## Central Limit Theorem

## Announcements

Review Summary 2 due this Friday.

Programming question in HW 6.

## Table of Standard Normal CDF

The way we'll evaluate the CDF of a normal is to:

1. convert to a standard normal
2. Round the " $z$-score" to the hundredths place.
3. Look up the value in the table.

It's 2021, we're using a table?
The table makes sure we have consistent rounding rules (makes it easier for us to debug with you).
You can't evaluate this by hand - the "z-

| $z$ | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.50399 | 0.50798 | 0.51197 | 0.51595 | 0.51994 | 0.52392 | 0.5279 | 0.53188 | 0.53586 |
| 0.1 | 0.53983 | 0.5438 | 0.54776 | 0.55172 | 0.55567 | 0.55962 | 0.56356 | 0.56749 | 0.57142 | 0.57535 |
| 0.2 | 0.57926 | 0.58317 | 0.58706 | 0.59095 | 0.59483 | 0.59871 | 0.60257 | 0.60642 | 0.61026 | 0.61409 |
| 0.3 | 0.61791 | 0.62172 | 0.62552 | 0.6293 | 0.63307 | 0.63683 | 0.64058 | 0.64431 | 0.64803 | 0.65173 |
| 0.4 | 0.65542 | 0.6591 | 0.66276 | 0.6664 | 0.67003 | 0.67364 | 0.67724 | 0.68082 | 0.68439 | 0.68793 |
| 0.5 | 0.69146 | 0.69497 | 0.69847 | 0.70194 | 0.7054 | 0.70884 | 0.71226 | 0.71566 | 0.71904 | 0.7224 |
| 0.6 | 0.72575 | 0.72907 | 0.73237 | 0.73565 | 0.73891 | 0.74215 | 0.74537 | 0.74857 | 0.75175 | 0.7549 |
| 0.7 | 0.75804 | 0.76115 | 0.76424 | 0.7673 | 0.77035 | 0.77337 | 0.77637 | 0.77935 | 0.7823 | 0.78524 |
| 0.8 | 0.78814 | 0.79103 | 0.79389 | 0.79673 | 0.79955 | 0.80234 | 0.80511 | 0.80785 | 0.8105 | 0.81327 |
| 0.9 | 0.81594 | 0.81859 | 0.82121 | 0.82381 | 0.82639 | 0.82894 | 0.83147 | 0.83398 | 0.83646 | 0.83891 |
| 1.0 | 0.84134 | 0.84375 | 0.84614 | 0.84849 | 0.85083 | 0.85314 | 0.85543 | 0.85769 | 0.85993 | 0.86214 |
| 1.1 | 0.86433 | 0.8665 | 0.86864 | 0.87076 | 0.87286 | 0.87493 | 0.87698 | 0.879 | 0.881 | 0.88298 |
| 1.2 | 0.88493 | 0.88686 | 0.88877 | 0.89065 | 0.89251 | 0.89435 | 0.89617 | 0.89796 | 0.8997 | 0.90147 |
| 1.3 | 0.9032 | 0.9049 | 0.90658 | 0.90824 | 0.90 | 0.91149 | 0.91309 | 0.91466 | 0.91621 | 0.91774 |
| 1.4 | 0.91924 | 0.92073 | 0.9222 | 0.92364 | 0.92507 | 0.92647 | 0.92785 | 0.92922 | 0.93056 | 0.93189 |
| 1.5 | 0.93319 | 0.93448 | 0.93574 | 0.93699 | 0.93822 | 0.93943 | 0.94062 | 0.94179 | 0.94295 | 0.94408 |
| 1.6 | 0.9452 | 0.9463 | 0.94738 | 0.94845 | 0.9495 | 0.95053 | 0.95154 | 0.95254 | 0.95352 | 0.95449 |
| 1.7 | 0.95543 | 0.95637 | 0.95728 | 0.95818 | 0.95907 | 0.95994 | 0.9608 | 0.96164 | 0.96246 | 0.96327 |
| 1.8 | 0.96407 | 0.96485 | 0.96562 | 0.96638 | 0.96712 | 0.96784 | 0.96856 | 0.96926 | 0.96995 | 0.97062 |
| 1.9 | 0.97128 | 0.97193 | 0.97257 | 0.9732 | 0.97381 | 0.97441 | 0.975 | 0.97558 | 0.97615 | 0.9767 |
| 2.0 | 0.97725 | 0.97778 | 0.97831 | 0.97882 | 0.97932 | 0.97982 | 0.9803 | 0.98077 | 0.98124 | 0.98169 |
| 2.1 | 0.98214 | 0.98257 | 0.983 | 0.98341 | 0.98382 | 0.98422 | 0.98461 | 0.985 | 0.98537 | 0.98574 |
| 2.2 | 0.9861 | 0.98645 | 0.98679 | 0.98713 | 0.98745 | 0.98778 | 0.98809 | 0.9884 | 0.9887 | 0.98899 |
| 2.3 | 0.98928 | 0.98956 | 0.9898 | 0.9901 | 0.99036 | 0.99061 | 0.9908 | 0.99111 | 0.99134 | 0.99158 |
| 2.4 | 0.9918 | 0.99202 | 0.99224 | 0.99245 | 0.99266 | 0.99286 | 0.9930 | 0.99324 | 0.99343 | 0.99361 |
| 2.5 | 0.99379 | 0.99396 | 0.99413 | 0.9943 | 0.99446 | 0.99461 | 0.99477 | 0.99492 | 0.99506 | 0.9952 |
| 2.6 | 0.99534 | 0.99547 | 0.9956 | 0.99573 | 0.99585 | 0.99598 | 0.99609 | 0.99621 | 0.99632 | 0.99643 |
| 2.7 | 0.99653 | 0.99664 | 0.99674 | 0.99683 | 0.99693 | 0.99702 | 0.99711 | 0.9972 | 0.99728 | 0.99736 |
| 2.8 | 0.99744 | 0.99752 | 0.9976 | 0.99767 | 0.99774 | 0.99781 | 0.99788 | 0.99795 | 0.99801 | 0.99807 |
| 2.9 | 0.99813 | 0.99819 | 0.99825 | 0.99831 | 0.99836 | 0.99841 | 0.99846 | 0.99851 | 0.99856 | 0.99861 |
| 3.0 | 0.99865 | 0.99869 | 0.99874 | 0.99878 | 0.99882 | 0.99886 | 0.99889 | 0.99893 | 0.99896 | 0.999 | score" can give you intuition right away.

