Demand Paging and Page Replacement

Hank Levy levy@cs. washingt on.edu Allen Center 596

Page Faults

- What happens to a process that references a VA in a page that has been evicted?
 - when the page was evicted, the OS sets the PTE as invalid and stores (in PTE) the location of the page in the swap file
 - when a process accesses the page, the invalid PTE will cause an exception (page fault) to be thrown
 - the OS will run the page fault handler in response
 - · handler uses invalid PTE to locate page in swap file
 - handler reads page into a physical frame, updates PTE to point to it and to be valid
 - handler restarts the faulted process
- But: where does the page that's read in go?
 - have to evict something else (page replacement algorithm)
 - OS typically tries to keep a pool of free pages around so that allocations don't inevitably cause evictions

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 3

Demand Paging

- We've hinted that pages can be moved between memory and disk
 - this process is called demand paging
 - is different than swapping (entire process moved, not page)
 - OS uses main memory as a (page) cache of all of the data allocated by processes in the system
 - · initially, pages are allocated from physical memory frames
 - when physical memory fills up, allocating a page in requires some other page to be evicted from its physical memory frame
 - evicted pages go to disk (only need to write if they are dirty)
 - · to a swap file
 - · movement of pages between memory / disk is done by the OS
 - · is transparent to the application
 - except for performance...

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy

Why does this work?

- Locality!
 - temporal locality
 - locations referenced recently tend to be referenced again soon
 - spatial locality
 - locations near recently references locations are likely to be referenced soon (think about why)
- Locality means paging can be infrequent
 - once you've paged something in, it will be used many times
 - on average, you use things that are paged in
 - but, this depends on many things:
 - degree of locality in application
 - page replacement policy and application reference pattern
 - · amount of physical memory and application footprint

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy

Why is this "demand" paging?

- Think about when a process first starts up:
 - it has a brand new page table, with all PTE valid bits 'false'
 - no pages are yet mapped to physical memory
 - when process starts executing:
 - · instructions immediately fault on both code and data pages
 - · faults stop when all necessary code/data pages are in memory
 - only the code/data that is needed (demanded!) by process needs to be loaded
 - · what is needed changes over time, of course...

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 5

#1: Belady's Algorithm

- Provably optimal lowest fault rate (remember SJF?)
 - pick the page that won't be used for longest time in future
 - problem: impossible to predict future
- · Why is Belady's algorithm useful?
 - as a yardstick to compare other algorithms to optimal
 - · if Belady's isn't much better than yours, yours is pretty good

© 2003 Hank Levy

Is there a lower bound?

06/06/2005

- unfortunately, lower bound depends on workload
 - · but, random replacement is pretty bad

Evicting the best page

- The goal of the page replacement algorithm:
 - reduce fault rate by selecting best victim page to remove
 - the best page to evict is one that will never be touched again
 - · as process will never again fault on it
 - "never" is a long time
 - Belady's proof: evicting the page that won't be used for the longest period of time minimizes page fault rate
- Rest of this lecture:
 - survey a bunch of replacement algorithms

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy

#2: FIFO

- FIFO is obvious, and simple to implement
 - when you page in something, put in on tail of list
 - on eviction, throw away page on head of list
- Why might this be good?
 - maybe the one brought in longest ago is not being used
- Why might this be bad?
 - then again, maybe it is being used
 - have absolutely no information either way
- FIFO suffers from Belady's Anomaly
 - fault rate might increase when algorithm is given more physical memory
 - · a very bad property

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 8

#3: Least Recently Used (LRU)

- LRU uses reference information to make a more informed replacement decision
 - idea: past experience gives us a guess of future behavior
 - on replacement, evict the page that hasn't been used for the longest amount of time
 - · LRU looks at the past, Belady's wants to look at future
 - when does LRU do well?
 - · when does it suck?
- Implementation
 - to be perfect, must grab a timestamp on every memory reference and put it in the PTE (way too \$\$)
 - so, we need an approximation...

