

- Final projects:
  - User report are graded
  - All 1.0 presentations will be held on
  - Thursday, December 8, in class
  - 1.0 Release due data extended: Friday, December 9, 11:59PM
- Final exam:
  - Wednesday, December 14, 10:30 AM, in this room
- 1

# Evaluations Reasoning about programs

· Exam review

Today's plan

2

# What'll be on the exam? (12/14, 10:30AM, here)

- Regular questions:
  - testing
  - debugging
  - working in groups
  - reasoning about programs
- high-level questions only:
  - software bias and formal verification of software
  - guest lectures on safety in software design

You may bring a single sheet (double side, 8.5" by 11" paper) of notes of your choosing.

Testing

Know about different kinds of tests

unit, integration, regression, etc. Know about different kinds of coverage

Know what's hard about testing

- GUI, usability, covering all behavior, etc.

- statement, path, etc.

3

## Exam: What kind of questions?

- True/False
- Multiple Choice (most are "choose all that apply")
- That's it. No other types of questions.

4

#### Debugging

- Know four kinds of defense against bugs
  - make impossible
  - don't introduce
  - make errors visible
  - last resort: debugging
- Representation (rep) invariants
- Assertions

#### Working in groups

- What's hard?
  - corner cases
  - complete specification covers A LOT of behavior
  - unless a spec is concise, it's hard to understand
  - precision is hard: language is ambiguous
  - communication is important

7

#### Reasoning about programs

- Ways to verify your code – testing, reasoning, proving
- Forward reasoning
- Backward reasoning
- Loop invariants
- Induction
- Practice some examples!

8

Loop example
Find the weakest precondition
for (int x = 1; x <> y;) {
 if (y > x) {
 y = y / 2;
 x=2\*x;
 }
}
// postcondition: x=8, y=8, and x and y are ints
you can also find the loop invariant and decrement function

9



- Ample scientific evidence that there are biases in evaluations.
- Women and minority faculty get statistically lower scores even when the teaching style is controlled to be exactly the same.
- Being aware is one of the best ways to combat the problem.
- MacNell et al. What's in a Name: Exposing Gender Bias in Student Ratings of Teaching. Innovative Higher Education, 2014, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10755-014-9313-4</u>.
   Russ et al. Coming Out in the Classroom ... An Occupational Hazard?: The Influence of Sexual Orientation on Teacher Credibility and Perceived Student Learning. Communication Education 51(3), 2002, 311–324.

#### **Evaluations**

- We'll take 15 minutes to do evaluations
- They are anonymous and I don't see them until (long) after the grades are posted
- I actually use them to improve my teaching
- UMass uses them to decide if I am a good teacher and whether to let me keep teaching

10

## **Evaluations**

http://owl.umass.edu/partners/courseEvalSurvey/uma/

If we get 80% participation by tomorrow:
 Everyone gets 0.5 points of extra credit.



13

#### Ways to verify your code

- The hard way:
  - Make up some inputs
  - If it doesn't crash, ship it
  - When it fails in the field, attempt to debug
- The easier way:
  - Reason about possible behavior and desired outcomes
  - Construct simple tests that exercise that behavior
- · Another way that can be easy
  - Prove that the system does what you want
     Rep invariants are preserved
  - Implementation satisfies specification
  - Proof can be formal or informal (we will be informal)
  - Complementary to testing

14



- Ensure code is correct (via reasoning or testing)
- Understand why code is incorrect

15



- You know what is true before running the code What is true after running the code?
- · Given a precondition, what is the postcondition?
- Applications: Representation invariant holds before running code Does it still hold after running code?
- Example:
- // precondition: x is even
  x = x + 3;
- y = 2x;
- x = 5;

// postcondition: ??

16



Forward vs. backward reasoning

- Forward reasoning is more intuitive for most people

   Helps understand what will happen (simulates the code)
  - Introduces facts that may be irrelevant to goal
     Set of current facts may get large
  - Takes longer to realize that the task is hopeless
- Backward reasoning is usually more helpful

   Helps you understand what should happen
  - Given a specific goal, indicates how to achieve it
  - Given an error, gives a test case that exposes it

## Forward reasoning example













#### **Reasoning About Loops**

- A loop represents an unknown number of paths
  - Case analysis is problematic
  - Recursion presents the same issue
- Cannot enumerate all paths
   That is what makes tasting and reasoning by
  - That is what makes testing and reasoning hard

25



26



27



28



# **Decrementing Function**

- Decrementing function D(X)
  - Maps state (program variables) to some well-ordered set
  - This greatly simplifies reasoning about termination
- Consider: while (b) S;
  - We seek D(X), where X is the state, such that 1. An execution of the loop reduces the function's value: LI & b { $\mathbf{s}$ } D(X<sub>post</sub>)  $\leq$  D(X<sub>pre</sub>)
  - 2. If the function's value is minimal, the loop terminates: (LI & D(X) = minVal)  $\Rightarrow \neg b$

#### **Proving Termination**

// assert x ≥ 0 & y = 0
// Loop invariant: x ≥ y
// Loop decrements: (x-y)
while (x != y) {
 y = y + 1;
}

- // assert x = y
   Is "x-y" a good decrementing function?
  1. Does the loop reduce the decrementing function's value?
   // assert (y x); let d<sub>pre</sub> = (x y)
   y = y + 1;
   // assert (x<sub>post</sub> y<sub>post</sub>) < d<sub>pre</sub>
- If the function has minimum value, does the loop exit?
   (x y & x y = 0) (x = y)

32



33



34





55