## More Practice

Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(3,2)$
What is the probability of $1 \leq X \leq 4$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(1 \leq X \leq 4) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1-3}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{X-3}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{4-3}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(-1.41 \leq \frac{X-3}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 0.71\right) \\
& =\Phi(0.71)-\Phi(-1.41) \\
& =\Phi(0.71)-(1-\Phi(1.41))=0.76115-(1-0.92073)=0.68188
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why Learn Normals?

When we add together independent normal random variables, you get another normal random variable.
The sum of any independent random variables approaches a normal distribution.

## Central Limit Theorem

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be i.i.d. random variables, with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Let $Y_{n}=\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}-n \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{n}}$
As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the CDF of $Y_{n}$ converges to the CDF of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$

## Breaking down the theorem

## Central Limit Theorem

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be i.i.d. random variables, with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Let $Y_{n}=\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}-n \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{n}}$
As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the CDF of $Y_{n}$ converges to the CDF of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$

## Proof of the CLT?

No.
How is the proof done?
Step 1: Prove that for all positive integers $k$ and $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y_{n}\right)^{k}\right] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{k}\right]$

Step 2: Prove that if $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y_{n}\right)^{k}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{k}\right]$ for all $k$ then $F_{Y_{n}}(z)=F_{Z}(z)$

## "Proof by example"





The dotted lines show an "empirical pmf" - a pmf estimated by running the experiment a large number of times.
The blue line is the normal rv that the CLT predicts.

Shown are $n=1,2,3,10$

## "Proof by example" -- uniform


https://www.desmos.com/calculator/2n2m05a9km

## "Proof by real-world"


birthweight

A lot of real-world bell-curves can be explained as:

1. The random variable comes from a combination of independent factors.
2. The CLT says the distribution will become like a bell curve.

## Theory vs. Practice

The formal theorem statement is "in the limit"
You might not get exactly a normal distribution for any finite $n$ (e.g. if you sum indicators, your random variable is always discrete and will be discontinuous for every finite $n$.

In practice, the approximations get very accurate very quickly (at least with a few tricks we'll see soon).
They won't be exact (unless the $X_{i}$ are normals) but it's close enough to use even with relatively small $n$.

## Using the Central Limit Theorem

Suppose you are managing a factory, that produces widgets. Each widget produced is defective (independently) with probability $5 \%$.

Your factory will produce 1000 (possibly defective) widgets. You want to know what the chances are of having a "very bad day" where "very bad" means producing at most 940 non-defective widgets. (In expectation, you produce 950 non-defective widgets)

What is the probability?

## True Answer

Let $X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(1000, .95)$

What is $\mathbb{P}(X \leq 940)$ ?
The cdf is ugly...and that's a big summation.
$\sum_{k=0}^{940}\binom{1000}{k}(0.95)^{k} \cdot(0.05)^{1000-k} \approx 0.08673$

What does the CLT give?

