

Please do not turn the page until 1:40.

Instructions

- This quiz contains 4 pages, including this cover page.
- Show scratch work for partial credit, but put your final answers in the boxes and blanks provided.
- The quiz is closed book and closed notes.
- Please silence and put away all cell phones and other mobile or noise-making devices.
- Remove all hats, headphones, and watches.
- You have 60 (+10) minutes to complete this quiz.

Advice

- Read questions carefully before starting. Read *all* questions first and start where you feel the most confident to maximize the use of your time.
- There may be partial credit for incomplete answers; please show your work.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Question		Points	Score
(1) Counters		12	12
(2) Routing Elements		10	10
(3) Shift Registers		9	9
	Total:	31	31

Question 1: Counters [12 pts]

Implement the 3-bit "odd" counter using a *minimal number of 2-input logic gates*. It goes through the state sequence: $001 \rightarrow 011 \rightarrow 101 \rightarrow 111 \rightarrow 001 \rightarrow \cdots$



(C) *Briefly* describe how could we simplify the hardware circuit even further. Hint: draw out the current minimal logic circuit and look at what hardware might be unnecessary. [3 pts]

Because we are only cycling through odd numbers, PS_0 is always 1, which does not need to be stored. We could remove one DFF (one bit of state) and instead concatenate a 1 as the least significant bit to the remaining two bits of state.

2

Question 2: Routing Elements [10 pts]

We are creating a sequential circuit with 1-bit inputs P (played), W (win), and D (draw/tie) and *n*-bit output Q to accumulate the standings for a soccer/futbol team. When a team plays a game (P), they accumulate 3 points for a win ($W\overline{D}$), 1 point for a draw (D) and 0 points for a loss ($\overline{W}\overline{D}$); otherwise (\overline{P}), the point total remains the same.

(A) Draw out the circuit below. You can freely use registers, constants, 2:1 MUXes, and adders. Make sure you label the corresponding selector bits for ports of routing elements. [6 pts]



(B) Now assume that we instantiate our circuit for a team that starts with Q = 0 points. Based on the SystemVerilog testbench below, what will the team's final record and points total be? [4 pts]

```
initial begin
    integer i;
    initial begin
        for (i = 0; i < 8; i++) begin
            {W, D, P} = i; @(posedge clk);
        end
        @(posedge clk); $stop();
end</pre>
```

Wins: 1	Draws: 3	Losses: 1
		Points: 6

This testbench runs through all combinations 3'b000 to 3'b111 in order. Every other is not played (\overline{P}) and can be ignored. Of the games played (001, 011, 101, 111), these translate to Loss, Draw, Win, Draw. There is one last clock cycle after the for-loop that remains at the input combination 111 \rightarrow Draw. Points = 3*Wins + Draws.

Question 3: Shift Registers [9 pts]

In Lab 7, we created a 9-bit linear feedback shift register (LFSR) to generate "randomized" opponent behavior. Let's explore this idea of randomness a bit further by comparing the "optimal" 9-bit and 10-bit LFSRs, as given by the chart from Lab 7.

- (A) <u>Circle one</u>: Which LFSR has the longer maximal state sequence: **9-bit** (10-bit.)[1 pt]
- (B) The goal in Lab 7 was to generate a 9-bit random number (0–511). Briefly describe how you could get a 9-bit random number from the 10-bit LFSR. How much hardware is involved in this process? [4 pts]

<u>9-bit number</u>: Can grab any 9 of the 10 bits in any well-defined order. Most simply, either take the upper 9 bits or the lower 9 bits.

Hardware: Just wires to route/reorder the bits.

(C) If you had to decide between using either the "optimal" 9-bit LFSR or the "optimal" 10-bit LFSR to produce a 9-bit random number sequence, which would you choose and why? [4 pt]

This is a somewhat open-ended question; the explanation matters much more than the choice.

Circle: 9-bit / 10-bit

Explain choice: Many possible explanations, including:

- 9-bit requires less hardware (one less DFF).
- 9-bit sequence doesn't produce duplicates during its cycle, while the 10-bit sequence will repeat each value twice during its (roughly twice as long) cycle this could actually be used to argue for either one being more "random" than the other.
- 9-bit is more intuitive and uses more natural connections.