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 9

#4: LRU Clock

- AKA Not Recently Used (NRU) or Second Chance
 - replace page that is "old enough"
 - arrange all physical page frames in a big circle (clock)
 - · just a circular linked list
 - a "clock hand" is used to select a good LRU candidate
 - sweep through the pages in circular order like a clock
 - if ref bit is off, it hasn't been used recently, we have a victim
 - so, what is minimum "age" if ref bit is off?
 - · if the ref bit is on, turn it off and go to next page
 - arm moves quickly when pages are needed
 - low overhead if have plenty of memory
 - if memory is large, "accuracy" of information degrades
 - · add more hands to fix

Approximating LRU

- Many approximations, all use the PTE reference bit
 - keep a counter for each page
 - at some regular interval, for each page, do:
 - if ref bit = 0, increment the counter (hasn't been used)
 - if ref bit = 1, zero the counter (has been used)
 - · regardless, zero ref bit
 - the counter will contain the # of intervals since the last reference to the page
 - · page with largest counter is least recently used
- Some architectures don't have PTE reference bits
 - can simulate reference bit using the valid bit to induce faults
 - · hack, hack, hack

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 10

Another Problem: allocation of frames

- In a multiprogramming system, we need a way to allocate physical memory to competing processes
 - what if a victim page belongs to another process?
 - family of replacement algorithms that takes this into account
- Fixed space algorithms
 - each process is given a limit of pages it can use
 - when it reaches its limit, it replaces from its own pages
 - local replacement: some process may do well, others suffer
- Variable space algorithms
 - processes' set of pages grows and shrinks dynamically
 - global replacement: one process can ruin it for the rest
 - linux uses global replacement

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 11 06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 12

Important concept: working set model

- A working set of a process is used to model the dynamic locality of its memory usage
 - i.e., working set = set of pages process currently "needs"
 - formally defined by Peter Denning in the 1960's
- Definition:
 - WS(t,w) = {pages P such that P was referenced in the time interval (t, t-w)}
 - t time, w working set window (measured in page refs)
 - a page is in the working set (WS) only if it was referenced in the last w references

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 13

#6: Page Fault Frequency (PFF)

- PFF is a variable-space algorithm that uses a more ad-hoc approach
 - monitor the fault rate for each process
 - if fault rate is above a given threshold, give it more memory
 - · so that it faults less
 - · doesn't always work (FIFO, Belady's anomaly)
 - if the fault rate is below threshold, take away memory
 - · should fault more
 - · again, not always

#5: Working Set Size

- The working set size changes with program locality
 - during periods of poor locality, more pages are referenced
 - within that period of time, the working set size is larger
- Intuitively, working set must be in memory, otherwise you'll experience heavy faulting (thrashing)
 - when people ask "How much memory does Netscape need?", really they are asking "what is Netscape's average (or worst case) working set size?"
- Hypothetical algorithm:
 - associate parameter "w" with each process
 - only allow a process to start if it's "w", when added to all other processes, still fits in memory
 - · use a local replacement algorithm within each process

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 14

Thrashing

- What the OS does if page replacement algo's fail
 - happens if most of the time is spent by an OS paging data back and forth from disk
 - · no time is spent doing useful work
 - · the system is overcommitted
 - · no idea which pages should be in memory to reduced faults
 - could be that there just isn't enough physical memory for all processes
 - solutions?
- Yields some insight into systems research[ers]
 - if system has too much memory
 - page replacement algorithm doesn't matter (overprovisioning)
 - if system has too little memory
 - page replacement algorithm doesn't matter (overcommitted)
 - problem is only interesting on the border between overprovisioned and overcommitted
 - · many research papers live here, but not many real systems do...

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 15 06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 16

Summary

- demand paging
 - start with no physical pages mapped, load them in on demand
- · page replacement algorithms
 - #1: Belady's optimal, but unrealizable
 - #2: Fifo replace page loaded furthest in past
 - #3: LRU replace page referenced furthest in past
 - · approximate using PTE reference bit
 - #4: LRU Clock replace page that is "old enough"
 - #5: working set keep set of pages in memory that induces the minimal fault rate
 - #6: page fault frequency grow/shrink page set as a function of fault rate
- · local vs. global replacement
 - should processes be allowed to evict each other's pages?

06/06/2005 © 2003 Hank Levy 17