## CLT setup

$\operatorname{Bin}(1000,95)$ is the sum of a bunch of independent random variables (the indicators/Bernoullis we summed to get the binomial)

So, let's use the CLT instead
$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=p=0.95$.
$\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=p(1-p)=0.0475$
$Y_{1000}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{1000} X_{i}-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}$ is approximately $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

## With the CLT.

The event we're interested in is $\mathbb{P}(X \leq 940)$
$\mathbb{P}(X \leq 940)$
$=\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}} \leq \frac{940-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)$
$=\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{1000} \leq \frac{940-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)$
$\approx \mathbb{P}\left(Y \leq \frac{940-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)$ by $C L T$
$=\Phi\left(\frac{940-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)$
$\approx \Phi(-1.45)=1-\Phi(1.45)$
$\approx 1-0.92647=0.07353$.

## It's an approximation!

The true probability is
$1-\sum_{k=941}^{1000}\binom{1000}{k}(0.95)^{k} \cdot(0.05)^{1000-k} \approx 0.08673$
The CLT estimate is off by about 1.3 percentage points.
We can get a better estimate if we fix a subtle issue with this approximation.

## A problem

What's the probability that $\mathrm{X}=950$ ? (exactly)
True value, we can get with binomial:
$\binom{1000}{950} \cdot(0.95)^{950} \cdot(0.05)^{50} \approx 0.05779$
What does the CLT say?
$=\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000} \cdot 0.0475}=\frac{950-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000} \cdot 0.0475}\right)$
$\approx \mathbb{P}(Y=0)$
$=0$
Uh oh.

## Continuity Correction

The binomial distribution is discrete, but the normal is continuous. Let's correct for that (called a "continuity correction")

Before we switch from the binomial to the normal, ask "what values of a continuous random variable would round to this event?"

## Applying the continuity correction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(X=950) \\
& =\mathbb{P}(949.5 \leq X<950.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuity correction.
This is an "exactly equal to"
The discrete rv $X$ can't equal 950.2.
$=\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{949.5-950}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}} \leq \frac{X-950}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}<\frac{950.5-950}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)$
$\approx \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{949.5-950}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}} \leq Y<\frac{950.5-950}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)$ By CLT
$=\Phi\left(\frac{950.5-950}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{949.5-950}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right)$
$\approx \Phi(0.07)-\Phi(-0.07)=\Phi(0.07)-(1-\Phi(0.07))$
$\approx 0.5279-(1-0.5279)=0.0558$

## Still an Approximation

$\binom{1000}{950} \cdot(0.95)^{950} \cdot(0.05)^{50} \approx 0.05779$ is the true value The CLT approximates: 0.0558

Very close! But still not perfect.

## Let's fix that other one

Question was "what's the probability of seeing at most 940 nondefective widgets?"

## With the CLT.

The event we're interested in is $\mathbb{P}(X \leq 940)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{P}(X \leq 940) & \mathbb{P}(X \leq 940.5) \\
=\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}} \leq \frac{940-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}} \leq \frac{940.5-1000 \cdot .95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0475}}\right) \\
\approx \mathbb{P}\left(Y \leq \frac{940-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right) B y C L T & \approx \mathbb{P}\left(Y \leq \frac{940.5-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right) B y C L T \\
=\Phi\left(\frac{940-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right) & =\Phi\left(\frac{940.5-1000 \cdot 0.95}{\sqrt{1000 \cdot 0.0475}}\right) \\
\approx \Phi(-1.45)=1-\Phi(1.45) & \approx \Phi(-1.38)=1-\Phi(1.38) \\
\approx 1-0.92647=0.07353 . & \approx 1-0.91621=0.08379 .
\end{array}
$$

## Approximating a continuous distribution

You buy lightbulbs that burn out according to an exponential distribution with parameter of $\lambda=1.8$ lightbulbs per year.

You buy a 10 pack of (independent) light bulbs. What is the probability that your 10-pack lasts at least 5 years?

Let $X_{i}$ be the time it takes for lightbulb $i$ to burn out.
Let $X$ be the total time. Estimate $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 5)$.

## Where's the continuity correction?

There's no correction to make - it was already continuous!!
$\mathbb{P}(X \geq 5)$
$=\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X-10 / 1.8}{\sqrt{10 / 1.8^{2}}} \geq \frac{5-10 / 1.8}{\sqrt{10 / 1.8^{2}}}\right)$
$\approx \mathbb{P}\left(Y \geq \frac{5-10 / 1.8}{\sqrt{10 / 1.8^{2}}}\right)$ By CLT
$\approx \mathbb{P}(Y \geq-0.32)$
$=1-\Phi(-0.32)=\Phi(0.32)$
$\approx 0.62552$
True value (needs a distribution not in our zoo) is $\approx 0.58741$

## Outline of CLT steps

1. Write event you are interested in, in terms of sum of random variables.
2. Apply continuity correction if RV s are discrete.
3. Normalize RV to have mean 0 and standard deviation 1.
4. Replace RV with $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.
5. Write event in terms of $\Phi$
6. Look up in table.
