miner is trapped in a mine containing 3 doors.

- $D_{1}$ : The $1^{\text {st }}$ door leads to a tunnel that will take him to safety after 3 hours.
- $D_{2}$ : The $2^{\text {nd }}$ door leads to a tunnel that returns him to the mine after 5 hours.
- $D_{3}$ : The $3^{\text {rd }}$ door leads to a tunnel that returns him to the mine after a number of hours that is Binomial with parameters ( $12, \frac{1}{3}$ ).

At all times, he is equally likely to choose any one of the doors. What is the expected number of hours for this miner to reach safety? Solution:

Let $\mathrm{T}=$ number of hours for the miner to reach safety. ( T is a random variable)
Let $D_{i}$ be the event the $i^{t h}$ door is chosen. $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. Finally, let $T_{3}$ be the time it takes to return to the mine in the third case only (a random variable). Note that the expectation of $T_{3}$ is $12 * \frac{1}{3}$ because it is binomially distributed with parameters $n=12, p=\frac{1}{3}$. By Law of Total Expectation, linearity of expectation, and by applying the conditional expectations given by the problem statement:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[T] & =\mathbb{E}\left[T \mid D_{1}\right] \mathbb{P}\left(D_{1}\right)+\mathbb{E}\left[T \mid D_{2}\right] \mathbb{P}\left(D_{2}\right)+\mathbb{E}\left[T \mid D_{3}\right] \mathbb{P}\left(D_{3}\right) \\
& =3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}+(5+\mathbb{E}[T]) \cdot \frac{1}{3}+\left(\mathbb{E}\left[T_{3}+T\right]\right) \cdot \frac{1}{3} \\
& =3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}+(5+\mathbb{E}[T]) \cdot \frac{1}{3}+\left(\mathbb{E}\left[T_{3}\right]+\mathbb{E}[T]\right) \cdot \frac{1}{3} \\
& =3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}+(5+\mathbb{E}[T]) \cdot \frac{1}{3}+(4+\mathbb{E}[T]) \cdot \frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving this equation for $\mathbb{E}[T]$, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}[T]=12
$$

Therefore, the expected number of hours for this miner to reach safety is 12 .

## 9. Lemonade Stand

Suppose I run a lemonade stand, which costs me $\$ 100$ a day to operate. I sell a drink of lemonade for $\$ 20$. Every person who walks by my stand either buys a drink or doesn't (no one buys more than one). If it is raining, $n_{1}$ people walk by my stand, and each buys a drink independently with probability $p_{1}$. If it isn't raining, $n_{2}$ people walk by my stand, and each buys a drink independently with probability $p_{2}$. It rains each day with probability $p_{3}$, independently of every other day. Let $X$ be my profit over the next week. In terms of $n_{1}, n_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$, what is $\mathbb{E}[X]$ ? Solution:

Let $R$ be the event it rains. Let $X_{i}$ be how many drinks I sell on day $i$ for $i=1, \ldots, 7$. We are interested in $X=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(20 X_{i}-100\right)$. We have $X_{i} \mid R \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(n_{1}, p_{1}\right)$, so $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i} \mid R\right]=n_{1} p_{1}$. Similarly, $X_{i} \mid R^{C} \sim$ $\operatorname{Binomial}\left(n_{2}, p_{2}\right)$, so $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i} \mid R^{C}\right]=n_{2} p_{2}$. By the law of total expectation,

$$
\mu=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i} \mid R\right] \mathbb{P}(R)+\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i} \mid R^{C}\right] \mathbb{P}\left(R^{C}\right)=n_{1} p_{1} p_{3}+n_{2} p_{2}\left(1-p_{3}\right)
$$

Hence, by linearity of expectation,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}[X]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(20 X_{i}-100\right)\right]=20 \sum_{i=1}^{7} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]-700=140 \mu-700 \\
=140 \cdot\left(n_{1} p_{1} p_{3}+n_{2} p_{2}\left(1-p_{3}\right)\right)-700
\end{gathered}
$$

## 10. 3 points on a line

Three points $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are selected at random on a line $L$ (continuous independent uniform distributions). What is the probability that $X_{2}$ lies between $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$ ? Solution:

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \sim \operatorname{Unif}(0,1)$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}<X_{2}<X_{3}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}<X_{2}<X_{3} \mid X_{2}=x\right) f_{X_{2}}(x) d x & \text { Continuous LoTP } \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}<x, X_{3}>x\right) f_{X_{2}}(x) d x & \text { Independence of } X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}<x\right) \mathbb{P}\left(x<X_{3}\right) f_{X_{2}}(x) d x & \text { Independence of } X_{1}, X_{3} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{X_{1}}(x)\left(1-F_{X_{3}}(x)\right) f_{X_{2}}(x) d x & \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} x(1-x) 1 d x & \\
& =\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\left.\frac{x^{3}}{3}\right|_{0} ^{1}=\frac{1}{6} &
\end{array}
$$

